Non-relativistic high-energy physics: Precision calculations for top and squark production at the LHC

M. Beneke (RWTH Aachen) Vienna, 26 January 2012

MB, Smirnov, hep-ph/9711391
MB, Kiyo, Schuller, hep-ph/0501289, arXiv:0801.3464 [hep-ph]
MB, Czakon, Falgari, Mitov, Schwinn, 0911.5166 [hep-ph]
MB, Falgari, Schwinn, 0907.1443 [hep-ph], 1007.5414 [hep-ph]; MB, Falgari, Klein, Schwinn, arXiv:1109.1536 [hep-ph]





Heavy particle pair production



top, $t\bar{t}$ superpartners, squarks and gluinos etc.

Perturbative

$$\sigma_{\rm had}(\sqrt{s},m) = \sum_{i,j} \int dx_i dx_j f_i(x_i) f_j(x_j) \,\hat{\sigma}_{ij}(\sqrt{\hat{s}},m)$$

 $\hat{s} = x_i x_j s$ $\beta = \sqrt{1 - 4m^2/\hat{s}}$ Top pairs



Sparticle pair production/SUSY parameter determination



Production of coloured sparticles in pp collisions and cascade decay to the LSP. Production cross section provides additional important constraint (Dreiner et al., 1003.2648 [hep-ph])

Fixed-order and breakdown of perturbation theory

NLO is standard (large correction) (Nason et al. 1988 for top, Beenakker et al., 1996 for SUSY) NNLO for top probably soon.

Fixed-order PT not applicable for threshold production (non-relativistic)



Perturbation theory breaks down due to the emergence of small scales $M\beta$, $M\beta^2 \ll M$, $\sqrt{\hat{s}}$. Sum the series of enhanced quantum fluctuations to all orders:

$$\sigma = \sigma_0 \left[1 + g^2 \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta}, \ln^{2,1} \beta \right\} + g^4 \left\{ \frac{1}{\beta^2}, \frac{\ln^{2,1} \beta}{\beta}, \ln^{4,3,2,1} \beta \right\} + \dots \right]$$

What's the use of the non-relativistic limit and resummation at LHC?

- Strictly valid for high masses $2\bar{m}_H \rightarrow s_{had}$ (heavy sparticles). But cross sections too small.
- Certainly not for tops at LHC7. Invariant mass distribution peaks at 380 GeV, corresponding to $\beta \approx 0.4$.
- Assume that threshold expansion provides a good approximation for the integral over all β.



	Tevatron	LHC7	LHC14
$\left< \beta \right>_{gg,\mathrm{NLO}}$	0.41	0.49	0.53
LO	5.25	101.9	563.3
NLO	6.49	149.9	842.7
NLO _{sing}	6.76	138.8	751.2
NLO _{approx}	7.45	159.0	867.6

Cross section in pb, MSTW2008nnlo PDFs.

What's special about perturbation theory for non-relativistic systems?



- Perturbation theory breaks down when $v \leq \alpha_s$.
- Non-relativistic systems are always non-perturbative relative to the free theory usually assumed as the starting point in QFT perturbation theory.
- Nevertheless weakly coupled as long as $\alpha_s(mv^2) \ll 1$.

gives $(E = \sqrt{s} - 2m + i\epsilon)$

$$\sqrt{E} \to \operatorname{Im}\left[-\sqrt{-E} - \frac{m\alpha_{s}[-D_{R}]}{2}\left(\ln\frac{-4mE}{\mu^{2}} + \frac{1}{2} - \gamma_{E} - \psi(1-\lambda)\right)\right]$$

with $\lambda = \alpha_s [-D_R]/(2\sqrt{-E/m}).$

Modification of the production threshold ($D_R < 0$)



Coulomb force included

Modification of the production threshold ($D_R < 0$)



