TMD factorization beyond the leading power based on [2109.09771]

Alexey Vladimirov

Regensburg University



University of Vienna

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > □ □

Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization theorems and distributions is vastly expending area of physics.

Theory

It was originated in early 80's, but got a boost in early 2010's (proof of LP factorization theorem) [Collins,2011; SCET 2011]. Nowadays theory is as good as collinear factorization

- ▶ Full N³LO evolution
- ▶ Many coefficient functions at NLO/NNLO/N³LO
- ▶ Continue to expand: new processes (jets, heavy quarks,...), lattice, ...

Phenomenology

Phenomenology of TMD is in the process of development

- ▶ Many facilities have dedicated TMD program: COMPASS, JLab, RHIC (HERMES)
- ▶ Can be also observable at LHC, BaBar, BELLE.
- ▶ Significant part of physics programme for future **EIC**.

▶ First global extractions [Scimemi,AV,1912.06532]=SV19, [Bacchetta,et al,1912.07550]=Pavia19

Universität Regensburg

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Transverse momentum dependent (TMD) factorization theorems and distributions is vastly expending area of physics.

Today's talk

TMD operator expansion

- ▶ Novel approach to TMD factorization theorem
- Direct generalization of operator product expansion
- ▶ Has common features with high-energy expansion and SCET
- ▶ Elegant and "simple" internal structure
- ▶ I will demonstrate next-to-leading power (NLP) expression at NLO(!)
- Still in development (based [AV,Moos,Scimemi,2109.09771] [Rodini,AV,2022]...)

Outline

- ▶ Introduction to TMD factorization
- Introduction to TMD operator expansion
- Review of results and outlook

Universität Regensburg

イロト イポト イヨト イヨト



Universität Regensburg

E



Power for TMD

January 14, 2022 3/28

Selected features of TMD distributions (1)



Presence of additional vector (k_T) reveals many structures

- ▶ 8 TMDPDFs already at LP
- \blacktriangleright + 2 TMDFFs
- Numerous spin-dependent effects are described by LP TMD factorization (while they are of NLP/NNLP in collinear factorization)

・ロト ・回ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

Universität Regensburg

Selected features of TMD distributions (2)

$$F(x,b) = \int \frac{d^2 k_T}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(kb)_T} F(x,k_T)$$

 \blacktriangleright TMD factorization is *naturally* formulated in the position space

▶ Simple evolution equation



TMD distributions has two scales and obey a pair of evolution equations

A.Vladimirov

Selected features of TMD distributions (4)



A.Vladimirov

January 14, 2022 4 / 28

The main processes are



[Scimemi, AV, 1912.06532] = SV19



A.Vladimirov

Power for TMD

January 14, 2022 6 / 28





A.Vladimirov

Power for TMD



Factorization regions



A.Vladimirov

Power for TMD

January 14, 2022 8 / 28

Factorization regions

 $q_T \lesssim 0.25Q$ TMD factorization

$$= \left\{ \begin{array}{c} q_T \lesssim \Lambda \\ q_T \gg \Lambda \end{array} \right.$$

nonpertrubative regime "resummation" regime

 $q_T \sim Q \gg \Lambda$ fixed order computation



Transverse momentum dependent factorization

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dq_T} \simeq \sigma_0 \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(bq_T)} |C_V(Q)|^2 F_1(x_1, b; Q, Q^2) F_2(x_2, b; Q, Q^2)$$

LP term is studied VERY WELL!

