



# Thermodynamics of SU(2) quantum Yang-Mills theory and CMB anomalies

Seminar on Particle Physics, Universität Wien

## **R. Hofmann**

ITP-Universität Heidelberg, IPS-KIT



## Outline



**motivation:** nonperturbative, analytical approach to YMTD

## essentials, thermal ground state:

coarse-graining over nonpropagating

(anti-)calorons of winding number unity, effective action

## adjoint Higgs mechanism:

massive vector modes and kinematic constraints (1),

coupling, deconf.-preconf. phase boundary, (anti-)caloron action,

### radiative corrections:

kinematic constraints (2), polarisation tensor of massless mode,

longitudinal and transverse thermal dispersion,

"photon-photon" scattering

## SU(2) postulate for photon propagation:

Yang-Mills scale or critical temperature

(radio-frequency CMB observations)

## CMB large-angle anomalies (WMAP, Planck):

possible explanation via SU(2) dispersion,

onset of dynamical breaking of statistical isotropy at redshift unity, SU(2) vector modes and cosmic neutrinos

## motivation



- Andrei Linde (1980): "Infrared Problem in the Thermodynamics of the Yang-Mills Gas"
  - soft magnetic sector screened weakly in perturbation theory (infrared instability)
  - no "convergence" of series since kinetic and interaction energies comparable in this sector
- issue of finite-volume constraints in lattice gauge theory
  - correlations mediated by soft magnetic sector insufficiently probed by available lattice sizes

- nonperturbative, lattice  $\,\beta\,$  function

## nonperturbative Yang-Mills thermodynamics: SU(2)

[Herbst et Hofmann (2004), Hofmann (2005-2007), Giacosa et Hofmann (2006), Schwarz et al. (2007), Ludescher et Hofmann (2008), Falquez et al. (2010- 2011), Hofmann (2012)]

## thermal ground state at high temperature:

- Euclidean action:

$$S = \frac{\mathrm{tr}}{2} \int_{0}^{\beta} d\tau \int d^{3}x F_{\mu\nu} F_{\mu\nu} , \qquad (\beta \equiv 1/T)$$
  
where  $F_{\mu\nu} \equiv \partial_{\mu}A_{\nu} - \partial_{\nu}A_{\mu} - ig[A_{\mu}, A_{\nu}]$  [Schafer et Shuryak (1996)]

- (anti)selfdual gauge fields:  $F_{\mu\nu}[A] = \pm \tilde{F}_{\mu\nu}[A] \Rightarrow \theta_{\mu\nu}[A] \stackrel{\checkmark}{=} 0$ .

field configs. stabilized by winding:  $S_3 
ightarrow SU(2) = S_3$ 

- in particular: (anti)calorons of winding number unity



spatial coarse-graining over (anti-)calorons: inert, adjoint scalar field  $\phi$ 



$$\{\hat{\phi}^{a}\} \equiv \sum_{\pm} \operatorname{tr} \int d^{3}x \int d\rho \, t^{a} \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{0}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{0}),(\tau,\vec{x})\right\} \, F_{\mu\nu}(\tau,\vec{x}) \, \left\{(\tau,\vec{x}),(\tau,\vec{0})\right\}$$

- unique, dimensionless definition of family of phases, where

$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\} \equiv \mathcal{P} \exp \left[ i \int_{(\tau, \vec{0})}^{(\tau, \vec{x})} dz_{\mu} A_{\mu}(z) \right] \quad \text{and}$$
$$\left\{ (\tau, \vec{x}), (\tau, \vec{0}) \right\} \equiv \left\{ (\tau, \vec{0}), (\tau, \vec{x}) \right\}^{\dagger}$$

- magnetic-magnetic correlations contribute only
- uniquely determined, annihilating operator:

$$D = \partial_{\tau}^2 + \left(\frac{2\pi}{\beta}\right)^2$$

- $\{\hat{\phi}^a\}$  sharply dominated by cut-off for ho integration, later!

## spatial coarse-graining over (anti-)calorons: inert, adjoint scalar field



- no explicit eta dependence in  $\phi$  field dynamics (caloron action!)
- absorb  $\beta\,$  dependence of operator  $\,D\,$  into potential  $\,V\,$

(BPS and EL yield:



- BPS equation:

$$\partial_\tau \phi = \pm 2i \Lambda^3 t_3 \phi^{-1} = \pm i V^{1/2}(\phi)$$

no **additive** ambiguity for V !

