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Effective Action

The effective action $\Gamma[\hat{\phi}]$ is an important quantity in QFT

- Determines the ground state of the quantum theory
- Determines the vacuum energy density
- Generates one-particle irreducible diagrams

Formalism developed in Coleman and Weinberg (1973)

To determine the ground state, need to compute $\Gamma[\hat{\phi}]$ in a systematic expansion, and sum the large logarithms.

Coleman and Weinberg (1973):
Abelian Higgs model with $m_\phi^2 \sim \lambda v^2$, $m_A^2 \sim e^2 v^2$

RG improve by evolving to a scale $\mu \sim v$. Example where quantum effects lead to symmetry breaking.
Logarithms of the form
\[ \lambda \ln \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \]
lead to a breakdown of fixed order perturbation theory. Solution is to pick \( \mu \sim m \).

In a multi-scale problem, cannot pick a single \( \mu \) that works for all masses
\[ \lambda \ln \frac{m_H^2}{\mu^2} \quad \lambda \ln \frac{m_L^2}{\mu^2} \]

Construct a sequence of EFTs, and integrate out heavy scales

Surprisingly, this had not been done (correctly) so far.
\[ Z[J] = e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} W[J]} = \int \prod_a D\varphi^a \ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( S(\varphi) + \int d^4 x \ J \varphi \right) }, \quad S(\varphi) = \int d^4 x \ L(\varphi) \]

\( W[J] \) is the generating function for all connected Feynman diagrams

Define the classical background field \( \hat{\varphi} \) as the expectation value of the field \( \varphi \) in the presence of a source \( J(x) \),

\[
\hat{\varphi}(x) = \langle \varphi(x) \rangle_J = \frac{1}{Z[J]} \int D\varphi \ \varphi(x) \ e^{\frac{i}{\hbar} \left( S(\varphi) + \int d^4 x \ J \varphi \right) } = \frac{\delta W[J]}{\delta J(x)}. 
\]

When \( J = 0 \),

\[
\hat{\varphi}(x)|_{J=0} \equiv \hat{\varphi}_0
\]

is the expectation value of \( \varphi \) in the true vacuum of the theory.
Effective Action: $\Gamma[\hat{\phi}]$

The 1PI effective action is the Legendre transform of $W[J]$,

$$\Gamma[\hat{\phi}] = W[J] - \int d^4 x \ J(x)\hat{\phi}(x) ,$$

Expand in derivatives:

$$\Gamma[\hat{\phi}] = -\int d^4 x \ \mathcal{V}_{CW}(\hat{\phi}) + \int d^4 x \ \frac{1}{2} Z(\hat{\phi})(\partial_\mu \hat{\phi})^2 + \ldots$$

$\mathcal{V}_{CW}$ is the Coleman-Weinberg potential $\mathcal{V}_{CW}$

The effective action $\Gamma[\hat{\phi}]$ is given by the sum of 1PI graphs

with $\hat{\phi}$ on the external lines.
Effective Action

\[ \frac{\delta \Gamma[\hat{\varphi}]}{\delta \hat{\varphi}(x)} = -J(x) \Rightarrow \left. \frac{\delta \Gamma[\hat{\varphi}]}{\delta \hat{\varphi}(x)} \right|_{J=0} = 0. \]

For constant \( \hat{\varphi} \),

\[ \left. \frac{\partial V_{\text{CW}}(\hat{\varphi})}{\partial \hat{\varphi}} \right|_{\hat{\varphi}_0} = 0. \]

- \( \hat{\varphi}_0 \) is \( \langle \varphi \rangle \) in the true ground state with \( J = 0 \)
- \( V(\hat{\varphi}_0) \) is the vacuum energy density of the ground state
Coleman-Weinberg

S. Coleman and E. Weinberg, Phys. Rev. D7 (1973) 1888

- Set up the formalism to compute $V_{CW}$
- Explained the physical significance of $V_{CW}$
- Computed $V_{CW}$ for $\lambda \phi^4$ theory and the Abelian Higgs model
- Showed how to RG improve $V_{CW}$
- Wrote down the general one-loop formula for $V_{CW}$

\[
V_{CW}(\hat{\phi}) = V_{\text{tree}}(\hat{\phi}) + \hbar V_{1\text{-loop}}(\hat{\phi}) + \mathcal{O}(\hbar^2)
\]

\[
V_{\text{tree}} = V(\hat{\phi}) + \Lambda
\]
In the $\overline{\text{MS}}$ scheme:

$$V_{1\text{-loop}} = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\{ \text{Tr} \ W^2 \left[ \ln \frac{W}{\mu^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right] - 2 \text{Tr} \left( M_F^\dagger M_F \right)^2 \left[ \ln \frac{M_F^\dagger M_F}{\mu^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right] ight. \\
+ 3 \text{Tr} \ M_V^4 \left[ \ln \frac{M_V^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{5}{6} \right] \right\},$$

Sum over real scalars, Weyl fermions, and real gauge bosons. $W$ is the scalar mass matrix

$$W_{ab}(\hat{\phi}) = \frac{\partial^2 V(\hat{\phi})}{\partial \phi^a \partial \phi^b}$$

$M_F(\hat{\phi})$ and $M_V(\hat{\phi})$ are the fermion and gauge boson mass matrices.
Logarithms of the form

\[ \ln \frac{m^2}{\mu^2} \]

RG improvement by using a scale \( \mu \sim m \).