Coulomb force included

Aim: Systematic approach for precision calculations

M. Beneke (RWTH Aachen University), NRHEP - top and squarks

Vienna, 26 January 2012 11/38

Tools

- Diagrammatic threshold expansion [MB, Smirnov, 1997]
- Non-relativistic effective field theory [Caswell, Lepage, 1986; Lepage et. al, 1992, Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage, 1994; Kinoshita, Nio, 1996; Pineda, Soto, 1997; MB, Signer, Smirnov, 1999]
- Multi-loop technology
- "Non-relativistic" perturbation theory perturbation theory with a non-trivial unperturbated Lagrangian

Basic methods developed in the late 1990s. Now:

- more precision
- more applications (hadronic, SUSY, DM)
- non-relativistic + soft gluon combined [MB, Falgari, Schwinn, 2009ff]

Methods: Threshold Expansion

Technical statement of the problem

P1 = $\sum_{n} r_{n}(v) A_{s}^{n} =$ P2 relativistic PT $v \sim 1$

$$\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_n \left(\frac{d_{y_n}}{d_{x_n}} \right) \frac{d_{x_n}}{d_{x_n}}$$



Threshold expansion

potential kⁿmv² kⁿmv

soft KNKN mV

ultrasoft konk ~ mv2

since promy

$$I = \int \prod_{i} \frac{d^{d}k_{i}}{(2iq)^{d}} f(k_{i}; q; p)$$

$$\uparrow \uparrow$$

$$f \uparrow$$

$$forge small$$

heavy particle polas

Mass-

poles

- Taylor expansion of <u>integrand</u> f in p assumes ki~q hard region
- Wrong if ki^o contours are trapped between poles for small [k] --> additional regions

Imagine dividing d⁴k mito regions by cut-offs In each region expand in small quandities, inicluding ecop momunia In dimineg, ignore cut-offs and initegrale over all d⁴k mi every region

> I = Z I regions regions Each term is homogeneous in v, i.e. contributes to a single order in the v-expansion

qº- 2m ~ mv2

Expansion rules in every region $\frac{1}{k^2 + q \cdot k} + \dots \quad \text{hard}$ $\frac{1}{\frac{1}{am}} \frac{1}{k^0} + \dots \quad \text{saft}$ off-shell W $\frac{1}{\left(k+\frac{q}{2}+p\right)^{2}-m^{2}} = \frac{1}{k_{0}^{2}-\vec{k}^{2}+q^{0}k^{0}-\lambda\vec{p}\cdot\vec{k}}$ Static [S⁽³⁾(Z)] $\frac{1}{\lambda m_2} \frac{1}{k^0 - \frac{k^2 + 2pk}{\lambda m}} + \dots \text{ potential}$ heavy-particle propagator D00 relatioistic Example = potentral on-shill QQ propagation polynomial in \$ => local vertex $= \frac{4sC_{\rm F}}{4\pi} \left\{ \frac{\pi m}{\sqrt{-\mu^2}} \left(-\frac{1}{2} \right) \left(\frac{1}{\epsilon} - \epsilon_{\rm R} - \frac{4\pi^2}{\mu^2} \right) - 8 + O\left(\frac{1}{\rho} \right) \right\} \right\}$

At two loops all regions contribute



Recursive structure with effective vertizes suggests effective Lagrangian formulation



Only the p-p-...-p region contributes to the leading (%)" and reads to be summed. Only <u>ladder diagrams</u> can have an all-p region



Diagrammatic resummation

$$H^{(R)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'; E) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (m + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}, \mathbf{\vec{p}}) \frac{\mathbf{p}_{1}^{*}\mathbf{k}_{1} - \mathbf{p}_{1} \mathbf{k}_{2}}{(\mathbf{n} + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}, \mathbf{p}')} (m + \frac{\mathbf{p}}{2}, \mathbf{p}')$$

