Heavy Quark Mass Determinations From Jets

Bahman Dehnadi

In collaboration with M. Butenschoen, A. H. Hoang, V. Mateu and Iain W. Stewart

University of Vienna

26 - 06 - 2014



Motivations and Aims

• Full quark mass dependence for two loops corrections in QCD is only known for total cross section!! "Total Top-Quark Pair-Production Cross Section at Hadron Collider" M. Czakon, A. Mitov (2013)

Aim : Systematic treatment of mass dependence in thrust distribution (jet observable). Analysis of the low $E_{c.m.}$ data on thrust distribution. \longrightarrow Bottom mass

TASSO and JADE experiments at the PETRA electron positron collider at DESY

Top mass is highly correlated to the higgs mass and electroweak observables
 Problem not addressed: What is m_t^{Pythia ?}

 \rightarrow Additional conceptual uncertainty in m_t^{Pythia}: O(1GeV)

But with respect to what? $m_t^{Pythia} = m_t^{short-distance} + O(1GeV)$

$$m_t = 173.07 \pm 0.89 \text{ GeV}$$

Aim: Systematics of heavy quark mass parameter in Monte Carlo generators. (Pythia)

Outlines

- QCD at the electron positron colliders
- Event shapes & thrust distribution
- Soft collinear effective field theory
- Factorization theorem for massless quarks
- Full Massive thrust distribution
- Short distance mass
- Preliminary results
- Conclusion & outlooks

Soft and Collinear limits



IRC Safe Observables



Infrared and collinear safe observables

"For an observable distribution to be calculable in [Fixed-order] perturbation theory, the observable should be infrared safe, i.e. insensitive to the emission of soft or collinear gluon. "R. K, Ellis, W. J. Stirling and B. R. Webber (1996)

In particular if any momentum occurring in observable definition, it must be invariant under the branching

$$\vec{p_i} \rightarrow \vec{p_j} + \vec{p_k}$$
 Collinear soft

Event shapes: characterizes the final sates in a geometric ways.

- IRC safe.
- Large log enhancement in small event shape values.

Thrust Distribution

thrust axis \hat{n}

Thrust: Measure for "**Jettiness**" of the final state. ۶



Log Resummation

Thrust distribution

istribution
$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\sigma}{d\tau} = -\frac{2\alpha_s}{3\pi} \frac{1}{\tau} \left(3 + 4 \lg \tau + \dots \right) \begin{array}{l} \text{Large Logs} \\ \text{at small } \tau \end{array}$$
Log counting in the resummation of singular terms $\alpha_s \lg(\tau) \sim \mathcal{O}(1)$

Counting more clear in the exponent of cumulant distribution

$$\Sigma(e_c) \equiv \int_0^{e_c} \mathrm{d}e \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}e}$$
$$\log \Sigma(e_c) = \lg e \sum_{i=0} \left(\alpha_s \log e\right)^{i+1} + \sum_{i=0} \left(\alpha_s \log e\right)^{i+1} + \alpha_s \sum_{i=0} \left(\alpha_s \log e\right)^i + \alpha_s^2 \sum_{i=0} \left(\alpha_s \log e\right)^i + \dots + \text{non singular}$$
$$\mathsf{LL} \qquad \mathsf{NLL} \qquad \mathsf{NLL} \qquad \mathsf{NLL}$$

(a) Perturbative corrections					
	Cusp	Noncusp	Matching	$oldsymbol{eta}[lpha_s]$	Nonsingular
LL	1	None	Tree	1	None
NLL	2	1	Tree	2	None
NNLL	3	2	1	3	1
N ³ LL	4 ^{pade}	3	2	4	2

Effective Field Theories

Effective field theory approach:

- Transparent Factorization
- Resummation of large logs via RGE
- Systematic power correction

SCET: Soft Collinear Effective Theory EFT of QCD for jet observables.

