Open loops to trees in four space-time dimensions Germán Rodrigo IFIC INSTITUT DE PNICA SOR PU DE UNICA SOR DE COLOR VALÈNCIA



5 June 2020



- ► all options aimed at **attobarn**-1 **physics**
- requires to go far beyond NNLO for theory
- even conservative estimates not reachable with current techniques

The difficulties to reach higher orders arise because we have defined Quantum Field Theory **not in the optimal way**



* "Mathematics is the language of the Universe", attributed to Galileo Galilei 1564-1642



QFT = Quantum Mechanics + space-time

- Loops encode quantum fluctuations at **infinite energy** (**zero distance**): **SM/BSM** extrapolated at energies $\gg M_{\rm Plank}$
- QED/QCD massless gauge bosons/quarks: quantum state with N partons ≠ quantum state with zero energy emission (infinite distance) of extra partons
- Partons can be emitted in exactly the same direction (zero distance)

Dimensional Regularization DREG $d = 4 - 2\epsilon$

- Qualitative interpretation: A way to give some extra space to the colliding particles
- Intrinsic infinities appear as poles in the extra dimensions: 1/e after integration over loop momenta and phase-space
- 👹 G. Rodrigo, Wien seminar
- different quantum fluctuations should contribute with poles of opposite sign, such that theoretical predictions for physical observables remain finite
- Mathematically well-defined but **unphysical**, and difficult to implement at higher orders



https://www.pinterest.es/pin/ 311733605450189454/

OUTLINE | AIMED FULLY LOCAL AND IN THE PHYSICAL FOUR DIMENSIONS



FOUR DIMENSIONAL UNSUBTRACTION (FDU)

Introduce mappings of momenta between the virtual and real kinematics such that **soft and collinear singularities** are cancelled locally in d = 4 space-time dimensions. Real and virtual contributions generated simultaneously

R. P. Feynman, Closed Loop And Tree Diagrams. (talk),

Magic Without Magic: J. A. Wheeler, A Collection of Essays in Honor of his Sixtieth Birthday. Edited by John R. Klauder, **1972**, p.355

Closed Loop and Tree Diagrams

In *Brown, L.M. (ed.): Selected papers of Richard Feynman* 867-887

We shall show that any diagram with closed loops can be expressed in terms of sums (actually integrals) of tree diagrams. In each of these tree diagrams there is, in addition to the external particles of the original closed loop diagram, certain particles in the initial and in the final state of the tree diagram. These



IT'S ALL ABOUT THE TINY +10 FROM



- MATH: the +i0 is a small quantity usually ignored, assuming that the analytical continuation to the physical kinematics is well defined
- PHYSICS: the +i0 encodes CAUSALITY | positive frequencies are propagated forward in time, and negative backward



THE LOOP-TREE DUALITY (LTD)

Cauchy residue theorem



in the loop energy complex plane

Feynman Propagator +i0:

positive frequencies are propagated forward in time, and negative backward

$$G_F(q_i) = \frac{1}{q_i^2 - m_i^2 + i0}$$

selects residues with definite **positive energy and negative imaginary part**

in arbitrary coordinate systems: reduce the dimension of the integration domain by one unit



THE LOOP-TREE DUALITY (LTD)

One-loop amplitudes in any relativistic, local and unitary QFT represented as a linear combination of *N* **single-cut phase-space/dual amplitudes** | **non-disjoint trees** (at higher orders: number of cuts equal to the number of loops)

$$\int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}_1) \prod G_F(q_i) = - \int_{\mathcal{C}_1} \mathcal{N}(\mathcal{C}_1) \otimes \sum \tilde{\delta}(q_i) \prod_{i \neq j} G_D(q_i; q_j)$$



• $\tilde{\delta}(q_i) = i2\pi \,\theta(q_{i,0}) \,\delta(q_i^2 - m_i^2)$ sets internal line on-shell, positive energy mode

•
$$G_D(q_i; q_j) = \frac{1}{q_j^2 - m_j^2 - \iota_0 \eta k_{ji}}$$
 dual propagator $k_{ji} = q_j - q_i$ $q_{i,0}^{(+)} = \sqrt{\mathbf{q}_i^2 + m_i^2 - \iota_0}$

- LTD realised by modifying the customary +i0 prescription of the Feynman propagators (only the sign matters), it encodes in a compact way the effect of multiple-cut contributions that appear in the Feynman's Tree Theorem
 - **Lorentz invariant**, best choice $\eta^{\mu} = (1, 0)$: energy component integrated out, remaining integration in **Euclidean space**