$$= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} (-D_{R})^{n+1} \int \left[\prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{d^{d}k_{i}}{(2\pi)^{d}}\right] \frac{(ig_{s})^{2}i}{\mathbf{k}_{1}^{2}} \frac{(ig_{s})^{2}i}{(\mathbf{k}_{2} - \mathbf{k}_{1})^{2}} \cdots \frac{(ig_{s})^{2}i}{(\mathbf{k}_{n+1} - \mathbf{k}_{n})^{2}} \\ \cdot \prod_{i=1}^{n} \frac{i}{\frac{E}{2} + k_{i}^{0} - \frac{(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_{i})^{2}}{2m} + i\epsilon} \frac{-i}{\frac{E}{2} - k_{i}^{0} - \frac{(\mathbf{p} + \mathbf{k}_{i})^{2}}{2m} + i\epsilon}$$

$$G^{(R)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'; E) = -\frac{(2\pi)^{d-1}\delta^{(d-1)}(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})}{E + i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m}} + \frac{1}{E + i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m}} iH(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'; E) \frac{1}{E + i\epsilon - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^{2}}{m}}$$

$$\left[\frac{\mathbf{p}^{2}}{m} - E\right] G^{(R)}(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'; E) + \mu^{2\epsilon} \int \frac{d^{d-1}\mathbf{k}}{(2\pi)^{d-1}} \frac{4\pi D_{R}\alpha_{s}}{\mathbf{k}^{2}} G^{(R)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}, \mathbf{p}'; E) = (2\pi)^{d-1} \delta^{(d-1)}(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{k}) \left[-\frac{\nabla^{2}_{(r)}}{m} + \frac{D_{R}\alpha_{s}}{r} - E\right] G^{(R)}(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; E) = \delta^{(d-1)}(\mathbf{r} - \mathbf{r}')$$

M. Beneke (RWTH Aachen University), NRHEP - top and squarks

Vienna, 26 January 2012 19/38

p′]

Coulomb Green function [Schwinger, 1964; Voloshin, 1984]

$$G(\mathbf{p}, \mathbf{p}'; E) = -\frac{(2\pi)^3 \delta^{(3)}(\mathbf{p}' - \mathbf{p})}{E - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m}} + \frac{1}{E - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m}} \frac{g_s^2(-D_R)}{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2} \frac{1}{E - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2}{m}} + \frac{1}{E - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m}} \int_0^1 dt \, \frac{g_s^2(-D_R) \,\lambda \, t^{-\lambda}}{(\mathbf{p} - \mathbf{p}')^2 \, t - \frac{m}{4E} (E - \frac{\mathbf{p}^2}{m}) (E - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2}{m}) (1 - t)^2} \frac{1}{E - \frac{\mathbf{p}'^2}{m}}$$

$$G(\mathbf{r}, \mathbf{r}'; E) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} (2l+1) P_l\left(\frac{\mathbf{r} \cdot \mathbf{r}'}{rr'}\right) G^{(l)}(r, r'; E)$$

$$G^{(l)}(r, r'; E) = \frac{mp}{2\pi} (2pr)^l (2pr')^l e^{-p(r+r')} \sum_{s=0}^{\infty} \frac{s! L_s^{(2l+1)}(2pr) L_s^{(2l+1)}(2pr')}{(s+2l+1)!(s+l+1-\lambda)}$$

$$[p = \sqrt{-mE}]$$

Explicit expression known only in d = 4. Not even $\mathcal{O}(\epsilon)$ terms. BUT: Every term in the expansion in α_s can be computed in d dimensions. Enough since $1/\epsilon$ poles disappear beyond a certain order in α_s .