This was a very brief review of LP TMD factorization

Now let's turn to power corrections

Universität Regensburg

9/28

January 14, 2022

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{dq_T} &\simeq \sigma_0 \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(bq_T)} \Big\{ |C_V(Q)|^2 F_1(x_1,b;Q,Q^2) F_2(x_2,b;Q,Q^2) & \longleftarrow \mathrm{LP} \\ &+ \frac{q_T}{Q} [C_2(Q) \otimes F_3(x,b;Q,Q^2) F_4(x,b;Q,Q^2)](x_1,x_2) & \longleftarrow \mathrm{NLP} \\ &+ \frac{q_T^2}{Q^2} [C_3(Q) \otimes F_5(x,b;Q,Q^2) F_6(x,b;Q,Q^2)](x_1,x_2) & \longleftarrow \mathrm{NNLP} \\ &+ \ldots \end{aligned}$$

A.Vladimirov

Sub-leading power observables





[CLAS, 2101.03544]



A.Vladimirov

January 14, 2022 10 / 28

Sub-leading power observables



A.Vladimirov

January 14, 2022 10 / 28

- Sub-leading power observables
- ▶ Increase of applicability domain



- ▶ Sub-leading power observables
- ▶ Increase of applicability domain



Phase space of EIC is centered directly in the transition region

COMPASS, JLab have large contribution of power corrections

・ロト ・日下 ・ヨト



Universität Regensburg

æ

- Sub-leading power observables
- ▶ Increase of applicability domain
- Restoration of broken properties

LP TMD factorization breaks EM-gauge invariance

- ▶ The violation is of the NLP
- ▶ Similar problem with frame-dependence (GTMD case)
- ▶ The problem is not unique, e.g. collinear factorization for DVCS

Universität Regensburg

Sources of power corrections



A.Vladimirov

Power for TMD

January 14, 2022

Sources of power corrections





TMD operator expansion

- ▶ Based on the experience of higher-twist, and higher power computations in collinear factorization
 - ▶ Systematicness of OPE
 - Operator level
 - Position space [a lot of simplification for beyond leading twist]
- \blacktriangleright Has common parts with small-x and SCET computations
- ▶ Generalization of ordinary background method

Universität Regensburg

э

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Background field method for parton physics (in a nutshell)

$$\langle h|T J^{\mu}(z)J^{\nu}(0)|h\rangle = \int [D\bar{q}DqDA]e^{iS_{\rm QCD}}\Psi^*[\bar{q},q,A]J^{\mu}(z)J^{\nu}(0)\Psi[\bar{q},q,A]$$

Cannot be integrated since Ψ is unknown



Background field method for parton physics (in a nutshell)

Integral can be partially computed



13/28

January 14, 2022

Background field method for parton physics (in a nutshell)

$$\langle h|T J^{\mu}(z) J^{\nu}(0)|h\rangle = \int [D\bar{q}DqDA] e^{iS_{QCD}} \Psi^{*}[\bar{q}, q, A] J^{\mu}(z) J^{\nu}(0) \Psi[\bar{q}, q, A]$$

$$Parton model$$

$$\Psi contains only collinear particles
$$\Psi[\bar{q}, q, A] \rightarrow \Psi[\bar{q}_{\bar{n}}, q_{\bar{n}}, A_{\bar{n}}]$$

$$\{\partial_{+}, \partial_{-}, \partial_{T}\}q_{\bar{n}} \lesssim \{1, \lambda^{2}, \lambda\}q_{\bar{n}}$$
Integral can be partially computed
$$\langle h|T J^{\mu}(z) J^{\nu}(0)|h\rangle = \int [D\bar{q}_{\bar{n}}Dq_{\bar{n}}DA_{\bar{n}}] e^{iS_{QCD}} \Psi^{*}[\bar{q}, q, A] J^{\mu\nu}_{eff}[\bar{q}_{\bar{n}}, q_{\bar{n}}, A_{\bar{n}}](z) \Psi[\bar{q}, q, A]$$

$$\int_{eff}^{\mu\nu} = \int [D\bar{\psi}D\psi DB] e^{iS_{QCD}+iS_{back}[\bar{q}, q, A]} J^{\mu}[q + \psi](z) J^{\nu}[q + \psi](0)$$
Generating function for operator product expansion$$

A.Vladimirov

January 14, 2022 13 / 28

Background QCD with 2-component background

$$q \to q_n + q_{\bar{n}} + \psi \qquad A^\mu \to A^\mu_n + A^\mu_{\bar{n}} + B^\mu$$

> Technical note: S_{QCD} for 2-component background has 1PI vertices!