Bauer, Fleming, Pirjol, Stewart (2001)





- Apply the **power counting** at the level of Lagrangian (label formalism)
- Operators matching (current matching)
- Emergence of **collinear gauge symmetries at high energy** restricts the invariant from of operator and physical fields (Wilson lines)
- Emergence of **soft gauge symmetries at high energy** restricts the invariant from soft interactions (Wilson lines)

Collinear Wilson lines Multi collinear gluon interactions

$$W_n^{\dagger}(x) = \operatorname{Pexp}\left(ig \int_0^\infty ds \bar{n} \cdot A_+(\bar{n}s + x)\right)$$

Soft Wilson lines Multi soft gluon interactions

$$Y(x) = P \exp\left(ig \int_{-\infty}^{x} n \cdot A_s(ns)\right)$$







SCET production current at leading order

$$J_i^{(0)\mu}(\omega,\overline{\omega},\mu) = \left[\overline{\xi}_n(0)W_n\delta(\omega-n\cdot\mathcal{P})\right]Y_n^{\dagger}\Gamma_i^{\mu}Y_{\bar{n}}\left[\delta(\overline{\omega}-\bar{n}\cdot\mathcal{P})W_{\bar{n}}^{\dagger}\xi_{\bar{n}}(0)\right]$$

Matching relation of QCD and SCET current

$$\mathcal{J}_{i}^{\mu}(0) = \int d\omega d\bar{\omega} C(\omega, \bar{\omega}, \mu) J_{i}^{(0)\mu}(\omega, \bar{\omega}, \mu)$$

Factorization



 For event shape distributions the matrix elements can be rearranged (Factorized) into two color and spin singlet collinear and soft sectors which are convoluted.



$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = Q^2 H_Q^{(n_l)}(Q,\mu) \int \mathrm{d}l J^{(n_l)}(Ql,\mu) S^{(n_l)}(Q\tau-l,\mu)$$

Jet function

- Purely **Perturbative** evolution of produced jets
- Matrix element known at 2 loops
- Anomalous dimension are known at 3 loops

Bacher and Neubert

Moch, Vermaressen and Vogt

Jet function: Cut through the following forward scattering amplitudes



$$J(s,\mu) = \delta(s) + \frac{\alpha_s(\mu)C_F}{4\pi} \Big\{ \delta(s)(14 - 2\pi^2) - \frac{6}{\mu^2} \Big[\frac{\theta(s)}{s/\mu^2}\Big]_+ + \frac{8}{\mu^2} \Big[\frac{\theta(s)\log[s/\mu^2]}{s/\mu^2}\Big]_+ \Big\}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = Q^2 H_Q^{(n_l)}(Q,\mu) \int \mathrm{d}l \, J^{(n_l)}(Ql,\mu) \, S^{(n_l)}(Q\tau-l,\mu)$$

- Convolution of perturbative and non-perturbative hadronization effects.
- Matrix elements known at 2 loops
- Anomalous dimension are known at 3 loops

 $S = S_{\text{part}} \otimes F_{\text{shape}}$



• Resummation of large logarithms via RGE (Evolution kernels).

Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart (2007) & Bauer, Fleming, Lee, Sterman (2008)

$$\frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma(\tau)}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = Q H_Q^{(n_l)}(Q,\mu_Q) U_{H_Q}^{(n_l)}(Q,\mu_Q,\mu_J) \int \mathrm{d}s \int \mathrm{d$$



Jets with massive quarks

- Massive primary quarks
- Simple modification of the collinear sector at the level of Lagrangian (Jet function wil be explicitly modified)
 Fleming, Hoang, Mantry, Stewart (2008)



$$\mathcal{L}_{c,n}^{q} = \bar{\xi}_{n} \bigg[in \cdot \partial + gn \cdot A_{n} + (i \not\!\!\!D_{c}^{\perp} - m) W_{n} \frac{1}{\bar{\mathcal{P}}} W_{n}^{\dagger} (i \not\!\!\!D_{c}^{\perp} + m) \bigg] \frac{\bar{\not\!\!\!/}}{2} \xi_{n}$$

- Secondary massive quarks
- New degrees of freedom: Mass modes
- Mass modes are not relevant at the order of NNLL (except large rapidity logs)





Jets with massive quarks

- Different type of physical situations (hierarchy) along the thrust spectrum (VFS).
- Various scenarios (factorization theorem) at different regions.
- In all scenarios the **Hard** function remains the same as massless but with nl+1 active flavors.
- Jet and soft function should be study separately in each scenario.

Pietrulewicz, Gritschacher, Hoang, Jemos, Mateu (2014)





Hard sector remains the same as mass-less factorization but with nl+1 number of active flavors.





$$= n_{I} + 1 \qquad U_{S}^{(n_{f})}(k,\mu_{J},\mu_{S}) S_{\text{part}}^{(n_{f})}(Q\tau - Q\tau_{\min} - \frac{s}{Q} - k,\mu_{S})$$



Explicit modification of jet function at one loop due to primary massive quark production.





