ASPECTS OF THRESHOLD RESUMMATION AT NEXT-TO-LEADING POWER

Leonardo Vernazza

INFN - University of Torino

Vienna, 26/11/2021







H2020 MSCA COFUND G.A. 754496

OUTLINE

- Particle scattering near threshold
- Factorization and resummation at NLP
- New partonic channels in DIS and DY

JHEP 10 (2020), 196, [arXiv:2008.04943],

with M. Beneke, M. Garny, S. Jaskiewicz, R. Szafron and J. Wang.

[arXiv: 2109.09752],

with M. van Beekveld and C. D. White.

PARTICLE SCATTERING NEAR THRESHOLD



PRECISION FOR COLLIDER PHYSICS

Hard scattering processes are calculated in perturbation theory.







- Going beyond NNLO and N3LO is difficult, yet necessary to match the precision of current and forthcoming experiments!
- Loop and phase space integrals:
 - Analytic vs numerical evaluation
 - Space of functions
 - Infrared divergences
 - Large logarithms

This talk



PRECISION FOR COLLIDER PHYSICS

• The presence of largely different scales gives rise to large logarithms:

 $d\sigma \sim 1 + \alpha_s (L^2 + L + 1) + \alpha_s^2 (L^4 + L^3 + L^2 + L + 1) + \dots$



• Large logarithms spoil the convergence of the perturbative series:

 \rightarrow need resummation.

PARTICLE SCATTERING NEAR THRESHOLD

Consider the DY invariant mass distribution:

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2} = \tau \,\tilde{\sigma}_0(Q^2) \int_{\tau}^1 \frac{dz}{z} \,\mathcal{L}_{ab}\left(\frac{\tau}{z}\right) \Delta_{ab}(z),$$

$$\mathcal{L}_{ab}(y) = \int_{y}^{1} \frac{dx}{x} f_{a/A}(x) f_{b/B}\left(\frac{y}{x}\right)$$

Near partonic threshold:

$$au = rac{Q^2}{s}, \quad z = rac{Q^2}{\hat{s}}, \quad (z \ge au), \quad z o 1,$$

the partonic cross section has the singular expansion





PARTICLE SCATTERING NEAR THRESHOLD: LP

$$\frac{d\sigma}{dz} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} c_{nm}^{(-1)} \left.\frac{\log^m(1-z)}{1-z}\right|_+ + \dots$$

- Large threshold logarithms spoil the reliability of the perturbative expansion and needs to be resummed
- Resummation of LP logarithms is well established: it relies on factorization and exponentiation properties of soft radiation.
- The resummation of threshold logarithms leads to a more reliable perturbative expansion.
- More relevant for the production of heavy final states (HH, $t\bar{t}$, $t\bar{t}W$, $t\bar{t}H$, ...)



Bonvini, Marzani, Muselli, Rottoli 2016

PARTICLE SCATTERING NEAR THRESHOLD: NLP

• What about NLP and higher power terms?

$$\Delta_{ab}(z) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \alpha_s^n \left[c_n \delta(1-z) + \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} \left(c_{nm} \left[\frac{\ln^m (1-z)}{1-z} \right]_+ + d_{nm} \ln^m (1-z) \right) + \dots \right].$$

- Can be relevant for precision physics!
- Interesting problem: probes all-order structures beyond the semi-classical approximation.



Kramer, Laenen, Spira, 1998

Anastasiou, Duhr, Dulat, Herzog, Mistlberger, 2015

PARTICLE SCATTERING NEAR THRESHOLD: NLP

• At NLP more production channels contribute. Convergence is faster for leading channels.







FACTORIZATION AND RESUMMATION AT NLP

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\xi} \sim \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\right)^n \left[c_n \delta(\xi) + \sum_{m=0}^{2n-1} \left(c_{nm} \left[\frac{\ln^m(\xi)}{\xi}\right]_+ \left(\frac{d_{nm} \ln^m(\xi)}{\xi}\right)_+ \dots\right]$$

- Understanding the factorization and resummation of large logarithms at next-to-leading power (NLP) has been subject of intense work in the past few years!
- Drell-Yan, Higgs and DIS near threshold

Del Duca, 1990; Bonocore, Laenen, Magnea, LV, White, 2014, 2015, 2016; Bahjat-Abbas, Bonocore, Sinninghe Damsté, Laenen, Magnea, LV, White, 2019; van Beekveld, Beenakker, Laenen, White, 2019; van Beekveld, Laenen, Sinninghe Damsté, LV, 2021; Beneke, Broggio, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2018; Beneke, Broggio, Jaskiewicz, LV, 2019; Beneke, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2019, 2020.

Operators and Anomalous dimensions

Larkoski, Neill, Stewart 2014; Moult, Stewart, Vita 2017; Feige, Kolodrubetz, Moult, Stewart 2017; Beneke, Garny, Szafron, Wang, 2017, 2018, 2019.

Thrust

Moult, Stewart, Vita, Zhu 2018, 2019.

pT and Rapidity logarithms

Ebert, Moult, Stewart, Tackmann, Vita, 2018,

Moult, Vita Yan 2019;

Cieri, Oleari, Rocco, 2019; Oleari, Rocco 2020.

Mass effects

Liu, Neubert 2019; Liu, Mecaj, Neubert, Wang, Fleming, 2020; Liu, Mecaj, Neubert, Wang, 2020; Anastasiou, Penin, 2020. And many more! [O(50 publications) and counting]

SCATTERING NEAR THRESHOLD: LP VS NLP



FACTORISATION OF SOFT GLUONS AT LP

• Emission of soft gluons from an energetic parton (quark):



$$= \mathcal{M} \frac{\not p - \not k}{2p \cdot k} \gamma^{\mu} T^{A} u(p) \sim \mathcal{M} \frac{p^{\mu}}{p \cdot k} T^{A} u(p).$$

• Emission of multiple soft gluons factorises:



$$\sim \mathcal{MSu}(p), \qquad \mathcal{S} = \langle 0 | \Phi_{\beta}(-\infty, 0) | 0 \rangle,$$
 $\Phi_{\beta}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2) = \mathcal{P} \exp\left\{ i g_s \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} d\lambda \ \beta \cdot A(\lambda\beta) \right\}$

• In general



 $\sim \mathcal{MSu}(p_1)\bar{v}(p_2)\ldots\bar{u}(p_n),$

$$\mathcal{S} = \langle 0 | \Phi_1 \dots \Phi_n | 0 \rangle \sim e^{\mathcal{W}_E}.$$

Collins, Soper,Sterman, 1989; Gardi, Laenen, Stavenga, White, 2010; Gardi, Smillie, White, 2013

EXPANSION BY REGIONS

Beyond leading power one has non-trivial effects due to virtual gluons:



The loop momentum runs over all scales, cannot be treated as soft:

$$egin{aligned} k &= n_+ \cdot k rac{n_-}{2} \,+\, n_- \cdot k rac{n_+}{2} \,+\, k_\perp, \ &n_{\pm}^2 = 0, \qquad n_- \cdot n_+ = 2, \quad n_- \sim rac{p}{\hat{s}}, \end{aligned}$$

EXPANSION BY REGIONS: LP



• Virtual gluons gives non-analytical contributions \propto to the scales of the problem: LP

$$|\mathcal{M}|^{2} \propto \frac{\hat{s}^{2}}{tu} \left\{ C_{F}^{2} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-s} \right)^{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{3}{\epsilon} + \dots \right) + C_{A}C_{F} \left(\frac{\hat{s}\,\mu^{2}}{t\,u} \right)^{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} + \dots \right) \right\} + \dots$$

$$\downarrow$$
Factorisation
$$\downarrow$$

$$S\left[\frac{\hat{s}\,\mu^{2}}{t\,u}, \epsilon \right] \times H\left[\frac{\mu^{2}}{-\hat{s}}, \epsilon \right]$$

• Factorisation: physics at different scales is uncorrelated.