TMD operator expansion is conceptually similar to ordinary OPE **The only difference** is counting rule for *y*

$$\begin{split} W_{\rm DY}^{\mu\nu} &= \int \frac{d^4 y}{(2\pi)^4} e^{-i(yq)} \sum_X \langle p_1, p_2 | J^{\mu\dagger}(y) | X \rangle \langle X | J^{\nu}(0) | p_1, p_2 \rangle, \\ W_{\rm SIDIS}^{\mu\nu} &= \int \frac{d^4 y}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i(yq)} \sum_X \langle p_1 | J^{\mu\dagger}(y) | p_2, X \rangle \langle p_2, X | J^{\nu}(0) | p_1 \rangle, \\ W_{\rm SIA}^{\mu\nu} &= \int \frac{d^4 y}{(2\pi)^4} e^{i(yq)} \sum_X \langle 0 | J^{\mu\dagger}(y) | p_1, p_2, X \rangle \langle p_1, p_2, X | J^{\nu}(0) | 0 \rangle. \\ (q \cdot y) \sim 1 \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad \{y^+, y^-, y_T\} \sim \{\frac{1}{q^-}, \frac{1}{q^+}, \frac{1}{q_T}\} \sim \frac{1}{Q} \{1, 1, \lambda^{-1}\} \end{split}$$

To be accounted in operator expansion

$$z_T^\mu \partial_\mu q \sim \text{NLP}, \qquad y_T^\mu \partial_\mu q \sim \text{LP}$$

A.Vladimirov







$\mathbf{TMD}\text{-twist}$

Each light-cone operator must be twist-decomposed

- ▶ Geometrical twist = dimension spin (projected to light-cone)
- ▶ Half-integer spin operators

$$(\bar{q}\gamma^+\gamma^-)_i = \text{twist-1} \left(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{1}{2}\right)$$

$$\bullet \quad (\bar{q}\gamma^{-}\gamma^{+})_{i} = \text{twist-indefinite} \Rightarrow \text{EOM} \Rightarrow \underbrace{\left(\bar{q}\gamma^{+}\frac{\partial T}{\overline{\partial_{+}}}\right)_{i}}_{\substack{\text{tot.der.}\\\text{twist-1}}} + \underbrace{\int_{i} (\bar{q}\gamma^{\mu}F_{\mu+}\gamma^{+})_{i}}_{\substack{\text{twist-2}}}$$



臣

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

TMD operators of different TMD-twists

(-	1,1)			
$O_{11}(z,b) = \bar{\xi}(zn+b)[]\Gamma[]\xi(0)$	$ \begin{split} & \Gamma = \{\gamma^+, \gamma^+ \gamma^5, \sigma^{\alpha+}\} \\ & \Rightarrow \text{ well known 8 TMD distributions} \end{split} $			
(1,2)	& (2,1)			
$O_{21}(z_{1,2},b) = \bar{\xi}(z_1n+b)[]F_{\mu+}(z_2+b)[]\Gamma[]\xi(0)$ $O_{12}(z_{1,2},b) = \bar{\xi}(z_1n+b)[]\Gamma[]F_{\mu+}(z_2)[]\xi(0)$	 Γ = {γ⁺, γ⁺γ⁵, σ^{α+}} 16 (?) TMD distributions Related by charge-conjugation ⇔ complex/real 			
(1,3) & (;	3,1) & (2,2)			
$\begin{array}{rcl} O_{31;1}(z_{1,2,3},b) &=& \bar{\xi}F_{\mu+}F_{\nu+}[]\Gamma[]\xi(0) \\ O_{22}(z_{1,2,3},b) &=& \bar{\xi}F_{\mu+}[]\Gamma[]F_{\nu+}\xi(0) \\ O_{31;2}(z_{1,2,3},b) &=& \bar{\xi}(\bar{\xi}\Gamma_{2}\xi)[]\Gamma[]\xi(0) \\ O_{31;3}(z_{1,2},b) &=& \bar{\xi}F_{-+}[]\Gamma[]\xi(0) \\ & & \dots \end{array}$	▶ Quasi-partonic and non-quasi-partonic			