M. Beneke (RWTH Aachen University), NRHEP - top and squarks

Vienna, 26 January 2012 20/38

Non-relativistic perturbation theory

In every term replace $G_0 = \frac{2P}{P}$ by $G^{(R)} = \frac{7}{2}$ Example ⇒ -- √[-G^(K) contributes to fo(ds,) contributes to f1(">>>).ds -----SY⁽⁴⁾ -⇒ ---V= ds x ds costs. for hadronic production f1 + loganithmic terms lnv in f2

Methods: Non-Relativistic Effective Theory

Loco or any other "full theory"
Jntegrate out hard modes
$$k^{\varphi_{U}} \vec{k} \sim m$$

i.e. hard subgraphs \rightarrow effective, point-like vertices
Locat - defined with cut-off, in dim.reg only through throug

Integrating out the hard region: non-relativistic effective theory [Caswell, Lepage, 1986; Lepage et. al, 1992, Bodwin, Braaten, Lepage, 1994; Kinoshita, Nio, 1996]

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{NRQCD}} &= \psi^{\dagger} \left(i D^{0} + \frac{\mathbf{D}^{2}}{2m} \right) \psi + \frac{1}{8m^{3}} \psi^{\dagger} \mathbf{D}^{4} \psi - \frac{d_{1} g_{s}}{2m} \psi^{\dagger} \sigma \cdot \mathbf{B} \psi \\ &+ \frac{d_{2} g_{s}}{8m^{2}} \psi^{\dagger} \left(\mathbf{D} \cdot \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \cdot \mathbf{D} \right) \psi + \frac{d_{3} i g_{s}}{8m^{2}} \psi^{\dagger} \sigma \cdot \left(\mathbf{D} \times \mathbf{E} - \mathbf{E} \times \mathbf{D} \right) \psi \\ &+ \sum_{c} \frac{d_{4c} g_{s}^{2}}{8m^{2}} \psi^{\dagger} \left[\kappa_{c} \right] \psi \sum_{f} \bar{q}_{f} \left[\kappa_{c}^{\prime} \right] q_{f} + \text{ antiquark terms } \psi \rightarrow \chi \\ &+ \sum_{c} \frac{d_{5c} g_{s}^{2}}{8m^{2}} \psi^{\dagger} \left[\kappa_{c} \right] \psi \chi^{\dagger} \left[\kappa_{c} \right] \chi + \mathcal{L}_{\text{light}} \end{aligned}$$

The $\gamma^* Q \bar{Q}$ coupling:

$$\bar{Q}\gamma^i Q = c_1 \psi^{\dagger} \sigma^i \chi - \frac{c_2}{6m^2} \psi^{\dagger} \sigma^i (i \mathbf{D})^2 \chi + \dots$$

Hadronic $Q\bar{Q}$ production:

$$\mathcal{A}(ij \to \bar{Q}Q)_{\text{hard}} \to C_{\{a\alpha\}} \phi_{c;\alpha_1 a_1} \phi_{\bar{c};\alpha_2 a_2} \psi^{\dagger}_{\alpha_3 a_3} \chi_{\alpha_4 a_4} + \dots$$

M. Beneke (RWTH Aachen University), NRHEP - top and squarks

Vienna, 26 January 2012 24/38

Integrating out the saft region : PNRQCD

[Pinela, Solo, 1997; MB, Signer, Smirnov, 1999; Brambilla et al., 1999]

$$\mathcal{I}_{PNRQCD} = \Psi^{+}(i\delta^{\circ} + gA^{\circ}(t,\vec{\sigma}) + \frac{\vec{\sigma}^{2}}{2m})\Psi + \frac{anti}{y} + \int_{term} d\vec{s}^{*} [\Psi^{\downarrow}]_{(XeF)} \left(\frac{dsD_{R}}{T}\right) [X^{\dagger}X]_{(X)}$$

$$\frac{\sqrt{3}^{2}}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{2} \qquad \sqrt{3}^{2}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{3} \quad ds\sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{3}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{3} \quad ds\sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{3} \quad ds\sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}}$$

$$\frac{1}{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}} \sqrt{\sqrt{5}}$$

M. Beneke (RWTH Aachen University), NRHEP - top and squarks

Vienna, 26 January 2012 25/38

Hadronic production

• Coloured initial state \rightarrow threshold enhancement from soft-gluon radiation

$$A \approx A_0 \times g^2 \int_{M\lambda}^{M\beta^2} \frac{d\omega}{\omega} \int_{\lambda}^{\beta^2} \frac{d\theta}{\theta} \sim A_0 \times g^2 \ln^2 \beta$$

Soft-gluon resummations in Mellin moment space (Sterman 1987; Catani, Trentadue, 1989) or soft-collinear effective theory to all orders

 For pair production: Factorization of soft, collinear and Coulomb gluons is non-trivial [but well-known for soft-collinear], since soft gluons attach to and between Coulomb ladders and collinear radiation.