**Coleman-Weinberg:** RG improvement for a single-scale problem. Want to extend this to multi-scale problems.

Cannot choose a single \( \mu \) to minimize all the logarithms.

Typical EFT problem where one integrates out heavy particles and constructs a sequence of EFTs.
What is the problem?

Integrate out a heavy particle to get EFT interaction:

Look at loop diagrams contributing to CW potential (in a \( \hat{\phi} \) background)

The second diagram should not be part of the CW potential
Jackiw’s method for computing $\Gamma[\hat{\varphi}]$


In the functional integral, let $\varphi(x) = \hat{\varphi}(x) + \varphi_q(x)$

$$Z[J] = e^{i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} W[J]} = \int \prod_a D\varphi_a \, e^{i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \int d^4x \left[ \mathcal{L}(\hat{\varphi}+\varphi_q)+J(\hat{\varphi}+\varphi_q) \right]} ,$$

so that

$$e^{i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \Gamma[\hat{\varphi}]} = e^{i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} (W[J] - \int d^4x J \hat{\varphi})} = \int \prod_a D\varphi_a \, e^{i \frac{\hbar}{\hbar} \int d^4x \left[ \mathcal{L}(\hat{\varphi}+\varphi_q)+J \varphi_q \right]}$$

We need to adjust $J(x)$ so that

$$\langle \varphi(x) \rangle = \hat{\varphi}(x) \implies \langle \varphi_q(x) \rangle = 0 \quad \text{tadpole condition}$$
Jackiw’s method

Write $\varphi$ as the sum of a background (classical) and quantum field:

$$\varphi(x) = \hat{\varphi}(x) + \varphi_q(x)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(\hat{\varphi} + \varphi_q) = \frac{1}{2}(\partial_\mu \varphi_q)^2 - \hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\varphi}) - \hat{\sigma}(\hat{\varphi})\varphi_q - \frac{1}{2}m^2(\hat{\varphi})\hat{\varphi}_q^2$$

$$- \frac{1}{6}\hat{\rho}(\hat{\varphi})\varphi_q^3 - \frac{1}{24}\hat{\lambda}(\hat{\varphi})\varphi_q^4 + \ldots$$

- $\Lambda, \sigma, m^2, \rho, \lambda$, etc. are couplings before the shift
- $\hat{\Lambda}$, etc. are couplings after the shift and depend on $\hat{\varphi}(x)$
- $\hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\varphi}) = V(\hat{\varphi}) + \Lambda$ is the cosmological constant after the shift.

Use a source

$$J = \hat{\sigma} + J$$

with $J$ formally of one-loop order.
Tadpole Condition

\[ -\hat{\sigma} + \bigcirc + \ldots + \dot{J} = \bullet = 0 \]

\[ \bigcirc + \ldots + \dot{\mathcal{J}} = \bullet = 0 \]

This is the same as the 1PI condition

and is another way of proving that \( \Gamma[\hat{\phi}] \) is the sum of 1PI graphs.
Procedure

Use

\[ \hat{\mathcal{L}} \equiv \mathcal{L}(\varphi_q + \hat{\varphi}) + J\varphi_q \]

\[ = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi_q)^2 - \hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\varphi}) + J\varphi_q - \frac{1}{2} m^2(\hat{\varphi})\varphi_q^2 - \frac{1}{6} \hat{\rho}(\hat{\varphi})\varphi_q^3 - \frac{1}{24} \hat{\lambda}(\hat{\varphi})\varphi_q^4 + \ldots \]

and treat \( J \) as formally of one-loop order.

- Shift the field
- Drop the linear term in \( \varphi_q \) in \( \mathcal{L} \)
- Add a source \( \mathcal{J} \) for the quantum field
\[ \left[ \frac{\partial}{\partial t} + \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial \lambda_i} - \gamma_\varphi \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right] V_{CW} = 0. \]

\( \lambda, m^2, \) etc. denoted generically as \( \{ \lambda_i \} \), and satisfy the \( \beta \)-function equations

\[ \frac{d\lambda_i}{dt} = \beta_i(\{\lambda_i\}), \]

where

\[ t \equiv \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}, \]

\( \gamma_\varphi \) is the anomalous dimension of \( \varphi \),

\[ \frac{d\varphi}{dt} = -\gamma_\varphi \varphi. \]
Example: Higgs-Yukawa Model

Higgs-Yukawa Model:

\[ L = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \varphi)^2 + \sum_{k=1}^{N_F} i \bar{\psi}_k \gamma \psi_k - (m_F + g\varphi) \bar{\psi}_k \psi_k - V(\varphi) \]

with scalar potential

\[ V(\varphi) = \Lambda + \sigma \varphi + \frac{m_B^2}{2} \varphi^2 + \frac{\rho}{6} \varphi^3 + \frac{\lambda}{24} \varphi^4. \]

\[ W(\varphi) = \frac{\partial^2 V}{\partial \varphi^2} = m_B^2 + \rho \varphi + \frac{1}{2} \lambda \varphi^2, \quad M_F(\varphi) = m_F + g\varphi, \]

are the scalar and Dirac fermion mass matrices.
**β-functions from One-loop Potential**

\[
\left[ \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i} - \gamma_\varphi \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right] V_{\text{tree}} + \frac{\partial}{\partial t} V_{1\text{-loop}} = 0
\]

\[
\Rightarrow \left[ \beta_i \frac{\partial}{\partial g_i} - \gamma_\varphi \varphi \frac{\partial}{\partial \varphi} \right] V_{\text{tree}} = \frac{1}{2} \text{Tr} \ W^2 - \text{Tr} \ (M_F^\dagger M_F)^2 + \frac{3}{2} \text{Tr} \ M_V^4 .
\]

