

## 6. Abelian gauge field

gauge transformation  $A_\mu(x) \rightarrow A_\mu(x) + \partial_\mu \Lambda(x)$

gauge invariance of the action forbids mass term

$$\rightarrow \mathcal{L} = -\frac{1}{4} \overline{F}_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu}, \quad \overline{F}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\mu A_\nu - \partial_\nu A_\mu$$

$\Rightarrow$  field equation  $(g_{\mu\nu} \square - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu) A^\nu = 0$

inverse of differential operator  $g_{\mu\nu} \square - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu$  does not exist, as

$$(g_{\mu\nu} \square - \partial_\mu \partial_\nu) \partial^\nu \Lambda = \square \partial_\mu \Lambda - \partial_\mu \square \Lambda = 0$$

remark: in momentum space,

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -g_{\mu\nu} k^2 + k_\mu k_\nu$$

$$a=1, \quad b=-k^2 \Rightarrow ak^2 + b = 0$$

$\Rightarrow T^{-1}$  does not exist

(6/2)

way out: gauge fixing term in the Lagrangean  
(Fermi's trick)

$$\mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}} = -\frac{1}{4} F_{\mu\nu} F^{\mu\nu} - \underbrace{\frac{\xi}{2} (\partial_\mu A^\mu)^2}_{\text{gauge fixing term}} - \mathcal{J}_\mu A^\mu$$

gauge parameter

the action can now be written in the form

$$S = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A^\mu \left[ g_{\mu\nu} \square - (1-\xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right] A^\nu - \mathcal{J}_\mu A^\mu \right\}$$

the equation  $[g_{\mu\nu} \square - (1-\xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu] B^\nu = \mathcal{J}_\mu$

can now be inverted:

$$T_{\mu\nu} = -R^2 g_{\mu\nu} + (1-\xi) R_\mu R_\nu$$

$$a = 1-\xi, \quad b = -R^2$$

$$\Rightarrow (T^{-1})^{\nu\rho} = -\frac{1}{R^2} \left[ g^{\nu\rho} - (1-\frac{1}{\xi}) \frac{R^\nu R^\rho}{R^2} \right]$$

(6/3)

Feynman gauge ( $\xi = 1$ ):  $(T^{-1})^{\nu\rho} = -\frac{g^{\nu\rho}}{k^2}$

$$D^{\nu\rho}(x) = \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikx} \underbrace{\frac{-1}{k^2 + i\varepsilon} \left[ g^{\nu\rho} - (1 - \frac{1}{\xi}) \frac{k^\nu k^\rho}{k^2} \right]}_{\tilde{D}^{\nu\rho}(k)}$$

$$S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4 x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A^\mu \left[ g_{\mu\nu} (\square - i\varepsilon) - (1 - \xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right] A^\nu - \mathcal{J}_\mu A^\mu \right\}$$

$$\begin{aligned} A_\mu &= A'_\mu + B_\mu \\ \Downarrow \\ &= \int d^4 x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A'^\mu \left[ g_{\mu\nu} (\square - i\varepsilon) - (1 - \xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right] A'^\nu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + A'^\mu \left[ g_{\mu\nu} (\square - i\varepsilon) - (1 - \xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right] B^\nu - \mathcal{J}_\mu A'^\mu \right. \\ &\quad \left. + \frac{1}{2} B^\mu \left[ g_{\mu\nu} (\square - i\varepsilon) - (1 - \xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right] B^\nu - \mathcal{J}_\mu B^\mu \right\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\left[ g_{\mu\nu} (\square - i\varepsilon) - (1 - \xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu \right] B^\nu = \mathcal{J}_\mu$$

i.e.  $B^\mu(x) = \int d^4 y D^{\mu\nu}(x-y) \mathcal{J}_\nu(y)$

→ terms linear in  $A'^\mu$  disappear

(6/4)

$$\Rightarrow S_{\text{eff}} = \int d^4x \left\{ \frac{1}{2} A'^{\mu} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} (\square - i\varepsilon) - (1-\xi) \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \right] A'^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} J_{\mu} B^{\mu} \right\}$$

$$= \int d^4x \frac{1}{2} A'^{\mu} \left[ g_{\mu\nu} (\square - i\varepsilon) - (1-\xi) \partial_{\mu} \partial_{\nu} \right] A'^{\nu} - \frac{1}{2} \int d^4x d^4y D^{\mu\nu}(x-y) J_{\mu}(x) J_{\nu}(y)$$

$$\Rightarrow Z[J] = e^{-\frac{i}{2} \int d^4x d^4y D^{\mu\nu}(x-y) J_{\mu}(x) J_{\nu}(y)}$$

remark:  $Z[J]$  is independent of the gauge parameter  $\xi$  for a conserved current ( $\partial^{\mu} J_{\mu} = 0$ ):  
to see this, we compute