THE DUAL FOREST | CAUSALITY



- LTD is equivalent to integrate along the forward on-shell hyperboloids (light-cones for massless) or positive energy modes
- The dual integrand becomes singular when a second propagator gets eventually on-shell
- The location of singularities is determined by a linear identity in the on-shell energies

$$\lambda_{ij}^{\pm\pm} = \pm \, q_{i,0}^{(+)} \pm q_{j,0}^{(+)} + k_{ji,0} \to 0$$

where

$$q_{r,0}^{(+)} = \sqrt{q_r^2 + m_r^2 - \iota 0}$$
 $k_{ji} = q_j - q_i$

WHEN A BRANCH GETS BROKEN: SINGULARITIES OF SINGLE CUT TREES





WHEN A BRANCH GETS BROKEN: SINGULARITIES OF SINGLE CUT TREES



THE FEYNMAN'S FOREST | CAUSALITY



FTT:
$$\mathscr{F}_{ij}^{(1)} = (2\pi i)^{-1} G_F(q_j) \,\tilde{\delta}(q_i) + (i \leftrightarrow j)$$

Time-like distance (causally connected): physics does not depend on the FTT or LTD representation

$$\lim_{\lambda_{ij}^{++} \to 0} \mathscr{F}_{ij}^{(1)} = \frac{\theta(-k_{ji,0}) \,\theta(k_{ji}^2 - (m_i + m_j)^2)}{x_{ij} \,(-\lambda_{ij}^{++} + \iota 0)} + \mathcal{O}\left((\lambda_{ij}^{++})^0\right)$$

Space-like distance: there is mismatch in the +i0 prescription

$$\lim_{\lambda_{ij}^{+-} \to 0} \mathcal{F}_{ij}^{(1)} \sim \frac{1}{-\lambda_{ij}^{+-} + \iota 0} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{ij}^{+-} + \iota 0} + \mathcal{O}\left((\lambda_{ij}^{+-})^0\right)$$

needs to be compensated by the contribution from multiple cuts

Buchta PhD2015 Buchta, et al. EPJC **77** (2017) 274

LTD SINGULAR STRUCTURE



- non-causal singularities (forward-forward in blue): undergo dual cancellations among dual pairs
 causal singularities (forward-backward in orange): bounded to a compact region, which is of the size of the hard scale, collapse to a finite segment for infrared singularities (→ FDU)
- Numerical integration in the Euclidean space of the loop three-momenta, CPU/GPU time do not scale significantly with the number of legs

NUMERICAL INTEGRATION

	Rank	Tensor Hexagon	Real Part	Imaginary Part	Time[s]
P20	1	SecDec	$-1.21585(12) \times 10^{-15}$		36
		LTD	$-1.21552(354) \times 10^{-15}$		6
P21	3	SecDec	$4.46117(37) \times 10^{-9}$		5498
		LTD	$4.461369(3) \times 10^{-9}$		11
P22	1	SecDec	$1.01359(23) \times 10^{-15}$	$+i \ 2.68657(26) \times 10^{-15}$	33
		LTD	$1.01345(130) \times 10^{-15}$	$+i \ 2.68633(130) \times 10^{-15}$	72
P23	2	SecDec	$2.45315(24) \times 10^{-12}$	$-i \ 2.06087(20) \times 10^{-12}$	337
		LTD	$2.45273(727) \times 10^{-12}$	$-i \ 2.06202(727) \times 10^{-12}$	75
P24	3	SecDec	$-2.07531(19) \times 10^{-6}$	$+i \ 6.97158(56) \times 10^{-7}$	14280
		LTD	$-2.07526(8) \times 10^{-6}$	$+i \ 6.97192(8) \times 10^{-7}$	85

Table 5: Tensor hexagons involving numerators of rank one to three.

Propagator	Real Part	Imaginary Part
1	$2.530(4) \times 10^{-14}$	$+i 8.514(1) \times 10^{-14}$
$\ell.p_3 \times \ell.p_5$	$8.08(4) \times 10^{-15}$	$+i 6.144(5) \times 10^{-13}$

Table 1: Scalar and tensor decagon with all internal masses different.