Operators with different TMD-twists do not mix renormalization/evolution is independent independent TMD distributions

Evolution of TMD distribution with TMD-twist=(N,M)

$$\Phi_{NM}(x_1, ..., x_n, b) = \int dz_1 ... dz_n e^{-ip_+(x_1 z_1 + ... + x_n z_n)} \langle p | \overline{U}_N(\{z_1, ...\}, b) U_M(\{..., z_k\}, 0_T) | p \rangle$$

▶ Each light-cone operator U renormalizes independently (because there is a finite y_T in-between)

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} U_N(\{z_1,\ldots\},b) = \gamma_N \otimes U_N(\{z_1,\ldots\},b)$$

Light-cone operators with different N do not mix (Lorentz invariance!)
Evolution of TMD distribution

$$\mu \frac{d}{d\mu} \Phi_{NM}(x_1, ..., x_n, b) = (\overline{\gamma}_N + \gamma_M) \otimes \Phi_{NM}(x_1, ..., x_n, b)$$

Universität Regensburg

Evolution of a twist-2 semi-compact operator at LO



UV anomalous dimension of a **semi-compact** operator has two parts

Compart part

 $\frac{\sigma}{\sigma} < z_{\max}$ Reproduces elementry evolution kernel Bukhvostov-Frolov-Lipatov-Kuraev for QP operator

Braun-Manashov-Rohrwild for non-QP operators

Non-Compart part

 $z_{\max} < \sigma$ Collinearly divergent (UV/collinear overlap) Needs a regulator to compute (canceled by UV part of rap.divergence)

$$\begin{split} \gamma_2(\mu,\zeta) &= a_s \Biggl\{ 2\mathbb{H}_1 + \left[C_F\left(\frac{3}{2} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\zeta}\right) \right) + 2\left(C_F - \frac{C_A}{2} \right) \ln\left(\frac{q^+}{\hat{p}_{\xi}^+}\right) + C_A \ln\left(\frac{q^+}{\hat{p}_{A}^+}\right) \right] \Biggr\} + O(a_s^2). \end{split}$$

20/28

Rapidity divergences appears due to overlap of the fields in the soft region





$$\widetilde{R}\left(b^2,\frac{\delta^+}{\nu^+}\right) = 1 - 4a_s C_F \Gamma(-\epsilon) \left(-\frac{b^2 \mu^2}{4e^{-\gamma_E}}\right)^\epsilon \ln\left(\frac{\delta^+}{\nu^+}\right) + O(a_s^2)$$

Rapidity divergence arise from the interaction with the far end of neighbour Wilson line



A.Vladimirov

Evolution for quasi-partonic TMD operators (distributions)

$$\mu^{2} \frac{d\Phi_{NM}}{d\mu^{2}}(\mu,\zeta) = (\overline{\gamma}_{N}(\mu,\zeta) + \gamma_{M}(\mu,\zeta)) \otimes \Phi_{NM}(\mu,\zeta)$$
$$\zeta \frac{d\Phi_{NM}}{d\zeta}(\mu,\zeta) = -\mathcal{D}(b,\mu) \otimes \Phi_{NM}(\mu,\zeta)$$

- \triangleright γ_1 known up to NNLO
- \triangleright γ_2 known up to LO
- \triangleright γ_3 could be reconstructed at LO (if ever needed)
- ▶ $\mathcal{D} = -K/2$ is CS-kernel (non-perturbative)
 - ▶ Same for all QP operators!