Equating powers of \( \varphi \) gives one-loop \( \beta \)-functions:

\[
\beta_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} m_B^4 - 2 N_F m_F^4 ,
\]

\[
\beta_\sigma = m_B^2 \rho - 8 N_F g m_F^3 + \gamma_\varphi \sigma ,
\]

\[
\beta m_B^2 = \lambda m_B^2 + \rho^2 - 24 N_F g^2 m_F^2 + 2 \gamma_\varphi m_B^2 ,
\]

\[
\beta_\rho = 3 \lambda \rho - 48 N_F g^3 m_F + 3 \gamma_\varphi \rho ,
\]

\[
\beta_\lambda = 3 \lambda^2 - 48 N_F g^4 + 4 \gamma_\varphi \lambda .
\]

Can use this for higher dimension operators such as \((H^\dagger H)^3\) in SMEFT.
Shifted Lagrangian

Shifted parameters after $\varphi = \hat{\varphi} + \varphi_q$

\[
\hat{m}_F = m_F + g\hat{\varphi}, \\
\hat{g} = g, \\
\hat{\Lambda} = \Lambda + \sigma\hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}m_B^2\hat{\varphi}^2 + \frac{1}{6}\rho\hat{\varphi}^3 + \frac{1}{24}\lambda\hat{\varphi}^4, \\
\hat{\sigma} = \sigma + m_B^2\hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\rho\hat{\varphi}^2 + \frac{1}{6}\lambda\hat{\varphi}^3, \\
\hat{m}_B^2 = m_B^2 + \rho\hat{\varphi} + \frac{1}{2}\lambda\hat{\varphi}^2, \\
\hat{\rho} = \rho + \lambda\hat{\varphi}, \\
\hat{\lambda} = \lambda.
\]

$\beta$-functions for the hatted couplings are given by the same functions

\[
\dot{\lambda}_i = \beta_i(\{\lambda_i\}) \\
\dot{\hat{\lambda}}_i = \beta_i(\{\hat{\lambda}_i\})
\]
Constraint on $\beta$-functions

Shift invariance:

\[
\frac{d}{dt} \begin{bmatrix}
\phi \\
\psi \\
\Lambda \\
\sigma \\
m_B^2 \\
\rho \\
\lambda \\
m_F \\
g
\end{bmatrix} = c_1 \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
\frac{1}{2} m_B^4 \\
m_B^2 \rho \\
\lambda m_B^2 + \rho^2 \\
3 \lambda \rho \\
3 \lambda^2 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} + c_2 \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
m_F^4 \\
4 g m_F^3 \\
12 g^2 m_F^2 \\
24 g^3 m_F \\
24 g^4 \\
0 \\
0
\end{bmatrix} + c_3 \begin{bmatrix}
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
0 \\
g^2 m_F \\
g^3 \\
(\gamma_{\phi} + 2 \gamma_{\psi}) g
\end{bmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix}
\gamma_{\phi} \\
\gamma_{\psi} \\
\gamma_{\phi \sigma} \\
3 \gamma_{\phi \rho} \\
4 \gamma_{\phi \lambda} \\
2 \gamma_{\phi} m_B^2 \\
3 \gamma_{\phi \rho} \\
4 \gamma_{\phi \lambda} \\
2 \gamma_{\psi} m_F
\end{bmatrix}
\]

The $\beta$-functions are given in terms of $c_{1,2,3}$ and $\gamma_{\phi,\psi}$.

Strongly constrains the form of the $\beta$-functions
RG improvement sums the $\lambda L$ series because the $\beta$-functions being integrated do not contain logarithms.

We can check our method because the explicit two-loop results are known.
LL series: tree-level matching and one-loop running
LL + NLL series: one-loop matching and two-loop running

We will compute the boxed terms to compare with known results.

Requires in addition to the LL terms, the one-loop matching for $V_{CW}$.

The two-loop $(\lambda L)^2$ term is obtained by integrating one-loop results, and is a non-trivial check of the method

$V_{CW}$ is the cosmological constant after everything has been integrated out.
Example: Two Scalar Fields in the Broken Phase

Consider a theory with two scales

- \( \chi_q \) the heavy field
- \( \phi_q \) the light field
- \( v_{\chi} \gg v_{\phi} \)
- \( z \ll 1 \sim v_{\phi}/v_{\chi} \) is the expansion parameter

\[
\mathcal{L} = \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \chi)^2 + \frac{1}{2} (\partial_\mu \phi)^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\phi}}{24} (\phi^2 - v_{\phi}^2)^2 - \frac{\lambda_{\chi}}{24} (\chi^2 - v_{\chi}^2)^2 \\
- \frac{\lambda_3}{4} (\phi^2 - v_{\phi}^2)(\chi^2 - v_{\chi}^2) - \Lambda ,
\]

Compute \( V(\hat{\chi}, \hat{\phi}) \), where \( \hat{\chi} = \langle \chi(\mu_0) \rangle \), etc.