$$\int d^4y D^{\mu\nu}(x-y) J_{\nu}(y)$$

and convince ourselves that this expression is independent of  $\xi$  if  $\partial^{\mu} J_{\mu} = 0$

$$J_\nu(y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ipy} \tilde{J}_\nu(p)$$

$$\partial^\nu J_\nu(y) = \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ipy} (-ip^\nu) \tilde{J}_\nu(p)$$

$$\partial^\nu J_\nu = 0 \iff p^\nu \tilde{J}_\nu(p) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int d^4 y D^{\mu\nu}(x-y) J_\nu(y) =$$

$$= \int d^4 y \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ik(x-y)} \frac{-1}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2} \right]$$

$$\times \int \frac{d^4 p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ipy} \tilde{J}_\nu(p)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikx} \frac{-1}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \left[ g^{\mu\nu} - \left(1 - \frac{1}{\xi}\right) \frac{k^\mu k^\nu}{k^2} \right] \tilde{J}_\nu(k)$$

$$= \int \frac{d^4 k}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ikx} \frac{-g^{\mu\nu}}{k^2 + i\epsilon} \tilde{J}_\nu(k)$$

$$\uparrow \\ k^\nu \tilde{J}_\nu(k) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \int d^4x d^4y D^{\mu\nu}(x-y) J_\mu(x) J_\nu(y)$$

$$= \int d^4x \int \frac{d^4R}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-iR \cdot x} \frac{-\tilde{J}^\mu(R)}{R^2 + i\epsilon} \int \frac{d^4p}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-ip \cdot x} \tilde{J}_\mu(p)$$

$$= - \int \frac{d^4R}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\tilde{J}^\mu(R) \tilde{J}_\mu(-R)}{R^2 + i\epsilon}$$

$$J^\mu(x) \text{ real} \Rightarrow \tilde{J}^\mu(-R) = \tilde{J}^\mu(R)^*$$

$$\Rightarrow \int d^4x d^4y D^{\mu\nu}(x-y) J_\mu(x) J_\nu(y)$$

$$= - \int \frac{d^4R}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\tilde{J}^\mu(R) \tilde{J}_\mu(R)^*}{R^2 + i\epsilon}$$

$$\Rightarrow Z[J] = \langle 0_{\text{out}} | 0_{\text{in}} \rangle_J = e^{\frac{i}{2} \int \frac{d^4R}{(2\pi)^4} \frac{\tilde{J}^\mu(R) \tilde{J}_\mu(R)^*}{R^2 + i\epsilon}}$$

Gupta-Bleuler quantization

$$\text{field equation } (g_{\mu\nu} \square - (1-\xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu) A^\nu = j_\mu$$

in the classical theory one can impose the Lorenz gauge condition  $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$

$\Rightarrow \square A^\mu = j^\mu$ ,  $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$  are equivalent to Maxwell's equations

interpretation of  $\partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$  in QFT?

consider free theory:

$$A_\mu(x) = \int d^4k \left[ e^{-ikx} a_\mu(k) + e^{+ikx} a_\mu(k)^\dagger \right]$$

canonical quantization (for  $\xi = 1$ ):

$$[A_\mu(x), \pi_\nu(y)] \Big|_{x^0=y^0} = i g_{\mu\nu} \delta^{(3)}(\vec{x}-\vec{y})$$

$$[A_\mu(x), A_\nu(y)] \Big|_{x^0=y^0} = [\pi_\mu(x), \pi_\nu(y)] \Big|_{x^0=y^0} = 0$$

$$\Leftrightarrow [a_\mu(k), a_\nu(k')^\dagger] = -g_{\mu\nu} \delta(k, k')$$

$$\pi_\mu = \frac{\partial \mathcal{L}}{\partial \dot{A}^\mu} \Rightarrow \pi_0 = -\partial_\mu A^\mu, \quad \pi_i = -F_{0i}$$

$\Rightarrow \partial_\mu A^\mu = 0$  cannot be realized as an operator condition ( $\pi_0 = 0$  would be in conflict with canonical quantization)

construction of Hilbert space of free photon states: vacuum state  $|0\rangle$  defined by

$$a_\mu(\vec{k}) |0\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \mu, \vec{k}$$

$$\langle 0|0\rangle = 1$$

photon states with given momentum  $\vec{k}$ :

$$|\vec{k}, \varepsilon\rangle = -\varepsilon^\mu a_\mu(\vec{k})^\dagger |0\rangle$$