Computing TMD factorization

Keldysh thechnique to deal with causality structure

 $\rightarrow J^{(+)\mu}(y)J^{(-)\nu}(0)$

Details & examples in [2109.09711]



Computing TMD factorization





Computing TMD factorization



NLO computation



Main check of factorization: pole cancellation



NLO computation

Extra facts

- ▶ At LP and NLP one Sudakov form factor is needed (exchange diagrams are NNLP)
- ▶ Computation for Sudakov is done for LP and NLP both at NLO
 - ▶ Position space
 - ▶ LP is well known (up to N³LO) and coincides
 - ▶ Twist-(1,1) part of NLP is the same as LP
 - ▶ Required by EM gauge invariance Non-trivial check
 - ▶ Twist-(1,2) part is totally new
- ▶ The UV and rapidity divergences of NLP operators computed independently
 - (position space) BFLK part coincide with [Braun, Manashov, 09]
 - ▶ (momentum space) "Coincides" with [Beneke, et al, 17] (up to missed channels)
- ▶ Checks
 - > Pole parts of hard coefficient and operators cancel very non-trivial check
 - Some diagrams are computed in momentum space check

A.Vladimirov

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Universität Regensburg

$$\begin{array}{l} \text{Effective operator for any process (DY, SIDIS, SIA)} \\ \mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(q) &= \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(qb)} \Biggl\{ \int dx d\bar{x} \delta\left(x - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) |C_1|^2 \mathcal{J}_{1111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \\ &+ \int [dx] d\bar{x} \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) \\ &\times \left(\delta\left(x_1 - \frac{q_1^+}{p_1^+}\right) C_1^* C_2(x_{2,3}) \mathcal{J}_{1211}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) + \delta\left(x_3 + \frac{q_1^+}{p_1^+}\right) C_2^*(x_{1,2}) C_1 \mathcal{J}_{2111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \right) \\ &+ \int dx [d\bar{x}] \delta\left(x - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) \\ &\times \left(C_1^* C_2(\bar{x}_{2,3}) \delta\left(\bar{x}_1 - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) \mathcal{J}_{1112}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) + C_2^*(\bar{x}_{1,2}) C_1 \delta\left(\bar{x}_3 + \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) \mathcal{J}_{1121}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \right) \\ &+ \ldots \Biggr\}$$

(m * *

0 * T * C

~~ · ·

Universität Regensburg

- E

・ロト ・四ト ・ヨト ・ヨト

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\rm eff}^{\mu\nu}(q) &= \int \frac{d^2 b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(qb)} \Biggl\{ \int dx d\bar{x} \delta\left(x - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) |C_1|^2 \mathcal{J}_{1111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \\ &+ \int [dx] d\bar{x} \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) \end{aligned}$$
(6.17)

$$\begin{split} \mathcal{J}_{1111}^{\mu\nu}(x,\tilde{x},b) &= \frac{\gamma_{T,ij}^{\mu}\gamma_{T,kl}^{\nu}}{N_c} \Big(\mathcal{O}_{11,\bar{n}}^{li}(x,b)\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{11,n}^{jk}(\tilde{x},b) + \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{11,\bar{n}}^{jk}(x,b)\mathcal{O}_{11,n}^{li}(\tilde{x},b) \Big) & (x,\tilde{x},b) \Big) \\ &+ i \frac{n^{\mu}\gamma_{T,ij}^{\rho}\gamma_{T,kl}^{\nu} + n^{\nu}\gamma_{T,ij}^{\mu}\gamma_{T,kl}^{\rho}}{q^{+}N_c} \Big(\partial_{\rho}\mathcal{O}_{11,\bar{n}}^{li}(x,b)\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{11,n}^{jk}(\tilde{x},b) + \partial_{\rho}\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{11,\bar{n}}^{jk}(x,b)\mathcal{O}_{11,n}^{li}(\tilde{x},b) \Big) \\ &+ i \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}\gamma_{T,ij}^{\rho}\gamma_{T,kl}^{\nu} + \bar{n}^{\nu}\gamma_{T,ij}^{\mu}\gamma_{T,kl}^{\rho}}{q^{-}N_c} \Big(\mathcal{O}_{11,\bar{n}}^{li}(x,b)\partial_{\rho}\overline{\mathcal{O}}_{11,n}^{jk}(\tilde{x},b) + \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{11,\bar{n}}^{jk}(x,b)\partial_{\rho}\mathcal{O}_{11,n}^{li}(\tilde{x},b) \Big), \end{split}$$

