# A FINITE S-MATRIX

Hofie Sigridar Hannesdottir

Department of Physics, Harvard University

arXiv: 1810.10022 with C. Frye, N. Paul, M. Schwartz, and K. Yan arXiv: 1906.03271 with M. Schwartz  $e^{-}$  with M. Schwartz  $e^{-}$ 

# OUTLINE

- Introduction: IR divergences
- Ideas for IR finiteness:
  - Cross section method
  - Finite S-matrix
  - Coherent states
- Conclusions & Future directions

# The Scattering Matrix (S-matrix)

# $\langle f | S | i \rangle$ : Probability amplitude for measuring a final state $| f \rangle$ given an initial state $| i \rangle$

- Used in most **Quantum Field Theory** calculations.
  - Leads to predictions for **collider experiments**.
  - Standard Model observables computed to high precision.
  - Calculated using **Feynman diagrams**.

# Problem with S-matrix: Infrared Divergences

- Probability of two electrons scattering when calculated naively =  $\infty$ .
- Problematic since probabilities  $p_{fi} \propto |\langle f|S|i \rangle|^2$  should be less than 1.
- UV divergences occur at high energies.
  - Remedy using **renormalization**.
- IR divergences occur at low energies in theories with massless particles.
  - No proof of LSZ theorem.
  - $-\,$  Despite these problems, use S-matrix to make predictions.

Problems provide an opportunity: Explore and gain new insight!

# Problem with S-matrix: Infrared Divergences

**Physical Reason:** We are not including the electromagnetic field correctly in scattering calculations.



Lumen Learning

# IR DIVERGENCES IN QFT



 $\begin{array}{ccc} \text{Singularities:} & |k| \rightarrow 0 & soft \\ \theta \rightarrow 0 & collinear \end{array} \right\} \quad \text{IR divergences} \quad \end{array}$ 

#### IDEAS FOR IR FINITENESS

- 1. Finite cross sections  $\sigma \propto \int |\langle f|S|i \rangle|^2 d\Pi_f$ 
  - Bloch-Nordsieck theorem
  - KLN theorem
- 2. Finite S-matrix
- 3. Finite scattering amplitudes  $S_{fi} = \langle f | S | i \rangle$

# 1. FINITE CROSS SECTIONS

# **CROSS SECTION METHOD - INTRODUCTION**

- Idea: Cross section is **measurable** and needs to be finite.
- Detecting an electron, perhaps a photon with little energy or one close to the electron **escaped detector**.
  - All physical detectors have a finite resolution.
- A sum over all processes consistent with detector measurement should give a finite quantity.

Need to calculate the same quantity as we measure.

#### **CROSS SECTION METHOD - INTRODUCTION**

Physical Motivation: All physical observables are finite.

**Theoretical Goal:** Find the *minimal set* of Feynman diagrams needed for finiteness.

#### PREVIOUS THEOREMS ON IR DIVERGENCES



Bloch-Nordsieck theorem Stronger KLN theorem (Frye, HSH, Paul, Schwartz, Yan)

Kinoshita-Lee-Nauenberg (KLN) theorem

#### PREVIOUS THEOREMS ON IR DIVERGENCES

**Bloch-Nordsieck (1937):** Soft IR divergences cancel in QED when summing over final state photons with finite energy resolution.

**Doria, Frenkel, Taylor (1980):** Counterexample in QCD:  $qq \rightarrow \mu\mu qq$  + final state gluons is soft IR divergent at 2-loops.