Kinematics: $[k_{-}]_{c} \sim [k^{0}]_{p} \sim k_{s}^{\mu}$ Also Coulomb exchange carries colour structure $T_{R}^{A} \otimes T_{R'}^{A}$.



Soft-collinear-potential decoupling

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{PNRQCD}} &= \psi^{\dagger} \left(i\partial^{0} + g_{s}A_{s}^{0}(x_{0},\vec{0}) + \frac{\vec{\partial}^{2}}{2m_{H}} + \frac{i\Gamma_{H}}{2} \right) \psi + \psi'^{\dagger} \left(i\partial^{0} + g_{s}A_{s}^{0}(x_{0},\vec{0}) + \frac{\vec{\partial}^{2}}{2m_{H'}} + \frac{i\Gamma_{H'}}{2} \right) \psi' \\ &+ \int d^{3}\vec{r} \left[\psi^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}^{(R)a} \psi \right] (\vec{r}) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{r} \right) \left[\psi'^{\dagger} \mathbf{T}^{(R')a} \psi' \right] (0) \end{aligned}$$

Field redefinition:

$$\psi(x) = S_w^{(R)}(x_0)\psi^{(0)}(x) \quad \text{with} \quad p^\mu = mw^\mu$$
$$S_w^{(R)}(x) = \Pr \exp \left[-ig_s \int_0^\infty dt \, w \cdot A_s^c(x+wt)\mathbf{T}^{(R)c}\right]$$
$$S_w^{(R)\dagger} i D_s^0 S_w^{(R)} = i\partial^0$$

M. Beneke (RWTH Aachen University), NRHEP - top and squarks

Vienna, 26 January 2012 28/38

Soft-collinear-potential decoupling

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}_{\text{PNRQCD}} &= \psi^{\dagger} \left(i\partial^{0} + \frac{\bar{\partial}^{2}}{2m_{H}} + \frac{i\Gamma_{H}}{2} \right) \psi + \psi'^{\dagger} \left(i\partial^{0} + \frac{\bar{\partial}^{2}}{2m_{H'}} + \frac{i\Gamma_{H'}}{2} \right) \psi' \\ &+ \int d^{3}\vec{r} \left[\psi^{\dagger} S_{w}^{(R)\dagger} \mathbf{T}^{(R)a} S_{w}^{(R)} \psi \right] (\vec{r}) \left(\frac{\alpha_{s}}{r} \right) \left[\psi'^{\dagger} S_{w}^{(R')\dagger} \mathbf{T}^{(R')a} S_{w}^{(R')} \psi' \right] (0) \end{aligned}$$

In any representation $S_w^{(R)\dagger} \mathbf{T}^{(R)a} S_w^{(R)} = S_{w,ab}^{(ad)} \mathbf{T}^{(R)b}$, hence

$$S_{w}^{(R)\dagger}\mathbf{T}^{(R)a}S_{w}^{(R)}\otimes S_{w}^{(R')\dagger}\mathbf{T}^{(R')a}S_{w}^{(R')} = \underbrace{S_{w,ab}^{(\mathrm{ad})}S_{w,ac}^{(\mathrm{ad})}}_{\delta^{bc}}\mathbf{T}^{(R)b}\otimes\mathbf{T}^{(R')c} = \mathbf{T}^{(R)a}\otimes\mathbf{T}^{(R')a}$$