EXPANSION BY REGIONS: NLP



• Virtual gluons gives non-analytical contributions \propto to the scales of the problem: NLP

$$\mathcal{M}|^{2} \propto C_{F}^{2} \left\{ \frac{\hat{s}(t+u)}{tu} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-\hat{s}}\right)^{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon^{2}} - \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ldots\right) + \left[\frac{\overset{\mathrm{NLP}}{s}}{t} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-t}\right)^{\epsilon} + \frac{\hat{s}}{u} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-u}\right)^{\epsilon} \right] \left(-\frac{2}{\epsilon} + \ldots\right) \right\} \right.$$

$$+ C_{A}C_{F} \frac{\hat{s}(t+u)}{tu} \left(\frac{\hat{s}\mu^{2}}{tu}\right)^{\epsilon} \left(-\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}} + \ldots\right) + \left[\frac{\hat{s}}{t} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-t}\right)^{\epsilon} + \frac{\hat{s}}{u} \left(\frac{\mu^{2}}{-u}\right)^{\epsilon} \right] \left(-\frac{5}{2} + \ldots\right) \right\} + \ldots$$

$$\downarrow$$
Factorization?
$$\downarrow$$

$$S \left[\frac{\hat{s}\mu^{2}}{tu}, \epsilon \right] \times J \left[\frac{\mu^{2}}{-t}, \epsilon \right] \times \bar{J} \left[\frac{\mu^{2}}{-u}, \epsilon \right] \times H \left[\frac{\mu^{2}}{-\hat{s}}, \epsilon \right]$$

- Need an effective approach to take into account hard, collinear and soft modes.
- Two approaches: ~ Diagrammatic; ~ Soft Collinear Effective Field Theory.

FACTORIZATION AND RESUMMATION: DIAGRAMMATIC VS SCET



DIAGRAMMATIC FACTORIZATION AT NLP: DRELL YAN

Describe momentum regions in terms of universal functions in QCD:



$$\mathcal{A}_{\mu,a}(p_j,k) = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \left(\frac{1}{2} \,\widetilde{\mathcal{S}}_{\mu,a}(p_j,k) + g \,\mathbf{T}_{i,a} \,G_{i,\mu}^{\nu} \,\frac{\partial}{\partial p_i^{\nu}} + J_{\mu,a}\left(p_i,n_i,k\right) \right) \mathcal{A}(p_j) - \mathcal{A}_{\mu,a}^{\widetilde{\mathcal{J}}}(p_j,k)$$

for $n_1 = p_2$, $n_2 = p_1$.

(Removes soft-collinear overlap in the radiative jet)

DIAGRAMMATIC FACTORIZATION AT NLP

 Exponentiation (resummation): investigate the combinatorial structure of all-order classes of diagrams, constructed with the functions defined before, contributing to a given logarithmic accuracy at NLP (more later).

> Bahjat-Abbas, Bonocore, Sinninghe Damsté, Laenen, Magnea, LV, White, 2019; van Beekveld, LV, White 2021

- The example discussed so far accurately describes DY up to NNLO. In general, one needs to take into account
 - processes with more than two external directions;
 - factorization beyond one loop;
 - Multiple soft gluon emission.
- Task: obtain a classification of the jet-like structures, consisting of virtual radiation collinear to any of the *n* external hard particles, contributing at subleading power in a parametrically small scale, corresponding to a fermion mass or a soft external momentum.



DIAGRAMMATIC FACTORISATION AT NLP



Work in progress: in QED one obtains the all-orders factorization formula

$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{LP}} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{n} J_{(f)}(\hat{p}_{i})\right) \otimes H(\hat{p}_{1}, \dots, \hat{p}_{n}) S(n_{i} \cdot n_{j}), \qquad \qquad \text{Laenen, Sinninghe Damsté, LV, Waalewijn, Zoppi, 2020}$$
$$\mathcal{M}^{\mathrm{NLP}}_{\mathrm{coll}} = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j \neq i} J_{(f)}^{j}\right) \left[J_{(f\gamma)}^{i} \otimes H_{(f\gamma)}^{i} + J_{(f\partial\gamma)}^{i} \otimes H_{(f\partial\gamma)}^{i}\right] S + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j \neq i} J_{(f)}^{j}\right) J_{(f\gamma\gamma)}^{i} \otimes H_{(f\gamma\gamma)}^{i} S \\ + \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(\prod_{j \neq i} J_{(f)}^{j}\right) J_{(fff)}^{i} \otimes H_{(fff)}^{i} S + \sum_{1 \leq i \leq j \leq n} \left(\prod_{k \neq i, j} J_{(f\gamma)}^{k}\right) J_{(f\gamma)}^{i} J_{(f\gamma)}^{j} \otimes H_{(f\gamma)(f\gamma)}^{ij} S.$$

- The main message: in general, more types of jet functions are needed, involving two or more particles along a given collinear direction.
- Possible drawbacks: soft-collinear overlap, power expansion of the factorized functions.

FACTORIZATION AND RESUMMATION IN SCET AT LP

Effective Lagrangian and operators made of collinear and soft fields.

 $\mathcal{L}_{\text{SCET}} = \sum_{i} \mathcal{L}_{c_i} + \mathcal{L}_s,$ $\mathcal{O}_n = \int dt_1 \dots dt_n \, \mathcal{C}(t_1, \dots, t_n) \, \phi_1(t_1 n_{1+}) \dots \phi_n(t_n n_{n+}).$ Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Stewart, 2000,2001; Beneke, Chapovsky, Diehl, Feldmann, 2002; Hill, Neubert 2002.

- Constructed to reproduce a scattering process as obtained with the method of regions.
- The cross section factorizes into a hard scattering kernel, and matrix elements of soft and collinear fields.

 $\Lambda I \circ \sigma \circ \sigma \circ \sigma \circ \sigma$

$$\sigma \sim \mathcal{H} \otimes \mathcal{J}_1 \otimes \ldots \otimes \mathcal{J}_n \otimes \mathcal{S}.$$
Hard matching \checkmark Soft funct

Jet functions – matrix elements of collinear fields Soft function – matrix element of soft fields

- Renormalize UV divergences of EFT operators and obtain renormalization group equations.
- Each function depends on a single scale: solving the RGE resums large logarithms.

See e.g. Becher, Neubert 2006

FACTORIZATION IN SCET: LP VS NLP

- Leading power (LP):
 - N-jet operators;
 - Soft-collinear decoupling.
- Next-to-leading power (NLP):
 - Kinematic suppression;
 - Multi-particle emission along the same collinear direction;
 - No soft-collinear decoupling.