$\rightarrow$  four linear independent one-photon states with momentum  $\vec{k}$  (instead of two)

timelike photon state:  $\int d\mu(\vec{k}) f_0(\vec{k}) a_0(\vec{k})^\dagger |0\rangle$

scalar product :

$$\int d\mu(k) d\mu(k') f_0(k')^* f_0(k) \underbrace{\langle 0 | a_0(k') a_0^\dagger(k) | 0 \rangle}_{-\delta(k, k')}$$

$$= - \int d\mu(k) |f_0(k)|^2 < 0$$

indefinite metric

Gupta, Bleuler : physical states  $|\psi\rangle$  satisfy the condition

$$\partial_\mu A^{\mu (+)} |\psi\rangle = 0$$

$$A_\mu^{(+)}(x) = \int d\mu(k) e^{-ikx} a_\mu(k)$$

this condition guarantees

$$\langle \psi | \partial_\mu A^{\mu}(x) | \psi \rangle = 0$$

for physical states  $|\psi\rangle$

Gupta-Bleuler condition equivalent to

$$\vec{k}^\mu a_\mu(\vec{k}) |\psi\rangle = 0 \quad \forall \vec{k}$$

remark: also valid in interacting theory as

$\partial_\mu A^\mu$  behaves as a free field:

$$\partial^\mu \left[ (g_{\mu\nu} \square - (1-\xi) \partial_\mu \partial_\nu) A^\nu \right] = \overset{\text{conserved current}}{\dot{j}_\mu}$$

$$\Rightarrow \xi \square \partial_\nu A^\nu = 0$$

$\langle \psi | \psi \rangle \geq 0$  for physical states  $|\psi\rangle$

to see this we construct a new basis of creation and annihilation operators

$\vec{k}$  given  $\rightarrow$  choose two unit vectors  $\vec{E}(\vec{k}, 1)$ ,

$\vec{E}(\vec{k}, 2)$  orthogonal to  $\vec{k} \Rightarrow$

$\vec{E}(\vec{k}, 1), \vec{E}(\vec{k}, 2), \vec{E}(\vec{k}, 3) = \vec{k}/|\vec{k}|$  orthonormal

basis in three-dim. space ( $\vec{E}(\vec{k}, \lambda) \cdot \vec{E}(\vec{k}, \lambda') = \delta_{\lambda\lambda'}$ )

we define now :

$$a(\mathbf{k}, 1)^\dagger = \vec{\epsilon}(\mathbf{k}, 1) \cdot \vec{a}(\mathbf{k})^\dagger$$

$$a(\mathbf{k}, 2)^\dagger = \vec{\epsilon}(\mathbf{k}, 2) \cdot \vec{a}(\mathbf{k})^\dagger$$

$$b(\mathbf{k})^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a_0(\mathbf{k})^\dagger - \vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}(\mathbf{k})^\dagger)$$

$$c(\mathbf{k})^\dagger = \frac{1}{\sqrt{2}} (a_0(\mathbf{k})^\dagger + \vec{k} \cdot \vec{a}(\mathbf{k})^\dagger)$$

⇒ commutation relations

$$[a(\mathbf{k}, 1), a(\mathbf{k}', 1)^\dagger] = [a(\mathbf{k}, 2), a(\mathbf{k}', 2)^\dagger] = \delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$$

$$[b(\mathbf{k}), b(\mathbf{k}')^\dagger] = [c(\mathbf{k}), c(\mathbf{k}')^\dagger] = 0$$

$$[b(\mathbf{k}), c(\mathbf{k}')^\dagger] = [c(\mathbf{k}), b(\mathbf{k}')^\dagger] = -\delta(\mathbf{k}, \mathbf{k}')$$

(all other commutators vanish)

Gupta-Bleuler condition reads now:

$$b(\mathbf{k}) |\psi\rangle = 0$$

for physical states  $|\psi\rangle$

$$a(k,1)^\dagger \dots a(l,2)^\dagger \dots b(p)^\dagger \dots c(q)^\dagger \dots |0\rangle$$

Gupta-Bleuler condition only fulfilled if no  
 $c^\dagger$  appears

example:  $a(k,1)^\dagger |0\rangle$ ,  $a(k,2)^\dagger |0\rangle$ ,  $b(k)^\dagger |0\rangle$   
satisfy the Gupta-Bleuler condition

$$\langle 0 | a(k,1) a(k',1)^\dagger | 0 \rangle = \delta(k, k')$$

$$\langle 0 | a(k,2) a(k',2) | 0 \rangle = \delta(k, k')$$

$$\langle 0 | b(k) b(k')^\dagger | 0 \rangle = 0$$

construction of Fock space of physical states  
with positive definite metric: two physical  
vectors  $|\psi_1\rangle$ ,  $|\psi_2\rangle$  are equivalent

$(|\psi_1\rangle \sim |\psi_2\rangle)$  if

$$\langle \psi_1 - \psi_2 | \psi_1 - \psi_2 \rangle = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow b(\mathbf{k})^\dagger |0\rangle \sim \emptyset$$

only  $a(\mathbf{k}, 1)^\dagger |0\rangle$  and  $a(\mathbf{k}, 2)^\dagger |0\rangle$

are one-photon states  $\neq \emptyset$