Work to order \( z^4 \).
\[ \mathcal{L}(\phi, \chi, \mu_0), \lambda_i(\mu_0), \Lambda(\mu_0) \]

\[ \phi = \hat{\phi} + \phi_q \]
\[ \chi = \hat{\chi} + \chi_q \]

Drop linear

\[ \hat{\mathcal{L}}(\phi_q, \chi_q, \mu_0) \]
\[ \hat{\lambda}_i(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\chi}, \mu_0), \hat{\Lambda}(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\chi}, \mu_0) \]

\[ \mu_0 \downarrow \mu_H \]

Integrate out \( \chi_q \)

\[ \tilde{\mathcal{L}}(\phi_q, \chi_q, \mu_H) \]
\[ \tilde{\lambda}_i(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\chi}, \mu_H), \tilde{\Lambda}(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\chi}, \mu_H) \]

\[ \mu_H \downarrow \mu_L \]

Integrate out \( \phi_q \)

\[ \langle \chi_q \rangle = 0 \]

\[ V_{\text{CW}}(\hat{\phi}, \hat{\chi}, \mu_L) \]

All fields integrated out
Mass Hierarchy

\[
W_{\chi\chi} = \frac{1}{3} \lambda_\chi v_\chi^2 + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_\chi (\hat{\chi}^2 - v_\chi^2) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 (\hat{\phi}^2 - v_\phi^2),
\]

\[
W_{\phi\phi} = \frac{1}{6} \lambda_\phi \left(3\hat{\phi}^2 - v_\phi^2\right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 (\hat{\chi}^2 - v_\chi^2),
\]

\[
W_{\phi\chi} = W_{\chi\phi} = \lambda_3 \hat{\phi} \hat{\chi}.
\]

For a mass hierarchy:

\[
\hat{\chi}^2 - v_\chi^2 \sim z^2, \quad \hat{\phi}^2 \sim v_\phi^2 \sim z^2
\]

so that

\[
W_{\chi\chi} \sim 1, \quad W_{\phi\phi} \sim z^2, \quad W_{\phi\chi} = W_{\chi\phi} \sim z.
\]
The cosmological constant is

\[ \hat{\Lambda} = \frac{\lambda_\phi}{24} (\hat{\phi}^2 - v_\phi^2)^2 + \frac{\lambda_\chi}{24} (\hat{\chi}^2 - v_\chi^2)^2 + \frac{\lambda_3}{4} (\hat{\phi}^2 - v_\phi^2)(\hat{\chi}^2 - v_\chi^2) + \Lambda. \]

in shifted theory.

Tree-level matching by integrating out \( \chi_q \) using shifted Lagrangian with sources \( J_\chi \) and \( J_\phi \):

\[ \chi_q \quad \rightarrow \quad \chi_q \]

Couplings in EFT after \( \chi_q \) integrated out denoted \( \tilde{\lambda} \), etc.

EFT parameters depend on \( J_\phi \) and \( J_\chi \).
\(X_1\) is the linear term in \(\chi_q\)

\[
\hat{\mathcal{L}} \supset -X_1(\phi_q)\chi_q \quad \quad X_1(\phi_q) = \lambda_3 \hat{\chi}\phi\phi_q + \frac{1}{2}\lambda_3 \hat{\chi}^2\phi_q^2 - J_x.
\]

\(X_1 \sim z^2.\)

Integrating out \(\chi_q\) gives a quadratic term in the EFT

\[
\mathcal{L}_{\text{EFT}} \supset \frac{1}{2} X_1 \frac{1}{W_{\chi\chi}} - p^2 X_1 = \frac{1}{2} X_1 \frac{1}{W_{\chi\chi}} X_1 + \frac{1}{2} X_1 \frac{p^2}{W_{\chi\chi}^2} X_1 + \ldots
\]
Compute $V_{CW}$ to order $z^4$:

$$\tilde{\lambda}^{\mu=\mu_H} \lambda_\phi - \frac{9\lambda_3^2\hat{\chi}^2}{\lambda_\chi v_\chi^2} + O(z^2),$$

$$\tilde{\rho}^{\mu=\mu_H} \hat{\phi} \left[ \lambda_\phi - \frac{9\lambda_3^2\hat{\chi}^2}{\lambda_\chi v_\chi^2} \right] + O(z^3) = \tilde{\lambda}\hat{\phi} + O(z^3),$$

$$\tilde{m}^2^{\mu=\mu_H} \frac{1}{6} \lambda_\phi \left( 3\hat{\phi}^2 - v_\phi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 \left( \hat{\chi}^2 - v_\chi^2 \right) - \frac{3\lambda_3^2\hat{\lambda}^2\hat{\phi}^2}{\lambda_\chi v_\chi^2} + \frac{3\lambda_3\hat{\chi}\mathcal{J}_\chi}{\lambda_\chi v_\chi^2} + O(z^4),$$

$$\equiv \tilde{m}_-^2 + \frac{3\lambda_3\hat{\chi}\mathcal{J}_\chi}{\lambda_\chi v_\chi^2} + O(z^4)$$

$$\tilde{\sigma}^{\mu=\mu_H} - \mathcal{J}_\phi + \frac{3\lambda_3\hat{\chi}\hat{\phi}\mathcal{J}_\chi}{\lambda_\chi v_\chi^2} + O(z^5)$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}^{\mu=\mu_H} \hat{\Lambda} + V_{\text{match}} - \frac{3 \left( \mathcal{J}_\chi \right)^2}{2\lambda_\chi v_\chi^2} + O(z^6)$$