• Drell-Yan momentum modes:

$$p_c = (n_+ p_c, n_- p_c, p_{c\perp}) \sim Q(1, \lambda^2, \lambda),$$
$$p_{\bar{c}} = (n_+ p_{\bar{c}}, n_- p_{\bar{c}}, p_{\bar{c}\perp}) \sim Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda),$$
$$p_s = (n_+ p_s, n_- p_s, p_{s\perp}) \sim Q(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2),$$

• At LP the QCD DY current matches to

$$\bar{\psi}\gamma_{\mu}\psi = \int dt \, d\bar{t} \, \tilde{C}^{A0}(t,\bar{t}) \, J^{A0,A0}_{\mu}(t,\bar{t}),$$

where

$$\begin{split} J_{\rho}^{A0A0} &= \bar{\chi}_{\bar{c}}(\bar{t}n_{-}) \, \gamma_{\perp \rho} \, \chi_{c}(tn_{+}) \\ &= \bar{\chi}_{\bar{c}}^{(0)}(\bar{t}n_{-}) Y_{-}^{\dagger}(0) \, \gamma_{\perp \rho} Y_{+}(0) \, \chi_{c}^{(0)}(tn_{+}), \end{split}$$

- After decoupling, soft interaction factorise into soft Wilson lines.
- Initial and final state factorise into soft and PDF-collinear sector:

 $|X\rangle = |X_{\bar{c}}^{\rm PDF}\rangle |X_{c}^{\rm PDF}\rangle |X_{\rm s}\rangle.$

 $p_{c-\mathrm{PDF}} \sim (Q, \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2/Q, \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}),$ $p_{\bar{c}-\mathrm{PDF}} \sim (\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}^2/Q, Q, \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}),$ $Q^2 \lambda^2 = Q(1-z) \gg \Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}.$



• The invariant mass distribution at LP reads

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm DY}}{dQ^2} = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\rm EM}^2}{3N_cQ^4} \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_a dx_b f_{a/A}(x_a) f_{b/B}(x_b) \,\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{\rm LP}(z),$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{\text{LP}}(z) = |C(Q^2)|^2 Q S_{\text{DY}}(Q(1-z)),$$

Sterman, 1987, Catani, Trentadue, 1989, Korchemsky, Marchesini 1993, Becher,Neubert, Xu 2007

• The soft function is a vacuum matrix element of Wilson lines:

$$S_{\rm DY}(\Omega) = \int \frac{dx^0}{4\pi} e^{ix^0 \Omega/2} \frac{1}{N_c} {\rm Tr} \langle 0 | \bar{\mathbf{T}} \left[(Y_+^{\dagger} Y_-)(x^0) \right] \mathbf{T} \left[(Y_-^{\dagger} Y_+)(x^0) \right] | 0 \rangle$$



• Schematic factorization formula at NLP: we expect

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\rm DY}}{dQ^2} = \frac{4\pi\alpha_{\rm EM}^2}{3N_cQ^4} \sum_{a,b} \int_0^1 dx_a dx_b f_{a/A}(x_a) f_{b/B}(x_b) \,\hat{\sigma}_{q\bar{q}}^{\rm NLP}(z),$$

where

$$\hat{\sigma}_{NLP} = \sum_{\text{terms}} \left[C \otimes J \otimes \overline{J} \right] \otimes S,$$

terms

- C is the hard Wilson matching coefficient,
- *S* is a *generalized* soft function,
- J is a new collinear function.
- The collinear function is trivial at LP, because all threshold collinear modes are scaleless.
- The collinear scale is induced by the injection of a soft momentum.



• This is provided at subleading power by soft-collinear interactions. For instance:

$$J_c^{T2}(t) = i \int d^4 z \, \mathbf{T} \left[\chi_c(tn_+) \, \mathcal{L}_{2\xi}^{(2)}(z) \right] \,,$$

where

$$\mathcal{L}_{2\xi}^{(2)}(z) = \frac{1}{2} \, \bar{\chi}_c(z) \, z_{\perp}^{\mu} \, z_{\perp}^{\nu} \left[i \partial_{\nu} \, i n_- \partial \, \mathcal{B}_{\mu}^+(z_-) \right] \frac{\not\!\!\!/ +}{2} \, \chi_c(z) \,, \qquad \mathcal{B}_{\pm}^{\mu} = Y_{\pm}^{\dagger} \left[i \, D_s^{\mu} \, Y_{\pm} \right] \,,$$

• The matrix element reads

$$\begin{split} \langle X | \bar{\psi} \gamma^{\rho} \psi(0) | A(p_A) B(p_B) \rangle &= \int dt \, d\bar{t} \, C^{A0,A0}(t,\bar{t}) \, \langle X_{\bar{c}}^{\text{PDF}} | \bar{\chi}_{\bar{c},\alpha a}(\bar{t}n_-) | B(p_B) \rangle \gamma_{\perp,\alpha\gamma}^{\rho} \\ & \times i \int d^4 z \, \langle X_c^{\text{PDF}} | \frac{1}{2} z_{\perp}^{\nu} z_{\perp}^{\mu} (in_-\partial_z)^2 \, \mathbf{T} \left[\chi_{c,\gamma f}(tn_+) \, \bar{\chi}_c(z) \, \mathbf{T}^A \frac{\not h_+}{2} \chi_c(z) \right] | A(p_A) \rangle \\ & \times \langle X_s | \mathbf{T} \left(\left[Y_-^{\dagger}(0) Y_+(0) \right]_{af} \frac{i \partial_{\perp}^{\mu}}{in_-\partial} \mathcal{B}_{\perp\nu}^{+A}(z_-) \right) | 0 \rangle \,. \end{split}$$

Non-scaleless collinear matrix element

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathsf{(Recall)} \\
\mathcal{I}_{\mu,a}\left(p,n,k\right)u(p) &= \int d^{d}y \, \mathrm{e}^{-\mathrm{i}(p-k)\cdot y} \left\langle 0 \left| \Phi_{n}(\infty,y) \, \psi(y) \right| \underbrace{j_{\mu,a}}_{-g\overline{\psi}(x) \, \gamma^{\mu} \, \mathbf{T}_{a} \, \psi(x) + ..} \right| p \right\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

(Docall)

This is easily generalized at any subleading power: there can be many Lagrangian insertions, each with its own ω_i conjugate to the large component of the collinear momentum.

$$i^{m} \int \{d^{4}z_{j}\} \mathbf{T} \left[\{\psi_{c}(t_{k}n_{+})\} \times \{\mathcal{L}^{(l)}(z_{j})\}\right] = 2\pi \sum_{i} \int du \int \{dz_{j-}\} \tilde{J}_{i}(\{t_{k}\}, u; \{z_{j-}\}) \chi^{\text{PDF}}_{c}(un_{+}) \mathfrak{s}_{i}(\{z_{j-}\}),$$

After taking the matrix element squared, this gives a generalized soft functions:

$$S(\Omega,\omega) = \int \frac{dx^0}{4\pi} e^{ix^0\Omega/2} \left(\prod_{j=1}^n \int \frac{d(z_{-j})}{4\pi} e^{-i\omega_j z_{-j}} \right)$$

× $\operatorname{Tr}\langle 0|\bar{\mathbf{T}}[(Y_+^{\dagger}Y_-)(x^0)] \mathbf{T}[(Y_-^{\dagger}Y_+)(x^0) \times \mathcal{L}_s^n(z_{1-}) \times \ldots \times \mathcal{L}_s^n(z_{n-})] |0\rangle.$

which are equivalent to the generalized Wilson lines built in terms of NLP webs in the diagrammatic approach.