KLN Theorem (1962-64): S-matrix elements squared are IR finite when summing over final states and initial states within some energy window:

$$\sum_{f,i\in[E-E_0,E+E_0]} |\langle f|S|i\rangle|^2 < \infty$$

#### STRONGER KLN THEOREM

KLN Theorem (1962-64): S-matrix elements squared are IR finite when summing over final states and initial states within some energy window:

$$\sum_{f,i\in[E-E_0,E+E_0]} |\langle f|S|i\rangle|^2 < \infty$$

Stronger KLN Theorem (2018): S-matrix elements squared are IR finite when summing over final states or initial states:

$$\sum_{f} |\langle f | S | i \rangle|^{2} < \infty, \qquad \sum_{i} |\langle f | S | i \rangle|^{2} < \infty$$

#### STRONGER KLN THEOREM

- KLN is a trivial consequence of **unitarity**:
  - Probability of  $i \rightarrow$  anything is  $1 < \infty$
  - Probability of anything  $\rightarrow f$  is  $1 < \infty$
- KLN requires a term where  $f = i \rightarrow$  forward scattering



- Works diagram by diagram, proof in old-fashioned perturbation theory
  - Fix state and cut up squared diagrams in all possible ways

#### $Z \rightarrow e^+e^- + \text{final state radiation}$



$$\Gamma = \Gamma_0$$
 (finite)



$$\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_0} \propto -\frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{3}{8\epsilon}$$

 $\frac{\Gamma}{\Gamma_0} \propto \frac{1}{4\epsilon^2} + \frac{3}{8\epsilon}$ 

Soft singularities

cancel by Bloch-Nordsieck

Collinear singularities

happen to also cancel

 $m_e = 0$ , Dim reg, CM frame

#### $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ + final state radiation



Soft singularities

cancel by Bloch-Nordsieck

Collinear singularities do not cancel

$$m_e = 0, \ z = \frac{m_z^2}{E_{\rm CM}^2}$$
, Dim reg, CM frame

#### $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ + initial state absorption



Including initial state absorption diagram:

Soft and collinear singularities cancel

$$m_e = 0, \ z = \frac{m_z^2}{E_{\rm CM}^2}$$
, Dim reg, CM frame



Leftover singularity!

#### CANCELLING IR SINGULARITIES

- Which diagrams cancel the leftover singularity?
- Stronger KLN Theorem (2018): S-matrix elements squared are IR finite when summing over final states or initial states:

$$\sum_{f} |\langle f | S | i \rangle|^{2} < \infty, \qquad \sum_{i} |\langle f | S | i \rangle|^{2} < \infty$$

• Not including all possible diagrams.





Soft and collinear singularities cancel

、 、



$$\frac{\sigma}{\sigma_0} \propto \frac{\delta(1-z)}{4\epsilon^2} - \frac{5z^2 - 4z + 1}{4\epsilon [1-z]_+}$$
$$m_e = 0, \ z = \frac{m_z^2}{E_{CM}^2}, \text{ Dim reg, CM frame}$$



No reason to stop at 1 disconnected photons



No reason to stop at 2 disconnected photons



No reason to stop at n disconnected photons



**Soft** and **collinear** singularities cancel in each triplet of diagrams

$$\sum_{m} \sigma_{m,n} \propto -\frac{(1-z)^3}{z^2 n^4} + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{n^6}\right)$$

- m: No. of initial state photons
- n: No. of final state photons

$$z = m_z^2 / E_{CM}^2$$

#### **KLN** Theorem Interpretation

Why did it work to sum over disconnected photons? KLN requires a term where  $f = i \rightarrow$  forward scattering



The IR singularity cancellation worked only since the forward scattering diagrams

 $Z + n \gamma \rightarrow Z + n \gamma$  are finite for any n

#### 3 ways of making $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ finite:

- 1. With infinite number disconnected photons, but no forward scattering.
- 2. Initial state sum, including forward scattering.
- 3. Final state sum, including forward scattering.

# Making $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ finite: 1. Disconnected photons

Sum of triplets of diagrams are IR finite



#### Making $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ finite: 2. Initial state sum



#### Making $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$ finite: 3. Final state sum



#### $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$ scattering



Rate to produce no charged particles in photon collisions is not IR safe

 $m_e = 0$ , Dim reg, CM frame

# SUMMARY

- Need forward scattering and disconnected diagrams in KLN theorem.
- 3 ways of making  $e^+e^- \rightarrow Z$  finite:
  - With infinite number disconnected photons, but no forward scattering.
  - Initial state sum, including forward scattering.
  - Final state sum, including forward scattering.
- IR divergence in  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow \gamma\gamma$  scattering is cancelled by  $\gamma\gamma \rightarrow e^+e^-$ .
- Need a **revised understanding** of what is observable.

