

22. Running coupling and running mass

p. 5/1: $m_{ph}^2 = m^2 - \frac{i\lambda}{2} \Delta(0) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2)$

loop integral $\Delta(0)$ contains a pole at $d=4$

p. 21/4: decomposition into singular and finite parts $\rightarrow$

$$\rightarrow \Delta(0) = \frac{2im^2}{(4\pi)^2} \mu^{d-4} \Lambda_d + \Delta(0)_{ren}^{MS}$$

bare mass m is tuned in such a way that the physical mass m_{ph} remains finite

$$\rightarrow m_{ren}^2 = m^2 \left[1 + \frac{\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \mu^{d-4} \Lambda_d + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \right]$$

approaches a finite limit when the regularization is removed $\rightarrow m_{ren}$ depends on $\mu \rightarrow$ running mass

remark: $[\lambda] = 4-d$ (compensated by μ^{d-4})

express $m_{ren}(\mu)$ in terms of m_{ph} :

$$m_{ren}^2(\mu) = m_{ph}^2 \left[1 + \frac{\lambda_{ph}}{(4\pi)^2} \left(\ln \frac{\mu}{m_{ph}} + \frac{1}{2} \right) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda_{ph}^2) \right]$$

scale dependence is logarithmic at one-loop order

remark: $m_{ren}(e^{-1/2} m_{ph}) = m_{ph}$

Running coupling

22/2

$$p. 5/22: \quad \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{phys}} = \lambda - \frac{3}{2} \lambda^2 B(\Delta_1, m_{\text{ph}}^2) + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$$

$$B(\Delta_1) = - \frac{2\mu^{d-4}}{(4\pi)^2} \Lambda_d + B(\Delta_1)_{\text{ren}}$$

$$\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{phys}} = \underbrace{\lambda + \frac{3\lambda^2}{(4\pi)^2} \Lambda_d}_{\text{finite for } d \rightarrow 4} - \frac{3}{2} \lambda^2 B(\Delta_1)_{\text{ren}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3)$$

$$\lambda_{\text{ren}} := \lambda \mu^{d-4} \left[1 + \frac{3\lambda}{(4\pi)^2} \Lambda_d \mu^{d-4} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^2) \right]$$

↑
dimensionless (even for $d \neq 4$)

relation between $\lambda_{\text{ren}}(\mu)$ and $\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{phys}}$

$$\lambda_{\text{ren}}(\mu) = \mu^{d-4} \left[\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}} + \frac{3}{2} \lambda^2 B(\Delta_1)_{\text{ren}} + \mathcal{O}(\lambda^3) \right]$$

$$= \mu^{d-4} \left[\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}} + \frac{3}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}}^2 B(0)_{\text{ren}} + \frac{3}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}}^2 \overline{B}(\Delta_1) + \mathcal{O}(\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}}^3) \right]$$

$$\downarrow$$
$$- \frac{2}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{m_{\text{ph}}}{\mu}$$

$d \rightarrow 4$:

$$\begin{aligned}\lambda_{\text{ren}}(\mu) &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}} \left[1 + \frac{3\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}}}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{\mu}{m_{\text{ph}}} + \frac{3}{2} \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}} \overline{B}(\Delta_1) + O(\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}}^2) \right] \\ &= \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}} \left[1 + \frac{3\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}}}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{\mu}{m_1} + O(\tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}}^2) \right]\end{aligned}$$

m_1 is the value of the scale μ at which $\lambda_{\text{ren}}(m_1) = \tilde{\lambda}_{\text{ph}}$

comparison with cut-off regularization:

$$|k_\mu| \leq \Lambda$$

bare coupling λ_{cut}

d -dim. integral $B(s)$ replaced by $\int_0^\Lambda d^d R \dots$

$\rightarrow$ diverges logarithmically

$$\lambda_{\text{cut}} = \lambda_{\text{ph}} \left[1 + \frac{3\lambda_{\text{ph}}}{(4\pi)^2} \ln \frac{\Lambda}{m_2} + O(\lambda_{\text{ph}}^2) \right]$$

same coefficient as before!

$$m_2 = m_{\text{ph}} \times C \quad C = O(1)$$

$\rightarrow$ dependence of the bare coupling $\lambda_{\text{cut}} = \lambda_{\text{cut}}(\Lambda)$ on Λ is the same as the dependence of the

running coupling $\lambda_{ren} = \lambda_{ren}(\mu)$ on the scale μ
 (apart from $m_1 \neq m_2 \rightarrow$ can be repaired by redefinition
 $\Lambda \rightarrow \Lambda \frac{m_2}{m_1}$) $\rightarrow$ at large values of the
 running scale, $\lambda_{ren}(\mu)$ may be interpreted as
 the bare coupling of cut-off regularisation
 with $\Lambda \approx \mu$; for a scale of the order of the
 physical mass, $\lambda_{ren}(\mu) \rightarrow \lambda_{ph} \rightarrow \lambda_{ren}(\mu)$
 interpolates between the bare and the physical
 coupling!

remark: comparison between m_{ren} and m_{cut} more
 complicated as 2-point-fctn quadratically
 divergent ($\sim \Lambda^2$)

field renormalization constant $\overline{Z}$ in $\overline{MS}$ scheme:

$\overline{Z}/Z$ finite for $d \rightarrow 4$ but $\neq 1$

$$\overline{Z} = 1 + c_2 \frac{\lambda^2 \mu^{2(d-4)}}{(4\pi)^2} + \dots \quad (\phi^4 \text{ theory})$$

with an appropriate tuning of the coefficient c_2 for $d \rightarrow 4$, the n -point-functions of

$$\phi_{\text{ren}}(x) = \bar{Z}^{-1/2} \phi(x)$$

are finite (at $L=2$)

renormalization of the n -point function requires two steps

(1) the bare parameters are expressed in terms of the renormalized ones:

$$\lambda = \mu^{4-d} \lambda_{\text{ren}} \left[1 + a_1 \frac{\lambda_{\text{ren}}}{(4\pi)^2} + a_2 \frac{\lambda_{\text{ren}}^2}{(4\pi)^4} + \dots \right]$$

$$m^2 = m_{\text{ren}}^2 \left[1 + b_1 \frac{\lambda_{\text{ren}}}{(4\pi)^2} + b_2 \frac{\lambda_{\text{ren}}^2}{(4\pi)^4} + \dots \right]$$

(2) the result for $\langle 0 | T \phi(x_1) \dots \phi(x_n) | 0 \rangle$ is divided by the power $\bar{Z}^{n/2}$

remark: for the determination of S -matrix elements from the (now finite) n -point function

$\langle 0 | T \phi_{ren}(x_1) \dots \phi_{ren}(x_n) | 0 \rangle$, I still
 have to multiply the result with the finite
 factor $(\bar{Z}/Z)^{n/2}$!

remark: $a_1 = -3\Lambda_d$, $b_1 = -\Lambda_d$ already
 determined

for higher-order terms to absorb all the divergences
 occurring in the evaluation of the n -point functions,
 the coefficients must contain counter terms of
 the form

$$a_L = a_{L,0} \Lambda_d^L + a_{L,1} \Lambda_d^{L-1} + \dots + a_{L,L-1} \Lambda_d$$

(analogously for b_L, c_L)