> Beneke, Broggio, Jaskiewicz, LV, 2019



c -threshold

• Up to NLP one has:

Beneke, Broggio, Jaskiewicz, LV, 2019

$$\begin{split} \Delta_{\mathrm{NLP}}^{dyn}(z) &= -\frac{2}{(1-\epsilon)} Q \left[\left(\frac{\not n_-}{4} \right) \gamma_{\perp \rho} \left(\frac{\not n_+}{4} \right) \gamma_{\perp}^{\rho} \right]_{\beta\gamma} \\ & \times \int d(n_+p) \ C^{A0,A0} \left(n_+p, x_b n_-p_B \right) C^{*A0A0} \left(x_a \ n_+p_A, \ x_b n_-p_B \right) \\ & \times \sum_{i=1}^5 \int \left\{ d\omega_j \right\} \ J_i \left(n_+p, x_a \ n_+p_A; \left\{ \omega_j \right\} \right) \ S_i(\Omega; \left\{ \omega_j \right\}) + \mathrm{h.c.} \,. \end{split}$$

- Compare fixed order expansion against results in literature.
 - At NLO this gives the contribution:

$$\Delta_{\rm NLP}^{dyn\,(1)}(z) = 4\,Q\,H^{(0)}(Q^2)\,\int d\omega\,J_{1,2}^{\,(0)}\left(x_a\,n_+p_A;\omega\right)\,S_1^{\,(1)}(\Omega;\omega)$$

• At NNLO:

$$\Delta_{\rm NLP-hard}^{dyn\,(2)}(z) = 2\,Q \int d\omega \,S_1^{(1)}\,(\Omega;\,\omega) \left(\left(H^{(1)}(Q^2) \,J_{1,2}^{(0)}\,(x_a n_+ p_A;\omega) - C^{*A0\,(0)}\,(x_a n_+ p_A, \,x_b n_- p_B) \,J_{1,1}^{(0)}\,(x_a n_+ p_A;\omega) \right) \\ - C^{*A0\,(0)}\,(x_a n_+ p_A, \,x_b n_- p_B) \,J_{1,1}^{(0)}\,(x_a n_+ p_A;\omega) \\ \times \frac{\partial}{\partial x_a(n_+ p_A)} C^{A0\,(1)}(x_a n_+ p_A, \,x_b n_- p_B) \right) + \text{h.c.},$$

Beneke,
Broggio,
Jaskiewicz,
LV, 2019

$$\Delta_{\text{NLP-coll}}^{dyn\,(2)}(z) = 4\,Q\,H^{(0)}(Q^2)\,\int d\omega\,J_{1,2}^{(1)}\left(x_a\,n_+p_A;\omega\right)\,S_1^{(1)}(\Omega;\omega)$$

$$\Delta_{\mathrm{NLP-soft}}^{dyn\,(2)}(z) = -\frac{4}{(1-\epsilon)} Q \left[\left(\frac{\not n_{-}}{4} \right) \gamma_{\perp \rho} \left(\frac{\not n_{+}}{4} \right) \gamma_{\perp}^{\rho} \right]_{\beta\gamma} H^{(0)}(Q^{2})$$

$$\times \sum_{i=1}^{5} \int \left\{ d\omega_{j} \right\} J_{i}^{(0)} \left(x_{a} \, n_{+} p_{A}; \left\{ \omega_{j} \right\} \right) S_{i}^{(2)}(\Omega; \left\{ \omega_{j} \right\}) .$$
Indexing the provided of the second second

Broggio, Jaskiewicz, LV, 2021

- We reproduce the 1real 1-virtual and 2-real contribution to DY.
- The ingredients to reproduce 1r1v correction in DY are the 1-loop collinear and soft functions:

$$J_{1,2;\gamma\beta,fq}^{K(1)}\left(n_{+}p_{A};\omega\right) = \frac{\alpha_{s} e^{\epsilon \gamma_{E}}}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\left(n_{+}p_{A}\right)} \delta_{\gamma\beta} \mathbf{T}_{fq}^{K} \left(\frac{\omega n_{+}p_{A}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{-\epsilon} \frac{\Gamma[1+\epsilon]\Gamma[1-\epsilon]^{2}}{(\epsilon-1)(\epsilon+1)\Gamma[2-2\epsilon]} \\ \times \left(C_{F} \left(-\frac{4}{\epsilon}+3+8\epsilon+\epsilon^{2}\right) - C_{A} \left(-5+8\epsilon+\epsilon^{2}\right)\right),$$

$$S_1(\Omega,\omega) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \frac{\mu^{2\epsilon} e^{\epsilon \gamma_E}}{\Gamma[1-\epsilon]} \frac{1}{\omega^{1+\epsilon}} \frac{1}{(\Omega-\omega)^{\epsilon}} \theta(\omega) \theta(\Omega-\omega) + \mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2).$$

 However: the convolution is regularized by dimensional regularization. For resummation, we treat the two object independently, and expand in ε prior to performing the convolution.

$$\int d\omega \, \underbrace{\left(n_{+} p \, \omega\right)^{-\epsilon}}_{\text{collinear piece}} \, \underbrace{\frac{1}{\omega^{1+\epsilon}} \frac{1}{(\Omega-\omega)^{\epsilon}}}_{\text{soft piece}}.$$

Technical problem that needs to be solved to achieve resummation beyond LL.

Studies in: Moult, Stewart, Vita, Zhu, 2019; Beneke, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2020; Liu, Mecaj, Neubert, Wang, Fleming, 2019, 2020;

LL RESUMMATION AT NLP

- For leading channels like $q\bar{q}$ in Drell-Yan or gg in Higgs production, it turns out that the collinear function contributes only starting at NLL accuracy.
- This means that at LL accuracy only the hard and soft functions contribute. The divergent contribution problem can be easily overcome, and LLs can be resummed.

SCET: Beneke, Broggio, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2018; Diagrammatic: Bahjat-Abbas, Bonocore, Sinninghe Damsté, Laenen, Magnea, LV, White, 2019

Phenomenological analysis in: Beneke, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2019; van Beekveld, Laenen, Sinninghe Damsté, LV, 2021.



ENDPOINT DIVERGENCES AND RESUMMATION

Addressing the problem of endpoint divergences in the leading channels is not an easy task: one has to deal with several operators/contributions.

 Things are different for subleading channels (e.g. qg in Drell-Yan): they start at NLP, and LLs are generated by all momentum regions. Better place to start investigating endpoint divergences.

