## Variable Flavor Number Scheme (VFNS) for Final State Jets

**Piotr Pietrulewicz** 

supervisor: André H. Hoang

University of Vienna

PhD Defense Wien, 24.10.2014



## Motivation

- interesting times for high-energy collider phenomenology
- typical event with QCD radiation (*e*<sup>+</sup>*e*<sup>-</sup>-collision):



- nowadays: QCD is precision physics
  - $\rightarrow$  extraction of fundamental parameters with small uncertainties
  - $\rightarrow$  prediction of background for new physics searches
- at high accuracy: quark mass effects important

## Motivation

aim: incorporation of heavy quarks (m ≫ Λ<sub>QCD</sub>) in collider processes with jets
 ↔ missing: systematic treatment of virtual and real secondary massive quarks



main emphasis: development of factorization setup

Image: Image:

- VFNS for DIS in the classical (OPE) region  $1 x \sim O(1)$
- 2 VFNS for DIS in the endpoint region  $1 x \ll 1$
- VFNS for event shapes in the dijet region
  - Summary & Outlook

## Outline

## VFNS for DIS in the classical (OPE) region $1 - x \sim O(1)$

VFNS for DIS in the endpoint region  $1 - x \ll 1$ 

3 VFNS for event shapes in the dijet region



## Deep inelastic scattering: $e^-P^+ \rightarrow e^-X$



- two relevant scales:  $q^2 = -Q^2$ ,  $P^2 \sim \Lambda^2_{
  m QCD}$
- $x = \frac{Q^2}{2P \cdot q}$ :  $0 \le x \le 1$ , classical region:  $1 x \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$
- diff. cross section  $\frac{d\sigma}{dQ^2dx} \sim$  structure functions  $F_{1,2}$

◆□ > ◆□ > ◆ 三 > ◆ 三 > 三 三 の Q @

## Factorization in DIS

Factorization theorem for massless quarks:

$$F_{1,2} \sim \sum_{i=q} \sum_{j=q,g} H_{ij}(\mu_H) \otimes U_{jk}^{\Phi}(\mu_H, \mu_{\Phi}) \otimes \Phi_{k/P}(\mu_{\Phi}) + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}^2}{Q^2}\right)$$

Collins, Soper, Sterman (1988), Bauer et al.(2002) Ingredients:

• hard function  $H_{ij}(\mu_H \sim Q)$ : difference QCD – SCET (low-energy EFT)



• parton distribution function (PDF)  $\Phi_{k/P}(\mu_{\Phi} \sim \Lambda_{QCD})$ : nonperturbative!

$$\Phi_{k/P}(x,\mu_{\Phi}) = \langle P^+ | \mathcal{O}_k(x,\mu_{\Phi}) | P^+ \rangle$$

RG factor U<sup>Φ</sup><sub>jk</sub>(μ<sub>H</sub>, μ<sub>Φ</sub>) resums logs ~ ln(μ<sub>μ</sub>/μ<sub>Φ</sub>) (implicit in the following)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

## Mass effects in DIS

#### Factorization theorem for massless quarks:

$$F_{1,2} \sim \sum_{i=q} \sum_{j=q,g} H_{ij}(\mu_H) \otimes \Phi_{j/P}(\mu_{\Phi}) + \mathcal{O}\left(rac{\Lambda_{ ext{QCD}}^2}{Q^2}
ight)$$

How to incorporate heavy quark mass effects (here:  $n_l$  massless + 1 massive flavor)?  $\rightarrow$  resummation of all logarithms ln  $\left(\frac{m}{\Lambda_{\text{QCD}}}\right)$ , ln  $\left(\frac{Q}{m}\right)$ 

- $\rightarrow$  correct limits for  $H_{ij}$  (decoupling for  $m \rightarrow \infty$  + massless limit for  $m \rightarrow 0$ )
- $\rightarrow$  continuous description for arbitrary masses

 $\Rightarrow$  ACOT scheme Aivazis, Collins, Olness, Tung (1994)