► Operators of (1, 1) × (1, 1) (ordinary TMDs)

$$\mathcal{O}_{11}^{ij}(x,b) = p_+ \int \frac{d\lambda}{2\pi} e^{-ix\lambda p_+} \bar{q}_j [\lambda n + b, \pm \infty n + b] [\pm \infty n, 0] q_i$$

 $\blacktriangleright\,$ Restores EM gauge invariance up to λ^3

$$q_{\mu}J_{1111}^{\mu\nu} \sim (p_1^-q_T + p_2^+q_T)J_{1111}$$

Universität Regensburg

- 2

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Effective operator for any process (DY, SIDIS, SIA)

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(q) &= \int \frac{d^2 b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(qb)} \Biggl\{ \int dx d\bar{x} \delta\left(x - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) |C_1|^2 \mathcal{J}_{1111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \end{aligned} \tag{6.17} \\ &+ \int [dx] d\bar{x} \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) \\ &\times \left(\delta\left(x_1 - \frac{q_1^+}{p_1^+}\right) C_1^* C_2(x_2, \mathbf{y}) \mathcal{J}_{1211}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b)\right) + \delta\left(x_3 + \frac{q_1^+}{p_1^+}\right) C_2^*(x_{1,2}) C_1 \mathcal{J}_{2111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \Biggr) \\ &+ \int dx [d\bar{x}] \delta\left(x - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) \end{aligned}$$

 $\mathcal{J}_{1211}^{\mu\nu}(x,\tilde{x},b) = \frac{ig}{x_2} \left(\frac{\bar{n}^{\nu}}{q^-} - \frac{n^{\nu}}{q^+}\right) \frac{\gamma_{T,ij}^{\mu} \delta_{kl}}{N_c} \left(\mathcal{O}_{12,\bar{n}}^{jk}(x,b) \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{11,n}^{jk}(\tilde{x},b) - \overline{\mathcal{O}}_{12,\bar{n}}^{jk}(x,b) \mathcal{O}_{11,n}^{li}(\tilde{x},b)\right)$

• Operators of $(1,2) \times (1,1)$ $\mathcal{O}_{12}^{ij}(x_{1,2,3},b) = p_+^2 \int \frac{dz_{1,2,3}}{2\pi} e^{-ix^i z_i p_+} \bar{q}_j[z_1n+b,\pm\infty n+b][\pm\infty n,z_2n]\gamma^{\mu}F_{\mu+}[z_2n,z_3n]q_i$

▶ EM gauge invarint only up to NNLP

$$q_{\mu}J_{1211}^{\mu\nu} \sim (p_1^- + p_2^+)J_{1211}$$

Universität Regensburg

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

Effective operator for any process (DY, SIDIS, SIA)

$$\mathcal{J}_{\text{eff}}^{\mu\nu}(q) = \int \frac{d^2b}{(2\pi)^2} e^{-i(qb)} \left\{ \int dx d\bar{x} \delta\left(x - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) |C_1|^2} \mathcal{J}_{1111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \qquad (6.17)$$

$$+ \int [dx] d\bar{x} \delta\left(\bar{x} - \frac{q^-}{p_2^-}\right) \times \left(\delta\left(x_1 - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) C_1^* C_2(x_{2,3}) \mathcal{J}_{1211}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) + \delta\left(x_3 + \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) C_2^*(x_{1,2}) C_1 \mathcal{J}_{2111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \right)$$