## effective action (deconfining phase)



$$\mathcal{L}_{\text{eff}}[a_{\mu}] = \text{tr} \left(\frac{1}{2} G_{\mu\nu} G_{\mu\nu} + (D_{\mu}\phi)^2 + \frac{\Lambda^6}{\phi^2}\right)$$

- ( (i) perturbative renormalizability (ii)  $\phi$  's inertness – no higher dim. operators to mediate 4-momentum transfer between  $\phi$  and  $a_{\mu}$ (iii) gauge invariance )
- effective YM equation  $D_{\mu}G_{\mu\nu} = ie[\phi, D_{\nu}\phi]$  has ground-state solution:

$$a_{\mu}^{\rm gs} = \mp \delta_{\mu 4} \frac{2\pi}{e\beta} t_3 \qquad (D_{\nu}\phi \equiv G_{\mu\nu} \equiv 0)$$

$$\Rightarrow P_{gs} = -\rho_{gs} = -4\pi\Lambda^3 T \,.$$

interacting small-holonomy (anti)calorons (collapsing monopoleantimonopole pairs)

## (vanishing entropy density!)

## adjoint Higgs (deconfining phase) $(SU(2) \rightarrow U(1))$ - from effective action: $m_a^2 = -2e^2 \mathrm{tr} \left[\phi, t_a\right] \left[\phi, t_a\right]$ unitary gauge $m_1^2 = m_2^2 = 4e^2 rac{\Lambda^3}{2\pi T}, \ m_3 = 0$ - no momentum transfer to $\phi$ , but this infinitely often (Dyson series for mass generation): p D p (a) p p p (b)

- no off-shell propagation of massive modes (otherwise: momentum transfer to  $\phi$  !)



electric-magnetically dual interpretation:



- if SU(2) something to do with photons (later!) then electric-magnetically dual interpretation required: in units  $c = \epsilon_0 = \mu_0 = k_B = 1$  fine-structure constant

$$\alpha = \frac{Q^2}{4\pi\hbar} \,,$$

for  $\alpha$  to be unitless:

$$Q \propto rac{1}{e} \, .$$

But: magnetic coupling in SU(2)

$$g = \frac{4\pi}{e} \,.$$

SU(2) is to be interpreted in an electric-magnetically dual way.
 (e.g., magnetic monopole <--> electric monopole, etc.)



- constrained momentum transfer in effective 4-vertex (unitary-Coulomb gauge):



s-channel:	t-channel:	u-channel:
$ (p_1 + p_2)^2  \le  \phi ^2$	$ (p_1 - p_3)^2  \le  \phi ^2$	$ (p_1 - p_4)^2  \le  \phi ^2$

coherent average over all three channels — 
 thermodynamical quanties: 2-loop/1-loop (<10<sup>-3</sup>), 3-loop/1-loop (<10<sup>-7</sup>),
 conjecture:
 loop expansion into 1-PI diagrams probably terminates at finite order



- polarisation tensor of massless mode (Coulomb gauge):



(excluded by kinematic constraints: on-shellness of vector mode, restricted off-shellness of massless mode) screening functions G, F as solutions of respective gap equations

- Karlsruher Institut
- transverse photons, screening function G: [Schwarz et al. (2007), Ludescher et Hofmann (2008)]





- spectral distribution of energy density, massless mode – transverse propagation at  $T=2T_{\rm O}$ 





- difference between energy density of SU(2) and U(1), massless mode – transverse propagation



(**positive** slope  $\leftarrow$  bias for **negative** temperature fluctuations, later!)



- low-momentum-support dispersion law, massless mode - longitudinal propagation



(charge-density waves: real-world magnetic modes, intergalactic magnetic fields [Falquez et al (2011)] )



- "photon-photon" scattering [Krasowski et Hofmann (2013)]

due to kinematic constraints only topology with two 4-vertices contributes





- analysis of forbidden sign-combinations of  $\,u_0, v_0\,\,$  leads to exclusion tables for each of overall S, T, or U channels

### for example:

#### Table 1

Forbidden combinations of energy flow (marked with a X) in all scattering channel combinations of vertex 1 and vertex 2 in the overall S-channel.