## Outline

## VFNS for DIS in the classical (OPE) region $1 - x \sim O(1)$

## 2 VFNS for DIS in the endpoint region $1 - x \ll 1$

3) VFNS for event shapes in the dijet region

## Summary & Outlook

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Massless factorization theorem for $x \rightarrow 1$

Factorization theorem for massless quarks:

$$F_{1,2} \sim \sum_{i=q,\bar{q}} H_{\mathrm{DIS}}(\mu_H) J_{\mathrm{DIS}}(\mu_J) \otimes \Phi_{i/P}(\mu_{\Phi}) \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(1-x)\right]$$

Sterman (1987), Manohar (2003), Becher, Neubert, Pecjak (2006), ... Ingredients:

- at  $\mu_H \sim Q$ : hard function  $H_{\text{DIS}}(\mu_H)$
- at  $\mu_J \sim Q\sqrt{1-x}$ : final state jet function  $J_{\text{DIS}}(\mu_J)$
- at  $\mu_{\Phi} \sim \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ : PDF  $\Phi_{q/P}(\mu_{\Phi})$



## Massive quark effects

Factorization theorem for massless quarks:

$$F_{1,2} \sim \sum_{i=q,\bar{q}} H_{\text{DIS}}(\mu_H) J_{\text{DIS}}(\mu_J) \otimes \Phi_{i/P}(\mu_{\Phi}) \left[1 + \mathcal{O}(1-x)\right]$$

- only flavor-diagonal contributions in EFT components
- same for massive quarks
  - $\Rightarrow$  only "secondary" massive corrections to light quark inititated processes



- aim: factorization setup with secondary massive quarks incorporating
  - $\rightarrow$  summation of large logarithms
  - $\rightarrow$  correct limits for  $H_{\rm DIS}$  and  $J_{\rm DIS}$
  - $\rightarrow$  continuous behavior in between with full singular mass dependence

## Mass factorization: Overview

scaling hierarchies for a heavy quark ( $m \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$ ) in the endpoint region ( $1 - x \ll 1$ ):

I. 
$$m > Q$$
, II.  $Q > m > Q\sqrt{1-x}$ , III.  $Q\sqrt{1-x} > m > \Lambda_{\text{QCD}}$ 

here: top-down evolution  $\rightarrow$  final renormalization scale  $\mu = \mu_{\Phi}$ 



・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

## Computation of secondary massive quark effects

• massive quark corrections at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 C_F T_F) \leftrightarrow$  "massive gluon" corrections at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s)$ 



connection: dispersion relations

$$\underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\text{ODOO}} \underbrace{\stackrel{\mathbf{m}}{\longrightarrow}}_{qm^2} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{4m^2} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}^2} \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longleftarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{k}{\longleftarrow}}_{k^2 \to \underline{M^2}} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{k}{\longrightarrow}}_{k^2 \to \underline{M^2}} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{k^2 \to \underline{M^2}} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow}_{\mathbf{M}} \times \operatorname{Im} \underbrace{\stackrel{q}{\longrightarrow$$

- ightarrow generic scaling  $M \sim m$  is inherited, same field theoretic setup
- $\Rightarrow$  hard and jet functions at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 C_F T_F) \sqrt{1}$

## Massive threshold corrections

e.g. threshold correction in jet sector

$$\mathcal{M}_J(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{m}, \mu) = J^{\mathrm{OS}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{m}, \mu) \otimes (J^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{m}, \mu))^{-1}$$

- $\rightarrow$  related to virtual massive quark corrections
- ightarrow continuity by construction
- rapidity logarithms



## Consistency conditions (for $Q\sqrt{1-x} > m > \Lambda_{QCD}$ )



physical cross section independent of  $\mu_{\rm final} \to$  (a) and (b) equivalent  $\to$  relation between evolution factors

$$U_{H}^{(n_{f})} \times U_{J}^{(n_{f})} = \left(U_{\Phi}^{(n_{f})}\right)^{-1}$$
 for  $n_{f} = n_{l}, n_{l} + 1$ 

 $\rightarrow$  relation between massive threshold factors

$$\mathcal{M}_H \times \mathcal{M}_J = \mathcal{M}_\Phi$$

Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna)

= ~ Q Q

. . . . . . .