SINGULARITIES OF SINGLE-CUT TREES AND THE FOREST





- Threshold singularities occur when a second propagator gets on-shell: consistent with Cutkosky
- It becomes collinear (soft) when a single massless particle is emitted
- Causally connected

- Virtual particle emitted and absorbed on-shell
- Potential threshold and IR singularities cancel in the sum of single-cut trees: non-causal
- Non-singular configurations at very large energies
 (UV) expected to be suppressed. If not sufficiently suppressed, renormalise

UNITARITY THRESHOLD / TRIPLE COLLINEAR





LOCAL UV RENORMALISATION

Expand propagators and numerators around a UV propagator [Reuschle et al., similar to Pittau's FDR in the UV]

$$G_F(q_{\rm UV}) = \frac{1}{q_{\rm UV}^2 - \mu_{\rm UV}^2 + \iota 0} \qquad \{\ell_j^2 \mid \ell_j \cdot k_i\} \to \{\lambda^2 q_{\rm UV}^2 + (1 - \lambda^2) \,\mu_{\rm UV}^2 \mid \lambda \, q_{\rm UV} \cdot k_i\}$$

• and adjust **subleading** terms, $c_{\rm UV}$, to subtract only the pole (\overline{MS} scheme), or to define any other renormalisation scheme. For the scalar two point function

$$I_{\rm UV}^{\rm cnt} = \int_{\mathscr{C}} \frac{1}{(q_{\rm UV}^2 - \mu_{\rm UV}^2 + i0)^2} \left(1 + c_{\rm UV} \frac{\mu_{\rm UV}^2}{q_{\rm UV}^2 - \mu_{\rm UV}^2 + i0} \right)$$

dual representation needs to deal with **multiple poles** [Bierenbaum et al.]

$$\Gamma_{\rm UV}^{\rm cnt} = \int_{\mathscr{C}} \frac{\tilde{\delta}(q_{\rm UV})}{2\left(q_{\rm UV,0}^{(+)}\right)^2} \left(1 - \frac{3c_{\rm UV}\mu_{\rm UV}^2}{4\left(q_{\rm UV,0}^{(+)}\right)^2}\right)$$

$$q_{\rm UV,0}^{(+)} = \sqrt{\mathbf{q}_{\rm UV}^2 + \mu_{\rm UV}^2 - i0}$$

Hernández-Pinto, Sborlini, GR, JHEP 1602, 044

Integration on the UV on-shell hyperboloid: loop three-momentum unconstrained, but loop contributions suppressed for loop energies larger than $\mu_{\rm UV}$

FINITE HELICITY AMPLITUDES

LOCAL UV RENORMALIZATION



G. Rodrigo, Wien seminar

UV finite helicity amplitudes, but unintegrated amplitudes locally singular

$$\mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{R}}^{(L)} = \mathscr{A}^{(L)} - \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{UV}}^{(L)}\Big|_{d=4} \qquad \qquad \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{UV}}^{(L)}\Big|_{d} = 0$$

- Subtract not only logarithmic UV singularities, but also linear and quadratic
- Disentangle the UV from the IR behaviour in scaleless integrals (e.g. self-energies)



LOCAL UV RENORMALISATION: MULTILOOP

$$\begin{cases} |\boldsymbol{\ell}_1| \to \infty \\ |\boldsymbol{\ell}_2| \text{ fixed} \end{cases}, \qquad \begin{cases} |\boldsymbol{\ell}_1| \text{ fixed} \\ |\boldsymbol{\ell}_2| \to \infty \end{cases}, \qquad \begin{cases} |\boldsymbol{\ell}_1| \to \infty \\ |\boldsymbol{\ell}_2| \to \infty \end{cases}$$

Multiple UV limit

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{UV}^2 &: \{\ell_j^2 \mid \ell_j \cdot \ell_k \mid \ell_j \cdot k_i\} \\ &\to \{\lambda^2 q_{j,\mathrm{UV}}^2 + (1 - \lambda^2) \,\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^2 \mid \lambda^2 q_{j,\mathrm{UV}} \cdot q_{k,\mathrm{UV}} + (1 - \lambda^2) \,\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^2 / 2 \mid \lambda \, q_{j,\mathrm{UV}} \cdot k_i\} \end{aligned}$$

Most subtle steep the adjustment of the **subleading** terms, $d_{\rm UV2}$, to be in agreement with e.g. the \overline{MS} scheme

$$\left(\mathscr{A}^{(L)} - \mathscr{A}^{(L)}_{1,\mathrm{UV}} - \mathscr{A}^{(L)}_{2,\mathrm{UV}}\right)_{\mathrm{UV}^2} - d_{\mathrm{UV}2}\,\mu_{\mathrm{UV}}^4 \int_{\ell_1\ell_2} \left(G_F(q_{1,\mathrm{UV}})\right)^3 \left(G_F(q_{2,\mathrm{UV}})\right)^3$$