EFT couplings depend on the sources $\mathcal{J}_\chi$ and $\mathcal{J}_\phi$ which have not yet been determined.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Graph</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>Integrand</th>
<th>V</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$-\ln(p^2 - W_{\chi \chi})$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} W_{\chi \chi}^2 \left[ -\frac{1}{2\epsilon} + \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{W_{\chi \chi}}{\mu^2} - \frac{3}{4} \right]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z^2$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{W_{\chi \phi} W_{\phi \chi}}{(p^2 - W_{\chi \chi}) p^2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} W_{\chi \phi} W_{\phi \chi} \left[ -\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{W_{\chi \chi}}{\mu^2} - 1 \right]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z^4$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{W_{\chi \phi} W_{\phi \chi} W_{\phi \phi}}{(p^2 - W_{\chi \chi}) p^2 p^2}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} W_{\chi \phi} W_{\phi \chi} W_{\phi \phi} \left[ -\frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln \frac{W_{\chi \chi}}{\mu^2} - 1 \right]$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$z^4$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{4}$</td>
<td>$\frac{W_{\chi \phi} W_{\phi \chi} W_{\phi \phi}}{(p^2 - W_{\chi \chi})^2 p^4}$</td>
<td>$\frac{1}{32\pi^2} W_{\chi \phi} W_{\phi \chi} W_{\phi \phi} \left[ \frac{1}{2\epsilon} - \frac{1}{2} \ln \frac{W_{\chi \chi}}{\mu^2} + 1 \right]$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Full theory graphs expanded in the low scale, i.e. in $z$
$$V_{\text{match}}(\mu_H) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\{ \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\chi\chi}}{\mu_H^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right] W_{\chi\chi}^2 + \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\chi\chi}}{\mu_H^2} - 1 \right] 2W_{\chi\phi} W_{\phi\chi} + \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\chi\chi}}{\mu_H^2} - 1 \right] \frac{2W_{\chi\phi} W_{\phi\chi} W_{\phi\phi}}{W_{\chi\chi}} - \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\chi\chi}}{\mu_H^2} - 2 \right] \frac{W_{\chi\phi}^2 W_{\phi\chi}^2}{W_{\chi\chi}^2} \right\},$$

where the first term is order 1, the second term is order $z^2$, and the last two terms are order $z^4$.

Run the EFT Lagrangian down from $\mu_H$ to $\mu_L$ using the EFT $\beta$-functions.

Compute Coleman-Weinberg potential at $\mu_L$ (or equivalently, integrate out $\phi_q$ at $\mu_L$):

$$V_{\text{CW}} = \tilde{\Lambda}(\mu_L) + \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \tilde{m}^4(\mu_L) \left[ \ln \frac{\tilde{m}^2(\mu_L)}{\mu_L^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right],$$
\[ V(\varphi) = \Lambda + \sigma \varphi + \frac{m^2}{2} \varphi^2 + \frac{\rho}{6} \varphi^3 + \frac{\lambda}{24} \varphi^4 \]

\[ \gamma_\varphi = 0, \quad \beta_\Lambda = \frac{1}{2} m^4, \quad \beta_\sigma = m^2 \rho, \]
\[ \beta_m^2 = \lambda m^2 + \rho^2, \quad \beta_\rho = 3 \lambda \rho, \quad \beta_\lambda = 3 \lambda^2, \]

with solution

\[ \lambda(\mu) = \lambda(\mu_0) \eta^{-1}, \]
\[ \rho(\mu) = \rho(\mu_0) \eta^{-1}, \]
\[ m^2(\mu) = m^2(\mu_0) \left\{ \eta^{-1/3} \left[ 1 - \frac{1}{2} \xi \right] + \frac{1}{2} \xi \eta^{-1} \right\}, \]
\[ \sigma(\mu) = \sigma(\mu_0) + \frac{m^2(\mu_0) \rho(\mu_0)}{\lambda(\mu_0)} \left\{ \left( \frac{1}{3} \xi - 1 \right) + \frac{1}{6} \xi \eta^{-1} + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{2} \xi \right) \eta^{-1/3} \right\}, \]
\[ \Lambda(\mu) = \Lambda(\mu_0) + \frac{m^4(\mu_0)}{2 \lambda(\mu_0)} \left\{ \frac{1}{3} \left( 3 - 6 \xi + 2 \xi^2 \right) - \frac{1}{2} \xi (\xi - 2) \eta^{-1/3} - \frac{1}{4} (\xi - 2)^2 \eta^{1/3} + \frac{1}{12} \xi^2 \eta^{-1} \right\}, \]

where

\[ t = \frac{1}{16 \pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu}{\mu_0}, \quad \eta = 1 - 3 \lambda(\mu_0) t, \quad \xi = \frac{\rho^2(\mu_0)}{\lambda(\mu_0) m^2(\mu_0)}. \]
Tadpole Condition