THRESHOLD RESUMMATION AT NLP IN OFF-DIAGONAL CHANNELS: DEEP INELASTIC SCATTERING



DEEP INELASTIC SCATTERING

 Deep inelastic scattering (DIS) near threshold develops a hierarchy of scales:

$$Q^2 \gg P_X^2 \sim Q^2(1-x), \quad \text{with} \quad x \equiv \frac{Q^2}{2p \cdot q} \to 1.$$



• Factorization and resummation well understood at LP:



Sterman 1987; Catani, Trentadue 1989; Korchemsky, Marchesini, 1993; Moch, Vermaseren, Vogt 2005; Becher, Neubert, Pecjak, 2007

$$W_{\phi} = \frac{1}{8\pi Q^2} \int d^4x \, e^{iq \cdot x} \, \langle N(P) \big| \big[G^A_{\mu\nu} G^{\mu\nu A} \big](x) \big[G^B_{\rho\sigma} G^{\rho\sigma B} \big](0) \big| N(P) \rangle$$
$$= |C(Q^2, \mu)|^2 \int_x^1 \frac{d\xi}{\xi} \, J \left(Q^2 \frac{1-\xi}{\xi}, \mu \right) \frac{x}{\xi} f_g \left(\frac{x}{\xi}, \mu \right).$$

Short-distance coefficient and jet function are single scale object – resummation obtained by solving the corresponding RGE.

DIS: OFF-DIAGONAL CHANNEL

Jaskiewicz, Szafron, • The off-diagonal channel $q(p) + \phi^*(q) \to X(p_X)$ contributes to DIS at NLP. Consider the partonic structure function

$$W_{\phi,q}\big|_{q\phi^* \to qg} = \int_0^1 dz \, \left(\frac{\mu^2}{s_{qg} z\bar{z}}\right)^{\epsilon} \mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg},z) \Big|_{s_{qg}=Q^2\frac{1-x}{x}}, \quad \mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg},z) \equiv \frac{e^{\gamma_E \epsilon} Q^2}{16\pi^2 \Gamma(1-\epsilon)} \frac{|\mathcal{M}_{q\phi^* \to qg}|^2}{|\mathcal{M}_0|^2}$$

with momentum fraction $z \equiv \frac{n_-p_1}{n_-p_1 + n_-p_2}$, and $\bar{z} = 1 - z$.

At LO one has

$$\mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg})|_{\text{tree}} = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \frac{\bar{z}^2}{z}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_{\phi,q} \Big|_{\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s), \text{ leading pole}}^{\text{NLP}} = -\frac{1}{\epsilon} \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2(1-x)}\right)^{1/2}$$

$$\phi^{*}(q)$$

 $g(p_{2})$ T₂
 $(1-z)$
 $1/z$
 $q(p_{1})$ T₁
 $q(p)$ T₀

Beneke, Garny,

LV, Wang, 2020

The single pole originate from $z \rightarrow 0$, due to the 1/z of the momentum distribution function.

• At NLO:

$$\mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg}, z)|_{1-\text{loop}} = \mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg}, z)|_{\text{tree}} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2}$$
$$\cdot \left(\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_0 \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zQ^2}\right)^{\epsilon} + \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_0 \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\bar{z}Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon} + \mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_2 \left[\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon} - \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zQ^2}\right)^{\epsilon} + \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zs_{qg}}\right)^{\epsilon}\right]\right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}).$$



ON THE ENDPOINT DIVERGENCES

$$\mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg}, z)|_{1-\text{loop}} = \mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg}, z)|_{\text{tree}} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_0 \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zQ^2} \right)^{\epsilon} + \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_0 \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\bar{z}Q^2} \right)^{\epsilon} + \mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_2 \left[\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \right)^{\epsilon} - \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zQ^2} \right)^{\epsilon} + \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zs_{qg}} \right)^{\epsilon} \right] \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1})$$

- The **T1.T2** term contains a single pole, but: promoted to leading pole after integration!
- Compare exact integration:

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_0^1 dz \, \frac{1}{z^{1+\epsilon}} \, (1-z^{-\epsilon}) = -\frac{1}{2\epsilon^3},$$

vs integration after expansion:

$$\frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \int_0^1 dz \, \frac{1}{z^{1+\epsilon}} \, \left(\epsilon \ln z - \frac{\epsilon^2}{2!} \ln^2 z + \frac{\epsilon^2}{3!} \ln^3 z + \cdots \right) = -\frac{1}{\epsilon^3} + \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} - \frac{1}{\epsilon^3} + \cdots \,.$$

- Expansion in ε not possible before integration!
- The pole associated to T1.T2 does not originate from the standard cups anomalous dimension.

BREACKDOWN OF FACTORIZATION NEAR THE ENDPOINT

- $\mathbf{n}\phi^*(q)$ hc00000 • What happens for $z \rightarrow 0$? For z ~ 1 intermediate B1 $\phi^*(q)$ propagator is hard $g(p_2)$ **T**₂ \overline{hc} (1-z)pdf_c 1/z $q(p_1)$ $\checkmark \phi^*$ For z « 1 intermediate \overline{hc} propagator cannot be q(p) \mathbf{T}_0 0000000integrated out A0 $z - \overline{sc}$
- Dynamic scale: *zQ*².
- In the endpoint region new counting parameter, $\lambda^2 \ll z \ll 1$.
- New modes contribute: need "z-SCET".
- z-modes are non-physical! Not related to external scales of the problem.

Name	(n_+l,l_\perp,nl)	virtuality l^2
hard $[h]$	Q(1,1,1)	Q^2
z-hardcollinear $[z - hc]$	$Q(1,\sqrt{z},z)$	$z Q^2$
z-anti-hardcollinear $[z - \overline{hc}]$	$Q(z,\sqrt{z},1)$	$z Q^2$
z-soft $[z-s]$	Q(z,z,z)	$z^2 Q^2$
z-anti-softcollinear $[z - \overline{sc}]$	$Q(\lambda^2,\sqrt{z}\lambda,z)$	$z\lambda^2Q^2$

Beneke, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2020

pdf_c

BREACKDOWN OF FACTORIZATION NEAR THE ENDPOINT

• What happens for $z \rightarrow 0$?



- Dynamic scale: *zQ*².
- In the endpoint region new counting parameter, $\lambda^2 \ll z \ll 1$.
- New modes contribute: need "z-SCET".
- z-modes are non-physical! Not related to external scales of the problem.
- Need re-factorization:

$$\underbrace{C^{B1}(Q,z)}_{\text{multi-scale function}} J^{B1}(z) \xrightarrow{z \to 0} C^{A0}(Q^2) \int d^4x \, \mathbf{T} \Big[J^{A0}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi q_{z-\overline{sc}}}(x) \Big] = \underbrace{C^{A0}(Q^2) D^{B1}(zQ^2, \mu^2)}_{\text{single-scale functions}} J^{B1}_{z-\overline{sc}}.$$

• Similar re-factorization proven in Liu, Mecaj, Neubert, Wang 2020.



 $\mathbf{n}\phi^*(q)$

pdf_c

 \overline{hc}

 $z - \overline{sc}$

DIS FACTORIZATION

- Re-factorization is nontrivial: needs to be embedded in a complete EFT description of DIS:
- Physical modes:





B-type current contribution

- Both terms contain endpoint divergences in the convolution integral.
- We could reshuffle factorization theorem;

contribution

 \rightarrow however, use d-dimensional consistency conditions to start with.