 $H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$ at two-loops [Analytic expressions from Aglietti, Bonciani, Degrassi, Vicini, JHEP 0701 (2007) 021]

FOUR-DIMENSIONAL UNSUBTRATION (FDU) @ NLO

The LTD representation of the renormalised loop cross-section: one single integral in the loop three-momentum

$$\int_{N} d\sigma_{\mathrm{V}}^{(1,\mathrm{R})} = \int_{N} \int_{\vec{\ell}_{1}} 2\,\mathrm{Re}\,\langle \mathcal{M}_{N}^{(0)} | \left(\sum_{i} \mathcal{M}_{N}^{(1)}(\tilde{\delta}(q_{i}))\right) - \mathcal{M}_{\mathrm{UV}}^{(1)}(\tilde{\delta}(q_{\mathrm{UV}}))\rangle$$

A partition of the real phase-space

$$\sum_{i} \mathcal{R}_i(\{p_j'\}_{N+1}) = 1$$

The real contribution mapped to the Born kinematics + loop three-momentum

$$\int_{N+1} d\sigma_{R}^{(1)} = \int_{N} \int_{\vec{\ell}_{1}} \sum_{i} \mathcal{J}_{i}(q_{i}) \mathcal{R}_{i}(\{p_{j}'\}) |\mathcal{M}_{N+1}^{(0)}(\{p_{j}'\})|^{2} \Big|_{\{p_{j}'\}_{N+1} \to (q_{i},\{p_{k}\}_{N})}$$

MAPPING COLLINEAR/SOFT DEGENERATE STATES

MOMENTUM MAPPING: MULTI-LEG



• Motivated by the factorisation properties of QCD: assuming q_i^{μ} on-shell, and close to collinear with p_i^{μ} , we define the momentum mapping

$$p_{r}^{\prime \mu} = q_{i}^{\mu} ,$$

$$p_{i}^{\prime \mu} = p_{i}^{\mu} - q_{i}^{\mu} + \alpha_{i} p_{j}^{\mu} , \qquad \alpha_{i} = \frac{(q_{i} - p_{i})^{2}}{2p_{j} \cdot (q_{i} - p_{i})} ,$$

$$p_{j}^{\prime \mu} = (1 - \alpha_{i}) p_{j}^{\mu} , \qquad p_{k}^{\prime \mu} = p_{k}^{\mu} , \qquad k \neq i, j$$

- All the primed momenta (real process) on-shell and momentum conservation: p_i^{μ} is the **emitter**, p_i^{μ} the **spectator** needed to absorb momentum recoil
- Quasi-collinear configurations can also be conveniently mapped such that the massless limit is smooth [Sborlini, Driencourt-Mangin, GR, JHEP 1610, 162]



LTD / FDU IN THE LOOP THREE-MOMENTUM SPACE



BENCHMARK APPLICATION: $A^* \rightarrow q\bar{q}(g)$

G. Rodrigo, Wien seminar



SCALE HIERARCHIES WELL DEFINED IN EUCLIDEAN SPACE

ASYMPTOTIC EXPANSIONS

Expansion of dual propagators

 $p^2 \gg \{m^2, M^2\}$

$$G_D(q_i; q_j) = \frac{1}{2q_i \cdot k_{ji} + \Gamma_{ij} + \Delta_{ij} - \iota 0\eta \cdot k_{ji}} \bigg|_{\Delta_{ij} \text{ small}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-\Delta_{ij})^n}{(2q_i \cdot k_{ji} + \Gamma_{ij} - \iota 0\eta \cdot k_{ji})^{n+1}}$$



 $M^2 \gg \{m^2, p^2\}$

wrt Expansion by Regions [Smirnov, Beneke]: it does not mix UV with IR. Only the first terms might need local renormalisation

Expansion by **dual regions** in the loop three-momentum



 $p^2 = (m+M)^2(1-\beta) , \quad \beta \to 0^{\pm}$

OPENING THE LOOPS IN SUCCESSION

LTD BEYOND ONE-LOOP



R. P. Feynman, Closed Loop And Tree Diagrams. (talk 1972), In *Brown, L.M. (ed.): Selected papers of Richard Feynman* 867-887

D + T + O

FIGURE 7. Opening a double ring.