$$+ \int dx[d\bar{x}] \delta\left(x - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) \mathcal{I}_{1211}^*(x, \bar{x}, b) + \delta\left(x_3 + \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) C_2^*(x_{1,2}) C_1 \mathcal{J}_{2111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \right)$$

$$+ \int dx[d\bar{x}] \delta\left(x - \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) \mathcal{I}_{1211}^*(x, \bar{x}, b) + \delta\left(x_3 + \frac{q^+}{p_1^+}\right) C_2^*(x_{1,2}) C_1 \mathcal{J}_{2111}^{\mu\nu}(x, \bar{x}, b) \right)$$

$$C_1 = 1 + a_s C_F \left(-L_Q^2 + 3L_Q - 8 + \frac{\pi^2}{6}\right) + C_A \frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1} \ln\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_2}\right) + \left(C_F - \frac{C_A}{2}\right) \frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_2} \ln\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1}\right) \left(2L_Q - \ln\left(\frac{x_1 + x_2}{x_1}\right) - 4\right)\right]$$

$$Coefficient functions up to NLO$$

$$C_1 \text{ is know up to N^3LO}$$

$$C_1 \text{ is same for LP, NLP, ... parts of operator $J_{1111}^{\mu\nu}$

$$Uurestilt Regentage$$$$

Conclusion

What I have not told:

- Process dependence and Wilson lines
- ▶ Cancellation between end-point divergences and derivatives of soft-factor
- ▶ Systematization of NLP TMD distributions, and expression for cross-section in these terms
- ▶ Matching to collinear factorization
- ▶ Application for different objects (lattice)

Roadmap for power corrections in TMD

- ▶ NLP/NLO (done) [2109.09771]
- ▶ NNLP (done)/NLO (in progress)
- ▶ Summation of descendants of LP \Rightarrow restoration of EM gauge invariance (in progress)
- ▶ Phenomenology ...

TMD operator expansion – an efficient approach to TMD factorization beyond LP

- Operator level / Position space / All processes
- Strict & intuitive rules for operator sorting (TMD-twist)

Thank you for attention!



A.Vladimirov

Power for TMD

January 14, 2022 28 / 28

The most efficient way to study power corrections: OPE + background formalism

- Many results (so far) unreachable by other methods
 - Twist-3, twist-4 evolution kernels [Braun, Manashov, 08-09]
 - Coefficient function for various observables (e.g. quasi-PDFs at twist-3 [Braun,Ji,AV,20-21])
 - All-Power corrections (DVCS [Braun,Manashov,17-21], target-mass corrections to TMDs [Moos,AV,20])
- ▶ Clear and strict formulation \Rightarrow Simple computation
- ▶ Twist-decomposition



$$J^{\mu}(z)J^{\nu}(0) \xrightarrow{\text{OPE}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n} [C_{n}^{\mu\nu} \otimes O_{n}](z^{+})$$

Leading power \Rightarrow GPDs
violates EM Ward identities
and translation invariance

Universität Regensburg

The most efficient way to study power corrections: OPE + background formalism

- ▶ Many results (so far) unreachable by other methods
 - ▶ Twist-3, twist-4 evolution kernels [Braun, Manashov, 08-09]
 - Coefficient function for various observables (e.g. quasi-PDFs at twist-3 [Braun,Ji,AV,20-21])
 - All-Power corrections (DVCS [Braun,Manashov,17-21], target-mass corrections to TMDs [Moos,AV,20])
- ▶ Clear and strict formulation \Rightarrow Simple computation
- ▶ Twist-decomposition