 Soft sector remains the same as mass-less (at NNLL) with nl+1 number of flavors. (universality of Wilson lines for boosted massive particles and mass-less)

$$\stackrel{\mu_1, a_1}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\mu_2, a_2}{\longrightarrow} \stackrel{\mu_n, a_n}{\longrightarrow} \frac{\not p + \not k + m}{(k+p)^2 - m^2 + i\epsilon} \rightarrow$$

Leading order expansion for soft gluon emission.

$$\rightarrow \frac{n/2}{n \cdot k + i\epsilon}$$

Scenario (III) - Tail

At NNLL



 $n_f = n_l + 1$



Hard and jet sectors remain the same as scenario (IV) with nl+1 number of flavors.

Soft sector remains the same as mass-less soft function with nl number of flavors.



> Soft mass mode matching: integrating in the mass mode effects in the evolution of soft function.

$$\mathcal{M}_{S}^{(n_{f})}\left(k,\overline{m}^{(n_{f})}(\mu_{m}),\mu_{m},\mu_{s}\right) = \delta(k) + \delta(k)C_{F}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}^{(nf)}(\mu_{m})}{4\pi}\right)^{2}\ln\left(\frac{\mu_{s}^{2}}{\mu_{m}^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{4}{3}L_{m}^{2} + \frac{40}{9}L_{m} + \frac{112}{27}\right)$$

$$L_{m} = \ln\left(\frac{\overline{m}^{2}}{\mu_{m}^{2}}\right)$$

$$V_{n}^{\dagger}$$

$$V_{n$$

bHQET region of thrust(heavy quark in mesons)

- Additional physical scale appears.
- Relevant scales at bHQET region
- Matching SCET to a pair of boosted heavy quark effective field theories with stable/ unstable heavy quarks.

$$\mathcal{L}_{+}=ar{h}_{m{v}_{+}}\Big(im{v}_{+}\cdot D_{+}-\delta m+rac{i}{2}\Gamma\Big)h_{m{v}_{+}}$$



$$p^{\mu} = mv^{\mu} + k^{\mu}$$

 $Q \gg m \gg \hat{s}, \, \Gamma, \Lambda_{\rm QCD}$

 $\hat{s}_{t,\bar{t}} \equiv \frac{s_{t,\bar{t}}}{M_{t,\bar{t}}} \equiv \underbrace{M_{t,\bar{t}}^2}_{M_{t,\bar{t}}}$

$$v^{\mu}_{+} = \left(\frac{m}{Q}, \frac{Q}{m}, \mathbf{0}_{\perp}\right), \qquad k^{\mu}_{+} \sim \Gamma\left(\frac{m}{Q}, \frac{Q}{m}, 1\right)$$

- m²

Top width

m

The collinear fluctuations of the order of mass are integrated out.

Describes the QCD dynamics and decays of top and anti-top quarks near their mass shell within the jets.

The soft cross talk of jets is universal (Soft Wilson lines)

$$i\mathcal{D}^{\mu}_{+}=i\tilde{\partial}^{\mu}_{+}+gA^{\mu}_{+}+\frac{\bar{n}^{\mu}}{2}gn\cdot A_{s}$$

Short distance mass should be implemented. $\delta m_{\overline{\mathrm{MS}}} \sim \alpha_s \overline{m}$ V.S $\delta m_{\mathrm{Jet}} \sim \alpha_s R \sim \alpha_s \hat{s}$

At NNLL

Invariant

iet mass

At NNLL

$$\begin{split} \left| \frac{1}{\sigma_0} \frac{d\hat{\sigma}(\tau)}{d\tau} \right|^{\text{bHQET}} &= Q H_Q^{(n_f)}(Q, \mu_Q) U_{H_Q}^{(n_f)}(Q, \mu_Q, \mu_m) H_m^{(n_f)}(\overline{m}^{(n_f)}, \mu_m) U_{H_m}^{(n_l)}(\frac{Q}{\overline{m}^{(n_l)}}, \mu_m, \mu_B) \\ &\int ds \int dk \ B^{(n_l)} \left(\frac{s}{m_J^{(n_l)}}, \mu_B, m_J^{(n_l)} \right) U_S^{(n_l)}(k, \mu_B, \mu_S) S_{\text{part}}^{(n_l)}(Q\tau - Q\tau_{\text{MIN}} - \frac{s}{Q} - k, \mu_S) \\ &\tau_{\text{MIN}} = 1 - \sqrt{1 - 4(m_J^{(n_l)}/Q)^2} \end{split}$$



- > **Hard** function remains the same as scenario (III) and (IV).
- Soft function remains the same as scenario (III).