#### CONCLUSION OF CROSS SECTION METHOD

 $\sum_{f} |\langle f| S |i\rangle|^2 \propto 1 < \infty$ 

Conclusion: KLN theorem = unitarity.

If we sum over all possible diagrams we get 1 by unitarity, and 1 is IR finite.

Not closer to finding the **minimal set of diagrams** needed for IR finiteness.

Need new ideas beyond the cross section method.

# 2. A FINITE S-MATRIX

# The Scattering Matrix (S-matrix)

- Properties extensively studied.
  - How to encode its content? Spinors, twistors, amplituhedron?
  - What are its symmetries? Lorentz invariance, Dual conformal invariance?
  - What **constraints** can we impose? Steinmann relations, limits?
- Still, the S-matrix does not exist in theories with massless particles.
  - Divergent in perturbation theory.
  - Zero non-perturbatively.

#### The Scattering Matrix (S-matrix)

Why are our previous calculations valuable?

What is the **fundamental object** we should calculate?

What do we gain from a firmer mathematical ground?

# WHAT IS SCATTERING?



# WHAT IS SCATTERING?

S-matrix: Probability amplitude for measuring  $|f\rangle$  given  $|i\rangle$ 

$$S_{fi} = \lim_{t_{\pm} \to \pm \infty} \left\langle f \right| e^{iH_0 t_+} e^{-iH t_+} e^{iH t_-} e^{-iH_0 t_-} \left| i \right\rangle$$





#### TRADITIONAL DEFINITION OF S-MATRIX

$$S_{fi} = \lim_{t_{\pm} \to \pm \infty} \langle f | e^{iH_0 t_{\pm}} e^{-iHt_{\pm}} e^{iHt_{\pm}} e^{-iH_0 t_{\pm}} | i \rangle$$

Free Theory:  $S = \mathbb{1} \qquad S_{fi} = \langle f | i \rangle \checkmark$ QM, short range potential:  $Const. \text{ potential} \quad H = H_0 + V_0: \quad S_{fi} = \langle f | i \rangle \lim_{T \to \infty} e^{-2iV_0T} ?$ QED:  $S = \mathbb{1} - \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2} + \dots = -\infty?$   $S = \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2}\right\} = 0?$ 

#### TRADITIONAL DEFINITION OF S-MATRIX

$$S_{fi} = \lim_{t_{\pm} \to \pm \infty} \langle f | e^{iH_0 t_+} e^{-iHt_+} e^{iHt_-} e^{-iH_0 t_-} | i \rangle$$

Free Theory:S = 1 $S_{fi} = \langle f | i \rangle \checkmark$ QM, short range potential: $\checkmark$ Const. potential $H = H_0 + V_0$ : $S_{fi} = \langle f | i \rangle \lim_{T \to \infty} e^{-2iV_0T}$ ?QED: $S = 1 - \frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2} + \dots = -\infty$ ? $S = \exp\left\{-\frac{\alpha}{\epsilon^2}\right\} = 0$ ?