## Outline

- VFNS for DIS in the classical (OPE) region  $1 x \sim O(1)$
- 2 VFNS for DIS in the endpoint region  $1 x \ll 1$
- VFNS for event shapes in the dijet region
  - Summary & Outlook

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## **Event shapes**

- event shape variables: geometric description of final state kinematics
- thrust:  $\tau \equiv 1 \max_{\hat{\mathfrak{t}}} \frac{\sum_i |\hat{\mathfrak{t}} \cdot \vec{p}_i|}{\sum_i E_i} \in [0, \frac{1}{2}]$



• thrust distribution from LEP data ( $e^+e^- \rightarrow jets$ )



## Factorization theorem for massless quarks

Massless factorization theorem for  $\tau \ll 1$ :

$$rac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d} au} \sim H_{ au}(\mu_H) J_{ au}(\mu_J) \otimes oldsymbol{S}_{ au}(\mu_S) \left[1 + \mathcal{O}( au)
ight]$$

Berger, Kucs, Sterman (2003), Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart (2007), Bauer, Fleming, Lee, Sterman (2008),...

• compared to DIS:  $H_{\tau} = H_{\text{DIS}}(Q^2 \rightarrow -Q^2), J_{\tau} \rightarrow J_{\text{DIS}} \otimes J_{\text{DIS}}$ 

• main difference concerns soft physics:  $S_{\tau} \leftrightarrow \Phi_{l/P}$  $\rightarrow$  in tail region ( $\tau \gg \Lambda_{QCD}/Q$ ):  $\mu_S \sim Q\tau \gg \Lambda_{QCD}$ 



## Scale hierarchies with massive quarks

- profile functions: Parametrization of renormalization scales in terms of thrust  $\rightarrow$  continuous transition between peak, tail and far-tail region
- include massive quark effects  $\rightarrow$  scales and hierarchies:



(I)

## Scale hierarchies with massive quarks

- profile functions: Parametrization of renormalization scales in terms of thrust  $\rightarrow$  continuous transition between peak, tail and far-tail region
- include massive quark effects  $\rightarrow$  scales and hierarchies:



・ロト ・同ト ・ヨト ・ヨ

## Scale hierarchies with massive quarks

- profile functions: Parametrization of renormalization scales in terms of thrust
   → continuous transition between peak, tail and far-tail region
- include massive quark effects  $\rightarrow$  scales and hierarchies:



## Setup for secondary massive quarks

- Setup for event shape distribution  $\approx$  Setup for endpoint DIS
- now: additional hierarchy possible  $m < Q \tau \sim \mu_S$ 
  - $\rightarrow \overline{\mathrm{MS}}$  renormalization for all structures ( $n_l$  + 1 active flavors)

ightarrow massive contributions to soft function  $\sqrt{}$ 



## Secondary massive bottom effects for Q = 14 GeV

comparison between massless and massive thrust distribution ML:  $n_l = 5$ , M:  $n_l = 4$  & massive b ( $m_b = 4.2$  GeV)



• E • • E

## Outline

VFNS for DIS in the classical (OPE) region  $1 - x \sim O(1)$ 

2) VFNS for DIS in the endpoint region  $1 - x \ll 1$ 

3 VFNS for event shapes in the dijet region

# Summary & Outlook

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Summary & Outlook

#### achievements:

- general framework for secondary massive quark effects in multiscale processes
- 2 DIS for  $1 x \sim O(1)$ : re-interpretation + results at  $O(\alpha_s^2 C_F T_F)$
- OIS for  $1 x \ll 1$ : setup + results at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 C_F T_F)$
- thrust distribution for  $\tau \to 0$ : setup + results at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2 C_F T_F)$  + numerical analysis (partially also for primary massive quarks)

```
Gritschacher, Hoang, Jemos, P.P., Phys.Rev.D88 (2013) 034021
Gritschacher, Hoang, Jemos, P.P., Phys.Rev.D89 (2014) 014035
Gritschacher, Hoang, Jemos, Mateu, P.P., to appear in Phys.Rev.D90
Hoang, P.P., Samitz (in preparation)
Hoang, Pathak, P.P., Stewart (in preparation)
```

#### o possible applications:

- analysis of low energy collider data (e.g. event shapes)
- 2 top quark production at the LHC
- beam functions with massive quarks
  - 9 ...