Beneke, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2020

D-DIMENSIONAL CONSISTENCY CONDITIONS

Hadronic structure function is finite:

$$W = \sum_{i} W_{\phi,i} f_i = \sum_{i} \tilde{C}_{\phi,k} \tilde{f}_k, \quad \text{with} \quad \tilde{f}_k = Z_{ki} f_i, \quad W_{\phi,i} = \tilde{C}_{\phi,k} Z_{ki}.$$

• Focus on the bare functions: at LP a single channel contribute:

$$\sum_{i} (W_{\phi,i}f_i)^{LP} = W_{\phi,g}^{LP}f_g^{LP}.$$

 Work in d-dimensions: ε regularizes endpoint divergences in convolution integrals. The general expansion of the cross section reads

$$W_{\phi,g} f_g = f_g(\Lambda) \times \sum_n \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2n}} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n b_{kj}^{(n)}(\epsilon) \left(\frac{\mu^{2n} N^j}{Q^{2k} \Lambda^{2(n-k)}}\right)^\epsilon + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{N}\right) \,.$$

• In this equation: Each hard loop gives



each hard-collinear loop gives $\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}N\right)^{\epsilon}$,



Each collinear loop gives $\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{\epsilon}$,



each soft-collinear loop gives $\left(\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}N\right)^{\epsilon}$.



D-DIMENSIONAL CONSISTENCY CONDITIONS

Invoking pole cancellations one has the obvious condition:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} b_{kj}^{(n)} = 0,$$

however, also all poles of the type

$$(\ln N)^r \left(\ln \frac{\Lambda}{Q}\right)^s \times \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2n-r-s}}.$$

need to cancel. In the end one has the conditions

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} j^{r} k^{s} b_{kj}^{(n)} = 0 \quad \text{for } s + r < 2n, \ r, s \ge 0.$$

- At order *n* this gives n² + 2n equations, for (n+1)² coefficients b_{kj}⁽ⁿ⁾: the system can be solved in terms of *n* unknown coefficient, one per order *n*.
- Let us consider the n-loop hard coefficients b_{n0}⁽ⁿ⁾: assuming exponentiation of the oneloop hard coefficient b₁₀⁽¹⁾ one has

$$W_{\phi,g}^{LP,LL}\Big|_{\text{hard loops}} = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon}\right], \qquad \Rightarrow \qquad b_{n0}^{(n)} = (-4C_A)^n.$$

• This provides the single condition required at LP to fix all of the $b_{kj}^{(n)}$:

$$(W_{\phi,g} f_g)^{LP,LL} = \exp\left[\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left\{ \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon} - \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{\epsilon} \right\} (N^{\epsilon} - 1) \right] f_g(\Lambda) \,.$$

RESUMMATION AT LP

 The exponentiation of the one loop correction is actually well known. In SCET hard modes are given as a short-distance coefficient of the LP operator

$$U^{A0} = 2g_{\mu\nu} n_- \partial \mathcal{A}^{\mu A}_{\perp \overline{hc}}(sn_-) n_+ \partial \mathcal{A}^{\nu A}_{\perp c}(tn_+) ,$$

See for instance Becher, Neubert, Pecjak, 2007 for Photon DIS

whose anomalous dimension reads

J

$$\Gamma(\alpha_s,\mu) \equiv -\frac{dZ}{d\ln\mu} Z^{-1} = \Gamma_{\rm cusp}(\alpha_s) \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} + \gamma(\alpha_s), \quad \text{with} \quad \frac{d\alpha_s}{d\ln\mu} \equiv -2\epsilon\alpha_s + \beta(\alpha_s).$$

• The bare coefficient function is given by

$$C_{\text{bare}}^{A0} = Z(\mu)C^{A0}(\mu), \quad \text{with} \quad \ln Z(\mu) = \int_0^{\alpha_s(\mu)} \frac{d\alpha}{\alpha} \frac{1}{2\epsilon - \beta(\alpha)/\alpha} \left(\Gamma(\alpha, \mu) - \int_0^\alpha \frac{d\alpha'}{\alpha'} \frac{2\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha')}{2\epsilon - \beta(\alpha')/\alpha'} \right).$$

• At LL accuracy

$$\Gamma_{\text{cusp}}(\alpha_s) = \frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi}, \qquad \gamma(\alpha_s) = 0, \qquad \beta(\alpha_s) = 0,$$

• Which gives

$$W_{\phi,g}^{LP,LL}\Big|_{\text{hard loops}} = |C_{\text{bare}}^{A0}|_{LL}^2 = \exp\left(2\ln Z^{LL}(Q)\right) = \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon}\right].$$

D-DIMENSIONAL CONSISTENCY CONDITIONS

Consider now the expansion to NLP:

$$\sum_{i} (W_{\phi,i}f_i)^{NLP} = W_{\phi,q}^{NLP} f_q^{LP} + W_{\phi,\bar{q}}^{NLP} f_{\bar{q}}^{LP} + W_{\phi,g}^{NLP} f_g^{LP} + W_{\phi,g}^{LP} f_g^{NLP}$$

• The general expansion of the cross section reads.

$$\sum_{i} (W_{\phi,i}f_i)^{NLP} = f_q(\Lambda) \times \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(\frac{\alpha_s}{4\pi}\right)^n \frac{1}{\epsilon^{2n-1}} \sum_{k=0}^n \sum_{j=0}^n c_{kj}^{(n)}(\epsilon) \left(\frac{\mu^{2n}N^j}{Q^{2k}\Lambda^{2(n-k)}}\right)^{\epsilon} + f_{\bar{q}}(\Lambda), f_g(\Lambda) \text{ terms}.$$

• Invoking pole cancellations:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} \sum_{j=0}^{n} j^{r} k^{s} c_{kj}^{(n)} = 0 \quad \text{for } s + r < 2n - 1, \ r, s \ge 0,$$



allows $(n+1)^2$ coefficients $c_{ki}^{(n)}$ to be determined from $2n^2-n$ equations.

- Three unknowns: these can be reduced using information from the region expansion:
 - c_{n0}⁽ⁿ⁾ = 0 (final state cannot be purely hard)
 - c₀₀⁽ⁿ⁾ = 0 (without any hard or anti-hardcollinear loops there must be at least one soft-collinear loop).
 - Non-trivial condition is given by c_{n1}⁽ⁿ⁾: this is the n-loop hard region!

D-DIMENSIONAL CONSISTENCY CONDITIONS

• Assume exponentiation of 1-loop result:

Similar conjecture "soft quark Sudakov" in Moult, Stewart, Vita, Zhu, 2019.

$$\mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg}, z)|_{1-\text{loop}} = \mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg}, z)|_{\text{tree}} \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_0 \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zQ^2} \right)^{\epsilon} + \mathbf{T}_2 \cdot \mathbf{T}_0 \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\bar{z}Q^2} \right)^{\epsilon} + \mathbf{T}_1 \cdot \mathbf{T}_2 \left[\left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \right)^{\epsilon} - \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zQ^2} \right)^{\epsilon} + \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zs_{qg}} \right)^{\epsilon} \right] \right) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon^{-1}).$$

Restricting to the hard region and substituting color operators one has

$$\mathcal{P}_{qg,\text{hard}}(s_{qg},z) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \frac{1}{z} \exp\left[\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-C_A \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon} + (C_A - C_F) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zQ^2}\right)^{\epsilon}\right)\right].$$

• With $f_i(\mu) = U_{ij}(\mu) f_j(\Lambda)$ one has

$$\sum_{i} (W_{\phi,i}f_i)^{NLP} \Big|_{\propto f_q(\Lambda)} = \left(W_{\phi,q}^{NLP} U_{qq}^{LP} + W_{\phi,g}^{LP} U_{gq}^{NLP} \right) f_q(\Lambda) \,.$$