If there is more than one loop in the original diagram, the loops may be opened in succession. Choose any one loop; that is, integration over any one

After the first LTD round the position of the poles in the complex plane is momentum dependent

- ⁽¹⁾ Use a **general identity** to transform into Feynman propagators the dual propagators that enter the successive LTD rounds [Bierenbaum et al., 2010]
 - First **full two-loop** calculation ($H \rightarrow \gamma \gamma$) with local UV renormalization [Driencourt et al., 2019]
 - Analytic proof of the dual cancellation of unphysical (non-causal) singularities, causal and anomalous thresholds as well as infrared in a compact region (\rightarrow FDU) [Aguilera et al., 2019]
- (2) Average over all possible momentum flows [Runkel et al., 2019]: cumbersome symmetry factors
- (3) Keep track of the position of the poles and close the Cauchy contour either **from above or from below** to cancel that dependence [Capatti et al., 2019]
 - Numerical test of dual cancellations

OPENING TO NON-DISJOINT TREES + CAUSALITY

LTD TO ALL ORDERS AND POWERS

Multi-loop scattering amplitude: n sets of momenta that depend on L loop momenta or a linear combination

$$\mathscr{A}_{N}^{(L)}(1,...,n) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_{1}\cdots\mathscr{C}_{L}} \mathscr{N}(\{\mathscr{C}_{i}\}_{L},\{p_{j}\}_{N}) G_{F}(1,...,n) = \prod_{i\in1\cup...\cup n} \left(G_{F}(q_{i})\right)^{a_{i}}$$

The dual function involving two sets that depend on the same loop momentum: momenta in the set *t* remain off-shell
Cauchy contour always from below

$$G_D(s;t) = -2\pi i \sum_{i_s \in s} \operatorname{Res}\left(G_F(s,t), \operatorname{Im}(\eta q_{i_s}) < 0\right)$$

The **nested residue** involving several sets

- Cauchy contour always from below the real axis
- valid for arbitrary powers and Lorentz
 invariant [Catani et al. JHEP 0809, 065]
- reverse momenta, if necessary, to keep a coherent momentum flow

 $t \to \overline{t} \quad (q_{i_t} \to -q_{i_t})$

$$G_D(1,...,r;n) = -2\pi i \sum_{i_r \in r} \text{Res}\left(G_D(1,...,r-1;r,n), \text{Im}(\eta q_{i_r}) < 0\right)$$

Aguilera, Driencourt, Hernández, Plenter, Ramírez, Rentería, GR, Sborlini, Torres, Tracz, PRL124 (2020) 21, 211602

MULTILOOP TOPOLOGIES

arbitrary number of external legs attached to each line

$$q_{i_s} = \ell_s + k_{i_s}, \quad q_{i_{12}} = -\ell_1 - \ell_2 + k_{i_{12}}$$







MLT



Next-to-maximal Loop Topology

NMLT

N2MLT

Next-to-next-to-maximal Loop Topology

both topologies starting at three loops OPENING TO NON-DISJOINT TREES + CAUSALITY

Aguilera, Driencourt, Hernández, Plenter, Ramírez, Rentería, GR, Sborlini, Torres, Tracz, PRL124 (2020) 21, 211602



extremely simple and symmetric LTD representation, proven by induction and directly independent of the position of the poles in the complex plane

$$\mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{MLT}}^{(L)}(1,\ldots,n) = \int_{\mathscr{C}_1 \cdots \mathscr{C}_L} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(1,\ldots,i-1,\overline{i+1},\ldots,\overline{n};i)$$

causal singularities when on-shell momenta get aligned [Aguilera et al. JHEP **1912**, 163]

$$\mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(1,\ldots,n-1;n)|_{n \text{ onshell}} \to \mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(1,2,\ldots,n) \qquad | \qquad \mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(\overline{2},\ldots,\overline{n};1)|_{\overline{1} \text{ onshell}} \to \mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(\overline{1},\overline{2},\ldots,\overline{n})$$

non-causal singularities (unphysical) entangled among dual pairs, they cancel

$$\mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(\overline{2},\overline{3},...,\overline{n};1) + \mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(1,\overline{3},...,\overline{n};2) \to \mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(1,\overline{2},\overline{3},...,\overline{n}) - \mathscr{A}_D^{(L)}(1,\overline{2},\overline{3},...,\overline{n})$$

What if we reorder the loop lines? Do we get a different representation?