Fix $\mathcal{I}_\chi$ and $\mathcal{I}_\phi$ by

$$\langle \chi_q(\mu_0) \rangle = 0 \quad \quad \langle \phi_q(\mu_0) \rangle = 0$$

We are in the EFT where $\chi_q$ has been integrated out. But we started with

$$\int \mathcal{D}\chi_q \mathcal{D}\phi_q \, e^{i[S + \int \mathcal{I}_\chi \chi_q + \mathcal{I}_\phi \phi_q]}$$

$$\frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{I}_\chi} \implies \langle \chi_q \rangle$$

and we have computed the EFT keeping $\mathcal{I}_\chi$, $\mathcal{I}_\phi$,

$$0 = \frac{\delta}{\delta \mathcal{I}_\chi} \int \mathcal{D}\phi_q \, e^{iS_{EFT}} \implies \langle \chi_q \rangle = 0$$
χₗₖ tadpole in EFT

We can compute the tadpole of the heavy field using the EFT

\[
χₗₖ(µₕ) \rightarrow - \frac{λ₃(µₕ)ₕ}{2m²ₕ(µₕ)} [ϕ₂]ₕ \frac{λ₃(µₕ)ₕ}{m²ₕ(µₕ)} ϕₗₖ(µₕ) + \frac{Jₗₖ(µₕ)}{m²ₕ(µₕ)} ,
\]

and the tadpole condition becomes

\[
Jₗₖ(µₕ) = \frac{1}{2} λ₃(µₕ)ₕ \left\langle [ϕ₂]² \right\rangleₕ
\]

since \( \left\langle ϕₗₖ \right\rangle = 0 \).
RG Improve the Tadpole

Need to compute the RG improved tadpole. $[φ^2]_{μ_L}$ has no large logarithms since $μ_L ∼ m_φ$.

$$\langle \left[ φ^2 \right] \rangle_μ = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \tilde{m}^2 \left[ \ln \frac{\tilde{m}^2}{μ^2} - 1 \right] ,$$

RG equations in the EFT relate $[φ^2]_{μ_L}$ and $[φ^2]_{μ_H}$ by integrating operator anomalous dimension

$$\frac{d}{dt} \left[ φ^2 \right] = -\tilde{λ} \left[ φ^2 \right] - 2\tilde{ρ} φ_q - 2\tilde{m}^2 ,$$

This gives the RG improved tadpole
The result is the RG-improved Coleman-Weinberg effective potential, given by substituting the RG improved tadpole into the formula for $\tilde{\Lambda}(\mu_L)$.

The explicit form is complicated because the solution of the RG equations is complicated.

Need the full EFT Lagrangian, not just $\tilde{\Lambda}$ because the running of $\tilde{\Lambda}$ depends on all the couplings.

Expand and identify the LL terms to compare with existing calculations.

Expand in

$$t = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \ln \frac{\mu_L}{\mu_H}$$
Expansion in $t$

RG evolution of the cosmological constant:

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\mu_L) = \tilde{\Lambda}(\mu_H) + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}^4(\mu_H) t + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}^2(\mu_H) \left[ \tilde{\lambda}(\mu_H) \tilde{m}^2(\mu_H) + \tilde{\rho}^2(\mu_H) \right] t^2 + \ldots$$

Rewriting $\tilde{m}^2$ in terms of $\tilde{m}_\perp$ and $\mathcal{J}_\chi(\mu_H)$ using the matching condition:

$$\tilde{m}^2 = \frac{1}{6} \lambda_\phi \left( 3 \hat{\phi}^2 - v_\phi^2 \right) + \frac{1}{2} \lambda_3 \left( \hat{\chi}^2 - v_\chi^2 \right) - \frac{\lambda_3^2 \hat{\chi}^2 \hat{\phi}^2}{m_\chi^2} + \frac{\lambda_3 \hat{\chi} \mathcal{J}_\chi}{m_\chi^2} \tilde{m}_\perp$$

and using the matching condition for $\Lambda$:

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\mu_H) = \hat{\Lambda} + V_{\text{match}} - \frac{(\mathcal{J}_\chi)^2}{2 m_\chi^2} + O(z^6).$$
Expand in $t$

Logarithmic terms:

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\mu_L) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}_-^4(\mu_H) t + \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}_-^2(\mu_H) \left[ \tilde{\chi}(\mu_H) \tilde{m}_-^2(\mu_H) + \tilde{\rho}^2(\mu_H) \right] t^2$$

$$+ \frac{\tilde{m}_-^2(\mu_H) \lambda_3(\mu_H) \tilde{\chi}}{m_-^2(\mu_H)} \mathcal{J}_\chi(\mu_H) t - \frac{(\mathcal{J}_\chi(\mu_H))^2}{2m_-^2(\mu_H)} + \ldots$$

LL Tadpole:

$$\mathcal{J}_\chi(\mu_H) \approx \frac{\lambda_3(\mu_H) \tilde{\chi} \tilde{m}_-^2(\mu_H) t}{t}$$