Inserting the result above in the end one has

$$W_{\phi,q}^{NLP,LP} = -\frac{1}{2N} \frac{C_F}{C_F - C_A} \frac{\epsilon N^{\epsilon}}{N^{\epsilon} - 1} \left(\exp\left[\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon} (N^{\epsilon} - 1)\right] - \exp\left[\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon} (N^{\epsilon} - 1)\right] \right),$$
$$U_{gq}^{NLP,LP} = -\frac{1}{2N} \frac{C_F}{C_F - C_A} \frac{\epsilon N^{\epsilon}}{N^{\epsilon} - 1} \left(\exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{\epsilon} (N^{\epsilon} - 1)\right] - \exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(\frac{\mu^2}{\Lambda^2}\right)^{\epsilon} (N^{\epsilon} - 1)\right] \right).$$

Beneke, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2020

RESUMMATION FROM RE-FACTORIZATION: A GLIMPSE

• Is it possible to achieve this in SCET? Another look at re-factorization:

$$C^{B1}(Q,z)J^{B1}(z) \xrightarrow{z \to 0} C^{A0}(Q^2) \int d^4x \, \mathbf{T} \Big[J^{A0}, \mathcal{L}_{\xi q_{z} - \overline{sc}}(x) \Big] = C^{A0}(Q^2) D^{B1}(zQ^2,\mu^2) J^{B1}_{z - \overline{sc}}(x) \Big]$$

• Integrate out hard modes (solve RGEs in d-dimensions)

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}C^{A0}(Q^2,\mu^2) = \frac{\alpha_s C_A}{\pi} \ln \frac{Q^2}{\mu^2} C^{A0}(Q^2,\mu^2) \,.$$

$$\Rightarrow \qquad \left[C^{A0}\left(Q^{2},\mu^{2}\right)\right]_{\text{bare}} = C^{A0}\left(Q^{2},Q^{2}\right)\exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_{s}C_{A}}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{Q^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{-\epsilon}\right].$$

• Integrate out z-hardcollinear modes

$$\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}D^{B1}\left(zQ^2,\mu^2\right) = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi}\left(C_F - C_A\right)\ln\frac{zQ^2}{\mu^2}D^{B1}\left(zQ^2,\mu^2\right).$$

$$\left[D^{B1}\left(zQ^{2},\mu^{2}\right)\right]_{\text{bare}} = D^{B1}\left(zQ^{2},zQ^{2}\right)\exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_{s}}{2\pi}\left(C_{F}-C_{A}\right)\frac{1}{\epsilon^{2}}\left(\frac{zQ^{2}}{\mu^{2}}\right)^{-\epsilon}\right]$$

• This reproduces

 \Rightarrow

$$\mathcal{P}_{qg,\text{hard}}(s_{qg},z) = \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{2\pi} \frac{1}{z} \exp\left[\frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \frac{1}{\epsilon^2} \left(-C_A \left(\frac{\mu^2}{Q^2}\right)^{\epsilon} + (C_A - C_F) \left(\frac{\mu^2}{zQ^2}\right)^{\epsilon}\right)\right]$$





$$\exp\left[-\frac{\alpha_s C_A}{2\pi}\frac{1}{\epsilon^2}\left(\frac{Q^2}{\mu^2}\right)^{-\epsilon}\right].$$

OFF-DIAGONAL DIS: FINITE STRUCTURE FUNCTION

• Integrating P_{qg} we get W:

$$W_{\phi,q}|_{q\phi^* \to qg} = \int_0^1 dz \, \left(\frac{\mu^2}{s_{qg} z \bar{z}}\right)^{\epsilon} \mathcal{P}_{qg}(s_{qg}, z)\Big|_{s_{qg} = Q^2 \frac{1-x}{x}},$$

• Furthermore, recall

$$W = \sum_{i} W_{\phi,i} f_{i} = \sum_{k} \tilde{C}_{\phi,k} \tilde{f}_{k}, \quad \Rightarrow \quad W_{\phi,q}^{NLP} = \tilde{C}_{\phi,q}^{NLP} Z_{qq}^{LP} + \tilde{C}_{\phi,g}^{LP} Z_{gq}^{NLP}.$$
• We obtain a solution for \tilde{C} :

2 \ T

amaka

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{\mathcal{G}}_{\phi,q}^{NLP,LL} \Big|_{\epsilon \to 0} &= \frac{1}{2N \ln N} \frac{C_F}{C_F - C_A} \left(\mathcal{B}_0(a) \exp\left[C_A \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 N + \ln N \ln \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \right) \right] \right) \\ &- \exp\left[\frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \left(\frac{1}{2} \ln^2 N + \ln N \ln \frac{\mu^2}{Q^2} \right) \right] \right), \quad \text{with} \quad a = \frac{\alpha_s}{\pi} (C_F - C_A) \ln^2 N, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$P_{ij} = -\gamma_{ij} = \frac{dZ_{ik}}{d\ln\mu} (Z^{-1})_{kj}, \qquad \gamma_{gq}^{NLP,LL}(N) = -\frac{1}{N} \frac{\alpha_s C_F}{\pi} \mathcal{B}_0(a), \qquad \mathcal{B}_0(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{B_n}{(n!)^2} x^n,$$

with Bernoulli numbers $B_0 = 1$, $B_1 = -1/2$, ...

• Reproduces earlier conjecture by Vogt, 2010.

- Resummation has been obtained assuming the exponentiation of the 1-real 1 virtual-hard contribution to the partonic structure function. The exponentiation can be phrased in the context of refactorization in SCET.
- Resummation of the whole structure function can be achieved starting from the exponentation of a single region, because of consistency conditions for pole cancellation.
- Exponentation of the hard region fixes the tower of coefficients c_{n1}⁽ⁿ⁾.
- Determining another tower of coefficients should allow one to achieve resummation as well. The tower of all-real soft emissions c_{nn}⁽ⁿ⁾ is particularly suitable for a diagrammatic approach.



van Beekveld, LV, White 2021

- The tower of soft real emissions can be determined based on the following considerations:
- In a physical polarization gauge in which

$$\sum_{\text{pols.}} \epsilon^{\dagger}_{\mu}(k) \epsilon_{\nu}(k) = -\eta_{\mu\nu} + \frac{k_{\mu}c_{\nu} + k_{\nu}c_{\mu}}{c \cdot k} ,$$

only ladder diagrams contribute to the LLs.