Interactions do not vanish as  $t \to \pm \infty$  in QED Must **redefine** S-matrix in theories with long range interactions

#### Modify S-matrix to $S_H$

**Recall:** Interactions do not vanish as  $t \to \pm \infty$  in QED.





**Redefine** S-matrix in theories with long range interactions:

$$\begin{split} S_{fi} &= \lim_{t_{\pm} \to \pm \infty} \left\langle f \right| e^{iH_0 t_+} e^{-iHt_+} e^{iHt_-} e^{-iH_0 t_-} \left| i \right\rangle \\ &\to S_{fi}^H = \lim_{t_{\pm} \to \pm \infty} \left\langle f \right| e^{iH_{\rm as} t_+} e^{-iHt_+} e^{iHt_-} e^{-iH_{\rm as} t_-} \left| i \right\rangle \end{split}$$

# Modify S-matrix to $S_H$





# QUESTIONS

$$S_{fi}^{H} = \lim_{t_{\pm} \to \pm \infty} \left\langle f \right| e^{iH_{\rm as}t_{\pm}} e^{-iHt_{\pm}} e^{iHt_{\pm}} e^{-iH_{\rm as}t_{\pm}} \left| i \right\rangle$$

- (i) How to pick  $H_{\rm as}$ ?
  - Criteria: IR finite, easy to calculate, useful in practice, consistent with every measurement to date.
- (ii) How to calculate matrix elements of  $S_H$ ?
- (iii) How to interpret  $S_H$ ?

# Choice of $H_{AS}$

- (i) How to pick  $H_{as}$ ?
  - Use factorization, and techniques from Soft-Collinear Effective Theory (SCET):

$$H_{\rm as} = H_{SCET}$$

- IR finite by construction due to **universality of IR divergences**.
- States evolve independently of how they scatter.
- New UV divergences dealt with using renormalization.
- No scales, most integrals are zero in dim reg.

# THREE PART CALCULATION

- (ii) How to calculate matrix elements of  $S_H$ ?
  - Calculation trick in perturbation theory:

$$S_{fi}^{H} = \int d\Pi'_{f} \int d\Pi'_{i} \underbrace{\langle f | \Omega_{+}^{as} | f' \rangle}_{\text{rules}} \underbrace{\langle f' | S | i' \rangle}_{\text{rules}} \underbrace{\langle i' | \Omega_{+}^{as} | i \rangle}_{\text{rules}}$$
• Calculations split into three parts:



#### EXAMPLE: $Z \rightarrow e^+ e^-$ for $H_{AS} = H_{SCET}$



#### INTERPRETATION OF $S_H$

- (iii) How to interpret  $S_H$ ?
  - a. Wilson coefficients in Soft-Collinear Effective Theory (SCET)
  - b. Remainder functions in  $\mathcal{N}$  = 4 Supersymmetric Yang-Mills theory (SYM)
  - c. Dressed states / Coherent states

# 3. FINITE SCATTERING AMPLITUDES

# C. COHERENT STATES

• Arise as intermediate steps in  $S_H$  calculations:



# C. COHERENT STATES

• Arise as intermediate steps in  $S_H$  calculations:

$$S_{fi}^{H} = \sum_{f'} \sum_{i'} \underbrace{\langle f | \Omega_{+}^{as} | f' \rangle \langle f' |}_{\langle f^{d} |} S \underbrace{|i'\rangle \langle i' | \Omega_{+}^{as} | i\rangle}_{|i^{d}\rangle}$$

#### Mathematically the same as the finite S-matrix

#### FUTURE DIRECTIONS: ANALYTIC STRUCTURE OF $S_H$

We have explored:

 $S_H$  provides an alternative definition of familiar QFT objects.

New goal:

Examine properties of  $S_H$ , e.g. using **bootstrapping** methods.

Tools needed:

Better handle on **analytic structure** of amplitudes.

# Conclusions of Finite S-matrix Method

 $S_H$ : "hard" S-matrix defined by exploiting universality of asymptotic interactions in theories with massless particles.

- Encodes hard dynamics of scattering processes.
- Interpretations:
  - a. Wilson coefficients
  - b.  $\mathcal{N} = 4$  remainder functions
  - c. Coherent states
- Explore analytic structure of S and  $S_H$ .

#### FUTURE DIRECTIONS

- Extend Steinmann relations.
- Apply new results to **bootstrapping** finite *S*-matrix?
- Extend to massless particles?
- More general proof of **Steinmann**?