(remember $\mathcal{J}$ is one-loop, so starts at order $t$)
$$\tilde{\lambda} = \lambda_\phi - 3 \frac{\chi^2}{m_\chi^2}$$

$$\tilde{\rho} = \tilde{\lambda} \phi$$

$$\tilde{\Lambda}(\mu_L) = \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}_-^4 (\mu_H) t + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[ \lambda_\phi - 3 \frac{\chi^2}{m_\chi^2} \right] \tilde{m}_-^4 + \left[ \lambda_\phi - 3 \frac{\chi^2}{m_\chi^2} \right]^2 \phi^2 \tilde{m}_-^2 + \frac{\chi^2}{m_\chi^2} \tilde{m}_-^4 \right\} t^2$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \tilde{m}_-^4 (\mu_H) t + \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \left[ \lambda_\phi - 2 \frac{\chi^2}{m_\chi^2} \right] \tilde{m}_-^4 + \left[ \lambda_\phi - 3 \frac{\chi^2}{m_\chi^2} \right]^2 \phi^2 \tilde{m}_-^2 \right\} t^2 .$$

The $t^2$ term is multiplied by 2/3.

C. Ford and D. Jones, PLB274 (1992) 409
C. Ford, I. Jack, and D. Jones, NPB387 (1992) 373
S. Martin, PRD65 (2002) 116003
Fixed Order Result
in terms of mass eigenstates

\[ V = V^{(0)} + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} V^{(1)} + \frac{1}{(16\pi^2)^2} V^{(2)} + \ldots \]

\[ V^{(1)} = \frac{1}{4} \sum_r m_r^4 \left( \ln \frac{m_r^2}{\mu^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right) \]

\[ V^{(2)} = \frac{1}{12} \lambda_{ijk} \lambda_{ijk} f_{SSS}(m_i^2, m_j^2, m_k^2) + \frac{1}{8} \lambda_{jjj} f_{SS}(m_i^2, m_j^2) \]

\[ \lambda_{ijk} = \frac{\partial^3 V}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j \partial \phi_k} \]

\[ \lambda_{ijkr} = \frac{\partial^4 V}{\partial \phi_i \partial \phi_j \partial \phi_k \partial \phi_r} \]
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Fixed Order Result

\[
f_{SS}(m_1^2, m_2^2) = \left[ m_1^2 \left( \ln \frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} - 1 \right) \right] \left[ m_2^2 \left( \ln \frac{m_2^2}{\mu^2} - 1 \right) \right].
\]

\[
f_{SSS}(m_1^2, m_1^2, m_2^2) = -\frac{\Delta + 2}{2} m_1^2 \left\{ -5 + \frac{2 \Delta \ln \Delta}{\Delta + 2} \left[ 2 - \ln \frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} \right] + 4 \ln \frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} \right. \\
- \ln^2 \frac{m_1^2}{\mu^2} - 8 \Omega(\Delta) \left\},
\]

which is given in terms of \( \Delta \equiv m_2^2/m_1^2 \).
Fixed Order Result

\[ \Omega(\Delta) = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(\Delta - 4)}}{\Delta + 2} \int_0^\alpha \ln(2 \cosh x) \, dx , \quad \cosh \alpha = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Delta} , \]

for \( \Delta \geq 4 \), and by

\[ \Omega(\Delta) = \frac{\sqrt{\Delta(4 - \Delta)}}{\Delta + 2} \int_0^\theta \ln(2 \sin x) \, dx , \quad \sin \theta = \frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\Delta} , \]
\[
\frac{\lambda_\phi}{16\pi^2} = 0.1, \quad \frac{\lambda_\chi}{16\pi^2} = 0.25, \quad \frac{\lambda_3}{16\pi^2} = 0.04,
\]
at the scale \( \mu_H \) and
\[
\nu_\phi = 246 \text{ GeV} , \quad \nu_\chi = 500 \text{ TeV} ,
\]
\[
V_{\text{tree}} \sim 10^9 \text{ GeV}^4
\]
\[ V_{\text{match}}(\mu_H) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\{ \right. \\
\left[ \ln \frac{W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)}}{\mu_H^2} - \frac{3}{2} \right] \left[ W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)} \right]^2 \\
+ \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)}}{\mu_H^2} - 1 \right] 2W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)} W_{\chi\chi}^{(2)} \\
+ 0 \\
+ \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\phi\chi}^{(0)}}{\mu_H^2} - 1 \right] 2W_{\phi\chi} W_{\chi\phi} \\
+ 0 \\
+ 0 \\
1 \\
\left. \right\} z^2 \\
+ \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)}}{\mu_H^2} - 1 \right] \frac{2W_{\phi\chi} W_{\chi\phi} W_{\phi\phi}}{W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)}} \\
+ \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)}}{\mu_H^2} - 1 \right] \left( \frac{W_{\phi\chi} W_{\chi\phi}}{W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)}} \right)^2 z^4 \\

The order 1 term gives a constant shift of \( \simeq -2.6 \times 10^{22} \text{ GeV}^4 \), about 13 orders of magnitude larger than the tree-level contribution.
The order $z^2$ contributions. The blue and orange curves are from the first and second rows. The two values happen to be nearly equal for our particular choice of input parameters.

$$V \simeq \times 10^{15} \text{GeV}^4$$
The tree-level potential and the order $z^4$ contributions. The blue curve is the tree-level potential, and the orange, green, red and purple curves are the $z^4$ terms in the four rows.
The instability is related to the cosmological constant and hierarchy problem.