## Acknowledgements

Many thanks to

- my supervisor Andre Hoang,
- my referees Thomas Becher and Ignazio Scimemi,
- all of my collaborators and colleagues, in particular

Bahman Dehnadi Ilaria Jemos Patrick Ludl Vicent Mateu Peter Poier Moritz Preißer Daniel Samitz Maximilian Stahlhofen

# Outline



## Scales in jets (SCET I)



• description of jets: lightcone coordinates  $n^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, 1), \ \bar{n}^{\mu} = (1, 0, 0, -1)$   $\rightarrow p^{\mu} = \bar{n} \cdot p \frac{n^{\mu}}{2} + n \cdot p \frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2} + p^{\mu}_{\perp} \equiv (p^-, p^+, p_{\perp})$  $\rightarrow p^2 = p^- p^+ + p^2_{\perp}$ 

• for collimated jets: 
$$Q^2 \gg m_{jet}^2 \gg \Lambda_{QCD}^2$$

- $\rightarrow$  language of effective field theory appropriate
- $ightarrow p^- \gg p_\perp \gg p^+$  (*n*-direction)  $\longrightarrow p^- \sim Q, p_\perp \sim Q\lambda, p^+ \sim Q\lambda^2$ with power counting parameter  $\lambda = m_{jet}/Q$
- ultrasoft particles:  $p^- \sim p_\perp \sim p^+ \sim Q \lambda^2$

#### $\Rightarrow$ Soft-collinear effective theory (SCET)

Bauer, Fleming, Luke (2000); Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Stewart (2001)

・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・
 ・

## Renormalization of the strong coupling: Massive quark contributions



$$\Pi(0) = \frac{\alpha_s T_F}{3\pi} \left[ \frac{1}{\epsilon} + \ln\left(\frac{\mu^2}{m^2}\right) - \gamma_E + \ln(4\pi) + \mathcal{O}(\epsilon) \right]$$

Renormalization for  $\alpha_s \equiv g^2/4\pi$ :

$$\alpha_{s} = \mu^{2\epsilon} Z_{\alpha}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} \alpha_{s}^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\mu) = \mu^{2\epsilon} Z_{\alpha}^{\mathrm{OS}} \alpha_{s}^{\mathrm{OS}}(\mu) = \dots$$

 $\rightarrow \overline{\text{MS}} \text{ renormalization: } Z_{\alpha}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = 1 + \frac{\alpha_{\alpha}^{\overline{\text{MS}}} T_F}{3\pi} \frac{1}{\varepsilon} + \text{const} + \dots \text{ (default for massless partons)}$  $\rightarrow \text{OS (on-shell) renormalization: } Z_{\alpha}^{\text{OS}} = 1 + \Pi(0) + \dots$ 

Anomalous dimension for resummation of logarithms (RGE)

$$\beta^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = \frac{d\alpha_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{d\ln\mu^2} + \epsilon\alpha_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}} = -\mu^{2\epsilon}\alpha_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}}\frac{d\ln Z_\alpha^{\overline{\text{MS}}}}{d\ln\mu^2} = \beta^{(n_l+1)} \rightarrow \alpha_s^{\overline{\text{MS}}} \equiv \alpha_s^{(n_l+1)}(\mu)$$
  
$$\beta^{\text{OS}} = \frac{d\alpha_s^{\text{OS}}}{d\ln\mu^2} + \epsilon\alpha_s^{\text{OS}} = -\mu^{2\epsilon}\alpha_s^{\text{OS}}\frac{d\ln Z_\alpha^{\text{OS}}}{d\ln\mu^2} = \beta^{(n_l)} \rightarrow \alpha_s^{\text{OS}} \equiv \alpha_s^{(n_l)}(\mu)$$

Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna)

## Massive quark corrections for $m \ge Q$

$$m \gtrsim Q$$
:  $F_{1,2} = \sum_{i=q,Q} \sum_{j=q,g} H^{I}_{ij}(\mu_{H}) \otimes \Phi_{j/P}(\mu_{\Phi})$ 

use OS renormalization = low-momentum subtraction for PDFs and  $\alpha_s$ 

- evolution always with n<sub>l</sub> flavors
- only full QCD contributions in  $H'_{ij}$ , e.g. at one-loop to  $H'_{Qg}$ :



→ for  $m \gg Q$ : automatic decoupling  $\sqrt{}$ → for  $m \ll Q$ : unresummed logarithms ~ ln( $m^2/Q^2$ )  $\frac{4}{7}$  Massive quark corrections for  $m \lesssim Q$ 

$$m \lesssim Q: \left| F_{1,2} \sim \sum_{i=q,Q} \sum_{j=q,Q,g} \sum_{k=q,g} H_{ij}^{ll}(\mu_H) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{jk}^{\phi}(\mu_m) \otimes \Phi_{k/P}(\mu_{\Phi}) \right|$$

use  $\overline{\rm MS}$  renormalization above the mass scale for PDFs and  $\alpha_{s}$ 

- evolution with  $n_l + 1$  flavors above  $\mu_m$
- massive quark contributions from full QCD and SCET to  $H_{ij}^{ll}$ , e.g. at one loop



 $\rightarrow$  for  $m \ll Q$ : correct massless limit for  $H_{ii}^{ll} \sqrt{}$ 

Massive quark corrections for  $m \lesssim Q$ 

$$m \lesssim Q: \left| F_{1,2} \sim \sum_{i=q,Q} \sum_{j=q,Q,g} \sum_{k=q,g} H_{ij}^{ll}(\mu_H) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{jk}^{\phi}(\mu_m) \otimes \Phi_{k/P}(\mu_{\Phi}) \right|$$

use  $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$  renormalization above the mass scale for PDFs and  $\alpha_s$ 

- evolution with  $n_l + 1$  flavors above  $\mu_m$
- now massive quark contributions from full QCD and SCET to H<sup>II</sup>

use OS renormalization below the mass scale for PDFs and  $\alpha_s$ 

- evolution with  $n_l$  flavors below  $\mu_m$
- scheme change  $\leftrightarrow$  PDF matching  $\mathcal{M}_{ii}^{\phi}$ , e.g. at one-loop for  $\mathcal{M}_{Qg}^{\phi} = \langle g | \mathcal{O}_{Q} | g \rangle$



< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

• I. 
$$m \gtrsim Q$$
:  $F_{1,2} = \sum_{i=q,Q} \sum_{j=q,g} H_{ij}^{l}(\mu_{H}) \otimes \Phi_{j/P}(\mu_{\Phi})$ 

 $\rightarrow$  massive contributions to  $H_{ii}^{l}$  at one-loop



• II.  $m \leq Q$ :  $F_{1,2} \sim \sum_{i=q,Q} \sum_{j=q,Q,g} \sum_{\substack{k=q,g \\ k=q,g}} H_{ij}^{ll}(\mu_H) \otimes \mathcal{M}_{jk}^{\phi}(\mu_m) \otimes \Phi_{k/P}(\mu_{\Phi})$ 

 $\rightarrow$  massive contributions to  $H_{ii}^{II}$  at one-loop



 $\rightarrow$  massive contributions to PDF matching  $\mathcal{M}_{ii}^{\phi}$  at one-loop



 $\Rightarrow$  for  $\mu_m \sim m \sim Q \sim \mu_H$ : continuous transition at  $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s) \sqrt{\alpha_s}$ 

#### Backup-slides

# Scenario I. $\lambda_m > 1 > \lambda > \lambda^2$



| mode              | $p^{\mu}=(+,-,\perp)$              | p <sup>2</sup>  |
|-------------------|------------------------------------|-----------------|
| hard              | Q(1,1,1)                           | $Q^2$           |
| <i>n</i> -coll ML | $Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$         | $Q^2 \lambda^2$ |
| usoft ML          | $Q(\lambda^2,\lambda^2,\lambda^2)$ | $Q^2 \lambda^4$ |