# CONCLUSIONS

- IR divergences remain a problem in QFT
- Explored three solutions:
  - 1. Finite cross sections: Sum over all diagrams for finiteness.
  - 2. Finite S-matrix: Encodes hard dynamics of scattering processes.
  - 3. Finite scattering amplitudes (Coherent states): Same as Finite S-matrix.
- Future directions: Explore analytic structure.

# THANKS!

# PREVIOUS RESULTS: EXTEND CUTTING RULES

• Traditional Cutting Rules: (Cutkosky 1960)

$$-\bigcirc - \qquad -\swarrow \searrow$$
$$\operatorname{Disc} \mathcal{M} = \mathcal{M}|_{+i\varepsilon} - \mathcal{M}|_{-i\varepsilon} = \sum \operatorname{Cut} \mathcal{M}$$

• Extended Cutting Rules: (Bourjaily, HH, McLeod, Schwartz, Vergu 2020)

$$p \rightarrow \bigcirc$$

$$\operatorname{Disc}^2 \mathcal{M} \sim \sum \operatorname{Cut}^2 \mathcal{M} + \sum \operatorname{Cut}^3 \mathcal{M} + \cdots$$

# STEINMANN RELATIONS

 ${\cal M}$  cannot have sequential discontinuities in partially overlapping channels



- $\mathcal{M}$  cannot contain  $\ln(s)\ln(t)$  but can contain  $\ln(s)\ln(u)$ .
- Important for **bootstrapping** amplitudes.
- Proofs: Steinmann 1960; Bourjaily, HH, McLeod, Schwartz, Vergu 2020.

# STEINMANN RELATIONS

 $\mathcal{M}$  cannot have sequential discontinuities in partially overlapping channels



- $\mathcal{M}$  cannot contain  $\ln(s)\ln(t)$  but can contain  $\ln(s)\ln(u)$ .
- Important for **bootstrapping** amplitudes.
- Proofs: Steinmann 1960; Bourjaily, HH, McLeod, Schwartz, Vergu 2020.

New Goal: Find stronger constraints

#### **References** i

- Christopher Frye, Holmfridur Hannesdottir, Nisarga Paul, Matthew D. Schwartz, and Kai Yan. "Infrared Finiteness and Forward Scattering". In: *Phys. Rev. D* 99.5 (2019), p. 056015. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.99.056015. arXiv: 1810.10022 [hep-ph].
- [2] Holmfridur Hannesdottir and Matthew D. Schwartz. "S-Matrix for massless particles". In: *Phys. Rev. D* 101.10 (2020), p. 105001. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRevD.101.105001. arXiv: 1911.06821 [hep-th].
- [3] Holmfridur Hannesdottir and Matthew D. Schwartz. "A Finite S-Matrix". In: (June 2019). arXiv: 1906.03271 [hep-th].

#### **References** ii

- [4] T. Kinoshita. "Mass singularities of Feynman amplitudes". In: J. Math. Phys. 3 (1962), pp. 650–677. DOI: 10.1063/1.1724268.
- T. D. Lee and M. Nauenberg. "Degenerate Systems and Mass Singularities". In: Phys. Rev. 133 (1964), B1549–B1562. DOI: 10.1103/PhysRev.133.B1549.
- [6] O Steinmann. "Über den Zusammenhang Zwischen den Wightmanfunktionen und den Retardierten Kommutatoren". In: *Helvetica Physica Acta* 33 (1960), pp. 257–298.
- [7] O Steinmann. "Wightman-Funktionen und Retardierte Kommutatoren. II". In: *Helvetica Physica Acta* 33 (1960), pp. 347–362.

#### **References** iii

[8] Jacob L. Bourjaily, Holmfridur Hannesdottir, Andrew J. McLeod, Matthew D. Schwartz, and Cristian Vergu. "Sequential Discontinuities of Feynman Integrals and the Monodromy Group". In: (July 2020). arXiv: 2007.13747 [hep-th].