Need to make sure the low-energy particle $\phi$ remains light.

A simple solution is to match at the scale

$$\mu_H^2 = \frac{1}{e} W^{(0)}_{\chi \chi}$$
One-loop Matching for $V_{CW}$

$$V_{\text{match}}(\mu_H) = \frac{1}{64\pi^2} \left\{ \begin{array}{c} -\frac{1}{2} \left[ W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)} \right]^2 + 0 + \left[ W_{\chi\chi}^{(2)} \right]^2 \\ + 0 + 0 + \frac{2 W_{\phi\chi} W_{\chi\phi} W_{\chi\chi}^{(2)}}{W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)}} \\ + 0 + 0 + 0 \\ + 0 + 0 + \left( \frac{W_{\phi\chi} W_{\chi\phi}}{W_{\chi\chi}^{(0)}} \right)^2 \\ 1 + z^2 + z^4 \end{array} \right\}.$$  

There are no $z^2$ corrections. Radiative corrections to $V_{CW}$ are under control.

A large shift in the cosmological constant remains (independent of $\hat{\chi}$ and $\hat{\phi}$)
RG improved $V_{CW}$

blue: tree-level potential
orange: fixed order potential at one-loop
green: RG improved potential neglecting the tadpole
red: RG improved potential

$\chi$ equal to its value at the minimum.
Previous Work

- $O(N)$ model
- two scalar fields in the unbroken phase
- two scalar fields in the broken phase
- Higgs-Yukawa model with $m_F \gg m_B$ or $m_B \gg m_F$

In all cases our results agree with explicit two-loop calculations.

Higgs-Yukawa model:
J. Casas, V. Di Clemente, M. Quiros, NPB553 (1993) 405,

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\phi} &= -2g^2 N \theta_F \phi = -\gamma_\phi \theta_F \phi, \\
\dot{g} &= 3 \theta_B \theta_F g^3 + \gamma_\phi \theta_F g, \\
\dot{m}_B^2 &= \lambda m_B^2 \theta_B + 2 \gamma_\phi \theta_F m_B^2, \\
\dot{\lambda} &= 3 \lambda^2 \theta_B - 48 g^4 N \theta_F + 4 \gamma_\phi \theta_F \lambda, \\
\dot{\Lambda} &= \frac{1}{2} m_B^4 \theta_B.
\end{align*}
\]
top quarks and $\varphi^+$ and $\varphi_Z$, which are propagating degrees of freedom in $R_\xi$ gauge.

IR divergence in the SM model at three-loops and beyond
\[
\Sigma_{\varphi\varphi}(p^2) = -\frac{y^2}{8\pi^2} m_F^2 \ln \frac{m_F^2}{\mu^2}
\]
to the \(\varphi\) mass. At \(\ell\) loop order — i.e. with \(\ell - 1\) fermion loops, get

\[
V \sim (y^2)^{\ell-1} \left( m_F^2 \right)^{\ell-1} W_{\varphi\varphi}^{3-\ell} \left[ \ln \frac{W_{\varphi\varphi}}{m_F^2} + \ldots \right],
\]
and leads to an infrared divergence as \(W_{\varphi\varphi} \to 0\) for \(\ell \geq 3\) loops. This is the Goldstone boson infrared divergence problem.

Expansion in \(m_F^2/m_\varphi^2\)

EFT says to use the one-loop corrected mass
One-loop contributions to the $\varphi$ two-point function. The dotted lines are $\varphi$, the dashed lines are $h_q$ and the solid line is the fermion $\psi$. $\Gamma[\varphi]$ includes some 1PI graphs.
\[ \Sigma_{\varphi\varphi}(p^2) = \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left\{ -\lambda \overline{A}_0(W_{hh}) - 4\lambda^2 \hat{h}^2 \overline{B}_0(0, W_{hh}, 0) + 2y^2 \overline{A}_0(m_F^2) \right\} \]

\[ + \frac{1}{16\pi^2} \left[ \frac{6\lambda^2 \hat{h}^2}{W_{hh}} \overline{A}_0(W_{hh}) - 4\sqrt{2} \frac{\lambda \hat{h}}{W_{hh}} y m_F \overline{A}_0(m_F^2) \right] + \frac{2\lambda \hat{h} \mathcal{J}_h}{W_{hh}} + \mathcal{O}\left(z^2\right), \]

\[ \Sigma_{\varphi\varphi}(p^2 = 0) = \frac{\mathcal{J}_h}{v}. \]

\[ i \Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}(0) = W_{\varphi\varphi} + \Sigma_{\varphi\varphi}(p^2 = 0) = \frac{\hat{\sigma}}{v} + \frac{\mathcal{J}_h}{v} = \frac{J}{v}. \]

Consequently, at the minimum of the potential where \( J = 0 \), at one-loop order

\[ \Gamma_{\varphi\varphi}(\rho = 0) = 0, \]
Conclusions

- Formalism to systematically improve the CW potential
- The $(\lambda L)^2$ agrees with existing two-loop fixed order calculations
- The formalism applies also to
  - gauge case
  - higher orders
  without change