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

11 DQC

# Scenario II. $1 > \lambda_m > \lambda > \lambda^2$



| mode              | ${\pmb  ho}^\mu = (+,-,\perp)$       | p²                    |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| hard              | Q(1,1,1)                             | $Q^2$                 |
| <i>n</i> -coll MM | $Q(\lambda_m^2, 1, \lambda_m)$       | <i>m</i> <sup>2</sup> |
| soft MM           | $Q(\lambda_m, \lambda_m, \lambda_m)$ | <i>m</i> <sup>2</sup> |
| <i>n</i> -coll ML | $Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$           | $Q^2 \lambda^2$       |
| usoft ML          | $Q(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$ | $Q^2 \lambda^4$       |

・ロト ・ 日 ・ ・ ヨ ・ ・ ヨ ・

1 = 990

# Scenario III. 1 > $\lambda > \lambda_m > \lambda^2$



| mode              | $p^{\mu}=(+,-,\perp)$                | p²                    |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------------|
| hard              | Q(1,1,1)                             | $Q^2$                 |
| <i>n</i> -coll M  | $Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$           | $Q^2 \lambda^2$       |
| <i>n</i> -coll ML | $Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$           | $Q^2 \lambda^2$       |
| soft MM           | $Q(\lambda_m, \lambda_m, \lambda_m)$ | <i>m</i> <sup>2</sup> |
| usoft ML          | $Q(\lambda^2,\lambda^2,\lambda^2)$   | $Q^2 \lambda^4$       |

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨト

1 = 990

#### Backup-slides

# Scenario IV. 1 > $\lambda$ > $\lambda^2$ > $\lambda_m$



| mode              | $p^{\mu}=(+,-,\perp)$                | p²              |
|-------------------|--------------------------------------|-----------------|
| hard              | Q(1,1,1)                             | $Q^2$           |
| <i>n</i> -coll M  | $Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$           | $Q^2 \lambda^2$ |
| <i>n</i> -coll ML | $Q(\lambda^2, 1, \lambda)$           | $Q^2 \lambda^2$ |
| usoft M           | $Q(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$ | $Q^2 \lambda^4$ |
| usoft ML          | $Q(\lambda^2, \lambda^2, \lambda^2)$ | $Q^2 \lambda^4$ |

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

= 990

## Mass mode setup: Summary



MM = mass-mode, ML = massless, M = massive

1

イロト イヨト イヨト イヨ

## Factorization theorems

• I. *m* > *Q*: massive quark integrated out when matching to SCET

 $\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} \sim H_l^{(n_l)}(\mu_H) U_H^{(n_l)}(\mu_H, \mu_{\Phi}) J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J) \otimes U_J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J, \mu_{\Phi}) \otimes \Phi^{(n_l)}(\mu_{\Phi})$ 

modification of hard matching coefficient due to massive quark

 $\rightarrow$  use OS renormalization for current

 $\Rightarrow$  decoupling for  $m \gg Q$ , but mass-singularities for  $m \rightarrow 0$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

### Factorization theorems

• I. m > Q: massive quark integrated out when matching to SCET

$$\frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} \sim \mathcal{H}_l^{(n_l)}(\mu_H) \mathcal{U}_H^{(n_l)}(\mu_H, \mu_\Phi) J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J) \otimes \mathcal{U}_J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J, \mu_\Phi) \otimes \Phi^{(n_l)}(\mu_\Phi)$$