 The power suppression is given by the soft quark polarization sum; gluon emissions are eikonal (LP):

$$\mathcal{M}_{qh \to qg_1 \dots g_n} |^2 = \frac{|\lambda|^2 C_F^{m+1} C_A^{n-m} g_s^{2(n+1)}}{8\mu^{(d-4)(n+1)}} \left(\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{2q \cdot p \, p \cdot k_i}{q' \cdot k_i} \right) \operatorname{Tr}[\not p \gamma^\beta \not k_q \gamma^\alpha] \left(-\eta_{\alpha\beta} + \frac{q'_\alpha p_{H,\beta} + q'_\beta p_{H,\alpha}}{q' \cdot p_H} \right) \times \frac{1}{(p \cdot k_1)^2 [p \cdot (k_1 + k_2)]^2 \dots [p \cdot (k_1 + \dots + k_m + k_q)]^2 \dots [p \cdot (k_1 + \dots + k_n + k_q)]^2}.$$

 Phase space can be also approximated to LP, and factorizes in Laplace space:

$$\int d\Phi^{(n+2)} = \frac{2\pi}{(4\pi)^{\frac{(n+1)d}{2}}} (Q^2)^{n+(n+1)\frac{(d-4)}{2}} \frac{x^{-(n+1)\frac{(d-4)}{2}-n}(1-x)^{-(n+1)\frac{d-2}{2}}}{\Gamma\left(\frac{d-2}{2}\right)^{n+1}} \int_{-i\infty}^{i\infty} \frac{dT}{2\pi i} e^{T(1-x)} \times \int d\alpha_q \, d\beta_q \, (\alpha_q \, \beta_q)^{\frac{d-4}{2}} e^{-T(\alpha_q+\beta_q)} \left[\prod_{i=1}^n \int d\bar{\alpha}_i \, d\bar{\beta}_i \, (\bar{\alpha}_i \, \bar{\beta}_i)^{\frac{d-4}{2}} e^{-T(\bar{\alpha}_i+\bar{\beta}_i)} \right], \quad \bar{\alpha}_i \simeq \frac{q' \cdot k}{p \cdot q}, \quad \bar{\beta}_i \simeq \frac{p \cdot k}{p \cdot q}.$$

c = q + xp.

Gribov, Lipatov, 1972; Dokshitzer, Diakonov, Troian, 1980; Dokshitzer, Khoze, Mueller, Troian, 1991.



LV, White 2021

• In Mellin space one obtains

$$W_{\phi,q}^{(n+1)} = -\left(\sum_{m=0}^{n} C_F^{m+1} C_A^{n-m}\right) \frac{2}{\epsilon} \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N} \left(\frac{4N^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2}\right)^n \frac{1}{(n+1)!},$$

which sums to

$$W_{\phi,q}\Big|_{\mathrm{LL}} = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} a_s^n W_{\phi,q}^{(n)} = -\frac{2a_s C_F}{\epsilon} \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N} \frac{1}{C_F - C_A} \left(\frac{4a_s N^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2}\right)^{-1} \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{4a_s C_F N^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2}\right] - \exp\left[\frac{4a_s C_A N^{\epsilon}}{\epsilon^2}\right] \right\}.$$

 The full result is found requiring that virtual corrections modify the real emission contributions at each order, removing singularities which are simultaneously soft and collinear: this leads to the parametrization

$$W_{\phi,q}\Big|_{\mathrm{LL}} = -\frac{2a_sC_F}{\epsilon} \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N} \frac{1}{C_F - C_A} \left(\frac{4a_s(N^{\epsilon} + \lambda_1)}{\epsilon^2}\right)^{-1} \exp\left[\frac{4a_s(\lambda_2C_F + \lambda_3C_A)}{\epsilon^2}\right] \\ \times \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{4a_sC_F(N^{\epsilon} + \lambda_4)}{\epsilon^2}\right] - \exp\left[\frac{4a_sC_A(N^{\epsilon} + \lambda_5)}{\epsilon^2}\right] \right\}.$$

which can be easily fixed, given that the system is overconstrained. We find

$$W_{\phi,q}\Big|_{\rm LL} = -\frac{2a_sC_F}{\epsilon} \frac{N^{\epsilon}}{N} \frac{1}{C_F - C_A} \left(\frac{4a_s(N^{\epsilon} - 1)}{\epsilon^2}\right)^{-1} \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{4a_sC_F(N^{\epsilon} - 1)}{\epsilon^2}\right] - \exp\left[\frac{4a_sC_A(N^{\epsilon} - 1)}{\epsilon^2}\right] \right\},$$

which reproduces the previous result.

van Beekveld, LV, White 2021



- The same procedures can be easily adapted to the subleading qg channel in Drell-Yan (and Higgs production).
- Consistency conditions can be studied to determine the smallest set of parameters necessary to determine the whole partonic cross section;
- The set of parameters can be determined
 - by assuming exponentiation of a given region, justified within a refactorization approach.
 - by direct calculation of the ladder diagrams contributing to the real emission.
- Either way, one in the end reproduces an earlier conjecture in Lo Presti, Almasy, Vogt 2014:

$$\begin{split} W_{\mathrm{DY},g\bar{q}}\Big|_{\mathrm{LL}} &= -\frac{T_R}{2(C_F - C_A)} \frac{1}{N} \frac{\epsilon(N^{\epsilon-1})}{N^{\epsilon} - 1} \exp\left[\frac{4a_s C_F(N^{\epsilon} - 1)}{\epsilon^2}\right] \\ & \times \left\{ \exp\left[\frac{4a_s C_F N^{\epsilon}(N^{\epsilon} - 1)}{\epsilon^2}\right] - \exp\left[\frac{4a_s C_A N^{\epsilon}(N^{\epsilon} - 1)}{\epsilon^2}\right] \right\}, \\ \widetilde{C}_{\mathrm{DY},g\bar{q}}\Big|_{\mathrm{LL}} &= \frac{T_R}{C_A - C_F} \frac{1}{2N \ln N} \left[e^{8C_F a_s \ln^2 N} \mathcal{B}_0[4a_s (C_A - C_F) \ln^2 N] - e^{(2C_F + 6C_A)a_s \ln^2 N} \right] \end{split}$$

Beneke, Garny, Jaskiewicz, Strohm, Szafron, LV, Wang, 2020 (unpublished)

> van Beekveld, LV, White 2021



CONCLUSION

- The general structure of factorization theorems describing soft radiation beyond LP is now understood.
- However, it involves divergences in convolution integrals: new "internal" modes appear due to endpoint divergences. Rigorous factorization and resummation still possible, but highly non-trivial.
- Relationships between bare and renormalized objects need to be better understood.
- We provided an explicit example (off-diagonal DIS qg channel) where these problems can be studied, obtaining new insight.
- To do:
 - → derive a refactorization framework for resummation near threshold in SCET;
 - \rightarrow extend the diagrammatic approach beyond LLs.
- More in general:
 - \rightarrow phenomenological analysis of relevant processes for the LHC;
 - \rightarrow extend resummation at NLP to other kinematic limits (small pT, small β , etc).

EXTRA

PRECISION FOR COLLIDER PHYSICS

Hard scattering processes are calculated in perturbation theory.







- Going beyond NNLO and N3LO is difficult, yet necessary to match the precision of current and forthcoming experiments!
- Loop and phase space integrals:
 - Analytic vs numerical evaluation
 - Space of functions
 - Infrared divergences
 - Large logarithms

High-energy limit



PRECISION FOR COLLIDER PHYSICS

• The presence of largely different scales gives rise to large logarithms:

 $d\sigma \sim 1 + \alpha_s (L+1) + \alpha_s^2 (L^2 + L + 1) + \dots$



 Studies of scattering amplitudes to high-perturbative order, bootstrap approach for infrared divergences, phenomenology.

HIGH-ENERGY LIMIT

Developed a framework for the calculation of amplitudes in the high-energy limit, by solving iteratively rapidity evolution equation (Baliktsky-JIMWLK).