Aguilera, Driencourt, Hernández, Plenter, Ramírez, Rentería, GR, Sborlini, Torres, Tracz, PRL124 (2020) 21, 211602

NMLT AND N2MLT: CASCADE FACTORIZATION



$$\begin{split} \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{NMLT}}^{(L)}(1,\ldots,n,12) \\ &= \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{MLT}}^{(2)}(1,2,12) \otimes \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{MLT}}^{(L-2)}(3,\ldots,n) \\ &+ \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{MLT}}^{(1)}(1,2) \otimes \mathscr{A}^{(0)}(12) \otimes \mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{MLT}}^{(L-1)}(\overline{3},\ldots,\overline{n}) \end{split}$$

causal singularities determined by subtopologies



$$\begin{aligned} \mathscr{A}_{N^{2}MLT}^{(L)}(1,...,n,12,23) \\ &= \mathscr{A}_{NMLT}^{(3)}(1,2,3,12,23) \otimes \mathscr{A}_{MLT}^{(L-3)}(4,...,n) \\ &+ \mathscr{A}_{MLT}^{(2)}(1 \cup 23,2,3 \cup 12) \otimes \mathscr{A}_{MLT}^{(L-2)}(\overline{4},...,\overline{n}) \end{aligned}$$

factorization conjectured to hold to all potential topologies at higher orders with simpler topologies as building blocks Aguilera, Driencourt, Hernández, Plenter, Ramírez, Rentería, GR, Sborlini, Torres, Tracz, PRL124 (2020) 21, 211602

MASTER OPENING OF SCATTERING AMPLITUDES

- At two loops any scattering amplitude is opened as MLT
- At **three loops** the master opening is **N²MLT**





OPENING TO NON-DISJOINT TREES + CAUSALITY

Aguilera, Driencourt, Hernández, Plenter, Ramírez, Rentería, GR, Sborlini, Torres, Tracz, PRL124 (2020) 21, 211602

CAUSAL REPRESENTATION



What if we reorder the loop lines? Do we get a different representation?



In fact no (math vs physics), e.g. scalar integral

$$\mathscr{A}_{\mathrm{MLT}}^{(L)}(1,\dots,n) = \int_{\overrightarrow{\ell}_{1}} \frac{1}{\prod 2q_{i,0}^{(+)}} \left(\frac{1}{\lambda_{1,n}^{+}} + \frac{1}{\lambda_{\overline{1,n}}}\right), \qquad \lambda_{1,n}^{\pm} = \sum q_{i,0}^{(+)} \pm k_{1n,0}$$

- Independent of the initial momentum flow assignments
- Free of non-causal singularities: conjectured for all topologies and internal configurations



CONCLUSIONS

- Theory is already the limiting factor in many LHC analysis
- Current techniques insufficient to match the expected accuracy at future colliders (HL-LHC, FCC, HE-LHC, ILC/CLIC, CEPC-SPPC). New theoretical developments needed: numeric, seminumeric or analytic
- Back to the physical four space-time dimensions and fully local
- Loop-tree duality powerful formalism to reveal intriguing properties of multi-loop scattering amplitudes: manifest causal structure. Reformulated to all orders in terms of the original Lorentz-invariant prescription.
- Still few phenomenological applications, more to come

CANCELLATION OF IR SINGULARITIES & DREG

- Cancellation of IR singularities at NLO is satisfactorily solved: efficient algorithms applicable to any process for which matrix elements are known (Slicing [Giele, Glover, ...] vs Subtraction: dipole [Catani, Seymour], FKS [Frixione, Kunszt, Signer], NS [Nagy, Soper])
- At NNLO several working algorithms, successfully applied to specific processes with heavy computational costs



- Antennae Subtraction [Gehrmann et al.]
- ColourfulNNLO Subtraction [Del Duca et al.]
- Geometric Subtraction [Herzog]
- Leading Regions [Anastasiou, Sterman]
- Nested Soft-Collinear Subtraction [Caola et al.]
- N-Jettiness [Boughezal, Petriello et al., Gaunt et al.]
- Projection to Born [Bonciani et al.]
- q⊤ Substraction [Catani, Grazzini et al.]
- Stripper [Czakon et al.]

New strategy at d=4

- Four-dimensional Regularization (FDR) [Pittau et al.]
- Four-dimensional Unsubtraction (FDU) [Sborlini et al.]