• II.  $Q > m > Q\sqrt{1-x}$ : virtual mass mode contributions in SCET

$$egin{aligned} rac{d\sigma}{d au} &\sim \mathcal{H}_{ll}^{(n_l+1)}(\mu_H) \mathcal{U}_H^{(n_l+1)}\left(\mu_H,\mu_m
ight) \mathcal{M}_H(\mu_m) \mathcal{U}_H^{(n_l)}\left(\mu_m,\mu_\Phi
ight) \ & imes J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J) \otimes \mathcal{U}_J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J,\mu_\Phi) \otimes \Phi^{(n_l)}(\mu_\Phi) \end{aligned}$$

modification of hard matching coefficient, subtractions due to SCET diagrams

- $\rightarrow$  use  $\overline{\text{MS}}$  renormalization for hard current matching
- $\Rightarrow$  correct massless limit for  $m \ll Q$

additional current mass mode matching contribution  $\mathcal{M}_{H}$  at  $\mu_{m}$ 

## Factorization theorems

• I. *m* > *Q*: massive quark integrated out when matching to SCET

$$rac{d\sigma}{d au} \sim H_l^{(n_l)}(\mu_H) U_H^{(n_l)}\left(\mu_H,\mu_\Phi
ight) J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J) \otimes U_J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J,\mu_\Phi) \otimes \Phi^{(n_l)}(\mu_\Phi)$$

• II.  $Q > m > Q\sqrt{1 - x}$ : virtual mass mode contributions in SCET

$$egin{aligned} rac{d\sigma}{d au} &\sim H_{ll}^{(n_l+1)}(\mu_H) U_H^{(n_l+1)}\left(\mu_H,\mu_m
ight) \mathcal{M}_H(\mu_m) U_H^{(n_l)}\left(\mu_m,\mu_\Phi
ight) \ & imes J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J) \otimes U_J^{(n_l)}(\mu_J,\mu_\Phi) \otimes \Phi^{(n_l)}(\mu_\Phi) \end{aligned}$$

• III.  $Q\sqrt{1-x} > m > \Lambda_{QCD}$ : virtual and real mass mode contributions in SCET

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} &\sim H_{II}^{(n_l+1)}(\mu_H) U_H^{(n_l+1)}(\mu_H,\mu_m) \,\mathcal{M}_H(\mu_m) U_H^{(n_l)}(\mu_m,\mu_{\Phi}) \\ &\times J^{(n_l+1)}(\mu_J) \otimes U_J^{(n_l+1)}(\mu_J,\mu_m) \otimes \mathcal{M}_J(\mu_m) \otimes U_J^{(n_l)}(\mu_m,\mu_{\Phi}) \otimes \Phi^{(n_l)}(\mu_{\Phi}) \end{aligned}$$

modification of the jet function at  $\mu_{\rm J}$  due to massive quark

 $\rightarrow$  use  $\overline{\mathrm{MS}}$  renormalization  $\Rightarrow$  correct massless limit for  $m \ll Q\sqrt{1-x}$ 

$$J^{(n_l+1)}(s,m,\mu_J) = J_0^{(n_l+1)}(s,\mu_J) + \delta J_m^{\rm dist}(s,m,\mu_J) + \theta(s-4m^2)\delta J_m^{\rm real}(s,m)$$

additional jet mass mode matching contribution  $\mathcal{M}_J$  at  $\mu_{m}$ 

Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna)

## Massless mode setup and factorization



Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna)

Wien, 24.10.2014 37 / 24

#### Backup-slides

#### Full theory result





Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna)

## Massive threshold corrections

Example: threshold correction in jet sector

• bare jet function:

$$J^{\mathrm{bare}} = Z_J^{OS} \otimes J^{\mathrm{OS}} = Z_J^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} \otimes J^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}$$

• in OS renormalization:

$$J^{\mathrm{OS}}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{m},\mu) = J^{(n_l)}(\boldsymbol{s},\mu) + heta(\boldsymbol{s}-4\boldsymbol{m}^2)\delta J^{\mathrm{real}}_{\boldsymbol{m}}(\boldsymbol{s},\boldsymbol{m}) \stackrel{m\gg s}{\longrightarrow} J^{(n_l)}(\boldsymbol{s},\mu)$$

• in MS renormalization:

$$J^{\overline{\text{MS}}}(s,m,\mu) = J^{(n_l+1)}(s,\mu) + \delta J^{\text{dist}}_m(s,m,\mu) + \theta(s-4m^2)\delta J^{\text{real}}_m(s,m)$$
$$\xrightarrow{m \ll s} J^{(n_l+1)}(s,\mu)$$