## Tool for eventual proof of termination of loop expansion at finite irreducible loop order.



## conclusions:

- practically no S-channel scattering (no pair creation or annihilation of massive modes out of / into massless ones)
- feeble contribution of Tor U channels (fraction  $10^{-7}$  of unconstrained phase space) at low temperatures and low energies of massless modes,

Monte Carlo frequency distribution of:



## SU(2) postulate for photon propagation

- What is  $T_c$  ?



$$T(\nu) = T_0 + T_R \left(\frac{\nu}{\nu_0}\right)^{\beta}$$

[Fixsen et al. (2009), Haslam et al. (1981), Reich et Reich (1986), Roger et al. (1999), Maeda et al. (1999)]

## where:

$$T_0 = 2.725 \,\mathrm{K}; \ \nu_0 = 1 \,\mathrm{GHz}; \beta = -2.62 \pm 0.04; T_R = (1.19 \pm 0.14) \,\mathrm{K}.$$

(radio-frequency surveys of CMB yield line temperatures as:

source	$ u[{ m GHz}]$	T[K]
Roger	0.022	$21200 \pm 5125$
Maeda	0.045	$4355\pm520$
Haslam	0.408	$16.24 \pm 3.4$
Reich	1.42	$3.213 \pm 0.53$
Arcade2	3.20	$2.792\pm0.010$
Arcade2	3.41	$2.771 \pm 0.009$ .



## evanescent low-frequency modes



Thermodynamics of quantum Yang-Mills theory ...

Yang-Mills scale of SU(2)<sub>CMB</sub>:





**Dynamical breaking of statistical isotropy:** Temperature fluctuations in Cosmic Microwave Background



- CMB temperature fluctuations expanded into spherical harmonics

$$\delta T(\phi, \theta) = \sum_{l,m} a_{lm} Y_l^m(\phi, \theta)$$

-  $a_{lm}$  assumed to be independent Gaussian random variables

## Is this really so for all l ?

## some CMB large-angle anomalies: WMAP and Planck

- dipolar power asymmetry (extends from  $l = 2, \dots, 600$  in blocks of  $\Delta l = 100$ ) [Hansen et al. (2009), Ade et al. (2013), etc.]
- low variance on ecliptic North, associated with I=2,3 [Monteserin et al. (2008), Cruz et al., (2011), Ade et al. (2013), etc.]
- anomalous alignment of I=2,3 (3°-9°)

[Tegmark et al. (2003), de Oliveira-Costa et al. (2004), Ade et al. (2013), etc. (estimator of axis: maximum of angular momentum dispersion), Copi et al. (2004), Schwarz et al. (2004), Bielewicz et al. (2005,2009), Copi et al. (2010), etc. (multipole vector decomposition)]

- cold spot (-73µK@4°; -20µK@10°; l,b=207.8°,-56.3°)

[Viela et al. (2004), Cruz et al. 2005, Rudnick et al. (2007), Ade et al. (2013), etc.]

- hemispherical asymmetry (for I=2-40 max. larger power on hemisphere I,b=237°,-20°) [Eriksen et al. (2004), Hansen et al. (2004), Park (2004), Ade et al. (2013), etc.]
- mirror parity violation (plane of max. antisymmetry: l,b=262°,-14°) [Finelli et al.(2012); Ben-David et al. (2012), etc.]
- suppression of  $\langle TT \rangle(\theta) \equiv C(\theta)$  for  $\theta \geq 60^{\circ}$  on ecliptic North [Spergel et al. (2003), Copi et al. (2004,2007,2009,2010), Ade et al. (2013), etc.]

## cold spot





## **TT** suppression on ecliptic North



## successful phenomenological attempt at explanation: multiplicative, dipolar modulation model



[Gordon et al. (2005), Eriksen et al. (2007), Hoftuft et al. (2009), Ade et al. (2013)]



maximum likelihood at:  $A \sim 0.07; \ l_p \sim 220^\circ; b_p \sim -21^\circ$ 

- robust against change of foreground treatment and experiment (WMAP,Planck)
- comparison with CMB cold spot:  $~l_{cs}\sim 207.8^\circ; b_{cs}\sim -56.3^\circ$

$$\Rightarrow \angle \vec{p}, \vec{e}_{cs} \sim 36^{\circ}$$



 $(2\pi a)^3$ 

(Silk cutoff)

- integrated blackbody anomaly due to SU(2) CMB :

• 
$$\delta \rho(T) \equiv \rho_{\rm SU(2)_{CMB}} - \rho_{\rm U(1)}$$
  
•  $T = \bar{T}(t) + \delta T(t, \vec{x})$ 

• SU(2)<sub>CMB</sub> bias factor  $F(\bar{T},\delta T)$  for  $\ \delta T$  in phys. voxel volume  $\Delta V$ 



where

$$P = \frac{\exp(-\rho\Delta V/\bar{T})}{\int_{T_0}^{\infty} dT \, \exp(-\rho\Delta V/\bar{T})}$$

(in comoving Fourier-space simulation: use convolution  $\tilde{F} * \delta \tilde{T}$  for conventionally evolved  $\delta \tilde{T}$  at  $\{z_n\}$ , then projection)

Since slope of  $\delta 
ho$  positive  $\implies$  negative  $\delta T$  favoured!