> bHQET jet function and corresponding matching coefficient (Large log from secondary corrections).







bHQET jet function and corresponding matching coefficient (Large log from secondary corrections).

$$m_{J}B^{(n_{l})}\left(\hat{s},\mu,m_{J}\right) = \delta(\hat{s}) - 4\delta m_{J}\delta'(\hat{s}) + \frac{C_{F}\alpha_{s}^{(n_{l})}(\mu)}{\pi} \left\{ 2\delta(\hat{s})\left(1 - \frac{\pi^{2}}{8}\right) + \frac{4}{\mu} \left[\frac{\theta(\hat{s}/\mu)\ln(\hat{s}/\mu)}{\hat{s}/\mu}\right]_{+} - \frac{2}{\mu} \left[\frac{\theta(\hat{s}/\mu)}{\hat{s}/\mu}\right]_{+} \right\}$$
$$H_{m}^{(n_{f})}(\overline{m}^{(n_{f})},\mu_{m}) = 1 + C_{F}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu_{m})}{4\pi}\right) \left\{ L_{m}^{2} - L_{m} + 4 + \frac{\pi^{2}}{6} \right\} + C_{F}\left(\frac{\alpha_{s}^{(n_{f})}(\mu_{m})}{4\pi}\right)^{2} \ln\left(\frac{\overline{m}^{2}(\mu_{m})}{Q^{2}}\right) \left\{\frac{4}{3}L_{m}^{2} + \frac{40}{9}L_{m} + \frac{112}{27}\right\}$$

Further Theoretical Remarks

> Inclusion of **non-singular** terms vary for vector and axial-vector channels. $d\sigma_{\text{nort}}^{\text{nonsing.}}(\tau) = d\sigma_{\text{rot}}^{\text{FO}}(\tau) = d\sigma_{\text{nort}}^{\text{SCET}}(\tau)$

$$\frac{d\sigma_{\text{part.}}^{\text{nonsing.}}(\tau)}{d\tau} = \frac{d\sigma^{\text{FO}}(\tau)}{d\tau} - \frac{d\sigma_{\text{part.}}^{\text{SCET}}(\tau)}{d\tau}$$

Convolution with the soft model function (Hadronization effects)

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma}{\mathrm{d}\tau} = \int \mathrm{d}k \Big(\frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{part}}^{\mathrm{SCET}}}{\mathrm{d}\tau} + \frac{\mathrm{d}\sigma_{\mathrm{part}}^{\mathrm{nonsing}}}{\mathrm{d}\tau}\Big) \Big(\tau - \frac{k}{Q}\Big) \times S_{\tau}^{\mathrm{model}}(k - 2\Delta(R,\mu)) + \mathcal{O}(\tau, \frac{\Lambda_{\mathrm{QCD}}}{Q})$$

Heavy quark Masses From Jets

- Peak properties are sensitive observables to mass.
- Renormalon ambiguity of pole mass (IR sensitive since it is not physical observable)
- Short distance schemes to preserve the power counting. (Jet mass, renormalization group improved scheme like MSR)

Preliminary Results

At NNLL

Current status: Theoretical calculations up to **NNLL** (singular) for thrust distribution. **Bottom** mass effects at low c.m. energy.



Conclusion and outlooks

Conclusions

- **Event shapes** are proper jet observables to study.
- **SCET** is an appropriate framework to study jet physics.
- Three different effective field theoretic setups to describe the entire massive thrust distribution.
- Sequence of EFTs to describe the jets close their mass-shell(SCET+bHQET)
- *Peak* properties are sensitive enough to *study the heavy quark masses*.
- Short distance mass vs MC mass parameters

Out looks

- **Bottom mass** using data from low $E_{c.m.}$ (Q = 14, 22 GeV).
- Study the mass parameters of MC generators, which is essential for precise measurement of <u>top mass</u>.
- Improve the analysis to NNNLL (Two loop jet function should be computed).

Thank you!