$k_1 $	$J^{\mu}(z)J^{\nu}(0) \xrightarrow{\text{OPE}} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} z^{n} [C_{n}^{\mu\nu} \otimes O_{n}](z^{+})$					
γ_{γ} γ_{α}	power	operators				
	0	$\bar{q}[]q$				
$p_1 \qquad p_2$	1	$\bar{q}[]q$	$\bar{q}F_{\mu+}[]q$			
DVCS	2	$ar{q}[]q$	$\bar{q}F_{\mu+}[]q$	$\begin{vmatrix} \bar{q}F_{\mu+}F_{\nu+}[]q\\ \bar{q}[]q\bar{q}[]q\\ \bar{q}F_{\mu+}[]\gamma^{-}q \end{vmatrix}$		
		tw2	tw3	tw4	U R	
					Universit	it Regensburg
			• • •		⇒ ≣	900
A.Vladimirov	Power fo	or TMD		January 14.	2022	29/28

The most efficient way to study power corrections: OPE + background formalism

- Many results (so far) unreachable by other methods
 - Twist-3, twist-4 evolution kernels [Braun, Manashov, 08-09]
 - Coefficient function for various observables (e.g. quasi-PDFs at twist-3 [Braun,Ji,AV,20-21])
 - All-Power corrections (DVCS [Braun,Manashov,17-21], target-mass corrections to TMDs [Moos,AV,20])
 - Clear and strict formulation \Rightarrow Simple computation
- Twist-decomposition





(LO) UV anomalous dimension of a semi-compact operator has two parts

Compart part

 $\sigma < z_{
m max}$ Reproduce elementry evolution kernel ^{Bukhvostov-Frolov-Lipatov-} Kuraev for QP operator

Braun-Manshov-Rohrwild for non-QP operators

Non-Compart part

 $z_{\text{max}} < \sigma$ Collinearly divergent (UV/collinear overlap) Needs a regulator to compute (canceled by UV part of rap.divergence)

• • • • • • • • • • •

Universität Regensburg



- Confirmed by direct computation
- Same structure for QP operators of higher twists
- ▶ Non-QP operator different... (in progress)

A.Vladimirov

Image: A matched block

Universität Regensburg

$$\begin{aligned} & \int_{(x_{1},x_{2})} \int_{(x_$$

A.Vladimirov

Power for TMD

January 14, 2022 30 / 28

Process dependence

The background can be taken in any gauge (since it is gauge invariant)

- ▶ Light-cone gauge kills operators with $A_{+,\bar{n}}$ and $A_{-,n}$ (~ 1 in power counting).
- Convenient choice of gauges
 - ▶ Collinear field $A_+ = 0$
 - ▶ Anti-Collinear field $A_{-} = 0$
 - Dynamical field: Feynman gauge

▶ However one needs to specify boundary condition. The result depends on it.

$$\begin{split} A^{\mu}_{\bar{n}}(z) &= -g \int_{-\infty}^{0} d\sigma F^{\mu+}_{\bar{n}}(z+n\sigma) \quad \text{vs.} \quad A^{\mu}_{\bar{n}}(z) = -g \int_{+\infty}^{0} d\sigma F^{\mu+}_{\bar{n}}(z+n\sigma) \\ \bar{q}[z,z-\infty n] \quad \text{vs.} \quad \bar{q}[z,z+\infty n] \\ \text{etc.} \end{split}$$

To specify boundary and WL direction, we should go to NLO

Universität Regensburg (그 > 《 퀸 > 《 문 > 《 문 > 문 · 의 Q (~ January 14, 2022 31/28



A.Vladimirov

January 14, 2022



A.Vladimirov

January 14, 2022

$$I = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dz^{+} dz^{-} \frac{f_{\bar{n}}(z^{-}) f_{n}(z^{+})}{[-2z^{+}z^{-} + i0]^{\alpha}}$$

$$f's \text{ are TMDPDFs or TMDFFs} \xrightarrow{f_{n}(z^{-}) \text{ is analytical in } \int \frac{\text{for DY } \text{ for SIDIS } \text{ for SIA}}{|\text{lower } |\text{ upper } |\text{ half-plane.}}$$





Universität Regensburg

▲□▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖▶ ▲圖 - のへの