- semiquantitative model: effective  $SU(2)_{CMB}$  evolution

$$\sqrt{-g} \mathcal{L}_{\rm CMB} = \left(\frac{\bar{T}_0}{\bar{T}}\right)^3 \left(k \,\partial_\mu \delta T \partial^\mu \delta T - \delta \rho(T)\right)$$

- assuming 3D spherical symmetry, causal boundary conditions

$$0 = \partial_{\tau}\partial_{\tau}\delta T - \left(\frac{\mathrm{d}a}{a\,\mathrm{d}\tau}\right)^{2} \left[\partial_{\sigma}\partial_{\sigma}\delta T + \frac{2}{\sigma}\partial_{\sigma}\delta T\right] - \frac{3}{\bar{T}}\partial_{\tau}\bar{T}\partial_{\tau}\delta T + \frac{T_{0}^{2}}{kH_{0}^{2}}\left[\frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}^{2}\hat{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}T^{2}}\Big|_{T=\bar{T}}\delta T + \frac{1}{2}\frac{\mathrm{d}\hat{\rho}}{\mathrm{d}T}\Big|_{T=\bar{T}}\right]$$
source term





U Wien, 20 May 2014

dynamical breaking of statistical isotropy:



## - low variance, power asymmetry:

(simplified, instantaneous light propagation for projection)

$$\delta T_L \equiv \int_{\sigma_0}^1 d\xi \, \delta T(z=0,\xi) \,, \quad \delta T_R \equiv \int_{\sigma_0-1}^{\sigma_0} d\xi \, \delta T(z=0,\xi)$$

$$\sigma_0 - 1 \quad \delta T_L \quad \text{observer}, \sigma_0$$

$$-1 \quad 0 \quad \delta T_R \quad 0$$

$$seeding \quad \delta T_R \quad \delta T(z=0) \quad \delta T(z=0) \quad \delta T(z=0)$$

$$\delta T(z=0) \quad \text{fluctuations outside not on seed light-cone}$$

$$depression$$



- suppression of TT for  $\,\theta\geq 60^\circ\,$  :

rapid build-up of profile for  $\ z \leq 1$ 

 dynamical contribution in measured (kinematically dominated) CMB dipole

$$|\vec{D}_{dyn}| = \frac{1}{2} \left(\delta T_L - \delta T_R\right)$$
  
- offset =  $\frac{1}{2} \left(\delta T_L + \delta T_R\right)$   $\longrightarrow$  cold spot

$$\blacktriangleright ec{d_{cs}} || ec{e}_{ ext{mirror antisymm}}$$

 $ec{d_{cs}} || ec{e}_{ ext{hemisph} ext{ asymmetry}}$ 

Planck results: 
$$\angle \vec{e}_{\text{mirror antisym}}, \vec{e}_{cs} \sim 42^{\circ} - 56^{\circ};$$
  
 $\angle \vec{e}_{\text{hemisph asym}}, \vec{e}_{cs} \sim 42^{\circ}.$ 



SU(2) vector modes and cosmic neutrinos:



from Planck:

$$N_{
m eff} = 3.30 \pm 0.27$$

# But have 2 x 3 ~ $N_{eff}$ x 2 rel. d.o.f. from SU(2)<sub>CMB</sub> vector modes.

Too many rel. d.o.f ? Do vector modes play role of cosmological neutrinos? Neutrinos (luke-)warm dark matter?

## massive cosmic neutrino equation of state:



## Summary



- SU(2) thermodynamics nonperturbatively:
- caloron, thermal ground state, adjoint Higgs mechanism, caloron action

- blackbody anomaly:
  - thermal photon dispersion, critical temperature for dec.-prec. PT from low-frequency spectral anomaly (Arcade2, terrestial radio-frequency CMB observations)

- CMB large-angle anomalies (WMAP, Planck): Yang-Mills favours **negative temperature fluctuations**, semiquantitative model, cosmic neutrinos and relativistic vector modes

## Thank you.