• threshold correction:

$$\Rightarrow \mathcal{M}_J(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) = J^{\mathrm{OS}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{\mu}) \otimes (J^{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}}(\boldsymbol{s}, \boldsymbol{m}, \boldsymbol{\mu}))^{-1}$$

 $\Rightarrow$  continuity by construction

ELE NOR

(I) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1)) < ((1))

## Two-loop computations

dispersive technique appropriate for  $\rightarrow$  Wilson coefficient  $\surd$   $\rightarrow$  jet function  $\surd$ 

 $\rightarrow$  soft function ...

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □

## Two-loop computations



same hemisphere:  $k^+ > k^-, q^+ > q^-$ 

opposite hemisphere:  $k^- > k^+\,,\;q^+ > q^ k^+ + q^+ > k^- + q^-$ 

 $\Rightarrow$  Opposite hemisphere contribution accounted for in different ways

- 1. "gluon hemisphere" prescription  $\rightarrow S^{(g)}(\ell, m, \mu)$
- 2. "quark hemisphere" prescription  $\rightarrow S^{(qq)}(\ell, m, \mu)$  (correct soft function)

Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna)

VFNS for Final State Jets

## Soft function computation

So how to compute the thrust soft function with the correct hemisphere prescription?

• 
$$S^{(qq)}(\ell, m, \mu) = S^{(g)}(\ell, m, \mu) + \underbrace{(S^{(qq)}(\ell, m, \mu) - S^{(g)}(\ell, m, \mu))}_{=\Delta S(\ell, m)}$$

- $S^{(g)}(\ell, m, \mu)$ : distributions and threshold  $\theta(\ell 2m)$
- hemisphere misalignment contribution  $\Delta S(\ell, m)$  is finite
  - $\rightarrow$  numerical integration in 4D possible
  - $\rightarrow$  correct massless limit Kelley, Schabinger, Schwartz, Zhu (2011)
  - ightarrow good parametrization with correct normalization and asymptotic behaviour



## Soft function computation



Figure : Massive quark contributions to the thrust soft function (left) + cumulant (right) for  $\mu = m$ , normalized by  $(\alpha_s^{(n_l+1)})^2 C_F T_F / 16\pi^2$ .

= 990

## R-evolution with massive quarks



Figure : R-evolution of  $\overline{\Omega}_1(R, \mu = R)$  with a massive bottom (left) and a massive top quark (right). Massless evolution (red, dashed), massive evolution incl. threshold matching (blue, solid) at  $\overline{m}_b(\overline{m}_b)/\overline{m}_t(\overline{m}_t)$  and massive evolution without threshold matching (green, dotted).

Piotr Pietrulewicz (University of Vienna)

## Analysis of secondary massive bottom effects

- analysis for  $Q = 14, 22, 35 \text{ GeV} \leftrightarrow \text{bottom mass effects relevant}$
- ingredients for analysis at N<sup>3</sup>LL in the dijet region  $au \ll$  1  $\sqrt{}$
- numerical code (incl. nonperturbative model function & gap subtractions)  $\sqrt{}$
- profile functions for Q = 14 GeV:



## Plots: secondary massive bottom quarks



Figure : Q = 14 GeV (blue, solid), Q = 35 GeV (red, dashed) and  $Q = m_Z$  (green, dotted).



Figure : Q = 14 GeV: partonic (red, dashed), incl. the nonperturbative soft model function (green, dotted) and + gap formalism = default (blue, solid).

• 3 > 4 3

## Plots: secondary massive top quarks



Figure : Q = 500 GeV: massive (blue, solid) vs. massless (red, dashed).

#### Plots: secondary massive top quarks



Figure : Q = 500 GeV (blue, solid), Q = 1000 GeV (red, dashed) and Q = 3000 GeV (green, dotted).



Figure : Q = 500 GeV:  $\mu_m = m_t$  (blue, solid),  $\mu_m = m_t/2$  (red, dashed) and  $\mu_m = 2m_t$  (green, dotted).