Soft interactions disappear from the Lagrangian and survive only in the hard production vertex operator

$$\mathcal{O}_{\{a;\alpha\}}^{(\ell)}(\mu) = \left[\phi_{c;a_1}\phi_{\overline{c};a_2}\psi_{a_3}^{\dagger}\psi_{a_4}^{\prime\,\dagger}\right](\mu)$$

Factorisation and resummation

$$\hat{\sigma}(\beta,\mu) = \sum_{i} H_{i}(M,\mu) \int d\omega \sum_{R_{\alpha}} J_{R_{\alpha}}(E-\frac{\omega}{2}) W_{i}^{R_{\alpha}}(\omega,\mu).$$

- *H_i(M, μ)* Short-distance production of heavy particle pair Sums (g² ln² β)ⁿ by renormalization group evolution in μ from M to Mβ².
- $W_i^{R_{\alpha}}(\omega,\mu)$ Soft function for the production of a *single* particle in irrep R_{α}

$$\begin{split} \hat{w}^{R_{\alpha}}_{\{a\alpha,b\beta\}}(z,\mu) &\equiv \langle 0|\overline{T}[S^{R_{\alpha}}_{\nu,\beta\kappa}S^{\dagger}_{\bar{n},b_2}S^{\dagger}_{n,b_1}](z)T[S_{n,a_1}iS_{\bar{n},a_2}jS^{R_{\alpha}}_{\nu,\kappa\alpha}](0)|0\\ &\frac{d}{d\ln\mu}\hat{w}^{R_{\alpha}}_i(L) = \left((\Gamma^r_{\text{cusp}} + \Gamma^{r'}_{\text{cusp}})L - 2\gamma^{R_{\alpha}}_{W,i}\right)\hat{w}^{R_{\alpha}}_i(L) \end{split}$$

NNLL soft-gluon resummation needs the 3-loop cusp anomalous dimension and 2-loop soft anomalous dimension

• $J_{R_{\alpha}}$ – Coulomb Green function = related to a correlation function of non-relativistic fields in PNRQCD. Sums Coulomb-exchange $(g^2/\beta)^n$ to all orders for HH' in irrep R_{α} . Results (top, squarks)

Invariant $t\bar{t}$ mass distributions near threshold (so far NLL)



(Kiyo, Kühn, Moch, Steinhauser, Uwer; 2008)

Also for squark/gluino pair producti-On [Kauth et al., 2010; Hagiwara, Yokoya, 2009]

M. Beneke (RWTH Aachen University), NRHEP - top and squarks

Vienna, 26 January 2012 32/38

Total tt cross section (NNLL) [MB, Falgari, Klein, Schwinn, 2011]

Table 9: Total cross sections in pb at the Tevatron for $m_t = 165...180$ GeV. The errors denote the scale variation (scale variation+resummation ambiguities for NNLL₂), the NNLO constant variation (for NNLO_{app} and NNLL₂) and the PDF+ α_s error.

$m_t \; [\text{GeV}]$	NLO	NNLO _{app}	$NNLL_2$	_
171	$7.18_{-0.81-0.49}^{+0.39+0.54}$	$7.58^{+0.27+0.11+0.75}_{-0.36-0.11-0.57}$	$7.76_{-0.49-0.11-0.59}^{+0.31+0.11+0.77}$	
172	$6.96_{-0.78-0.47}^{+0.38+0.53}$	$7.35_{-0.35-0.10-0.55}^{+0.26+0.10+0.72}$	$7.52_{-0.48-0.10-0.57}^{+0.30+0.10+0.75}$	D0 : $7.56^{+0.63}_{-0.56}$ p
173	$6.74_{-0.76-0.46}^{+0.37+0.51}$	$7.12^{+0.25+0.10+0.70}_{-0.34-0.10-0.53}$	$7.29_{-0.46-0.10-0.55}^{+0.29+0.10+0.72}$	CDF: $7.50_{-0.48}^{+0.48}$ p
174	$6.54_{-0.74-0.44}^{+0.36+0.50}$	$6.91_{-0.32-0.09-0.51}^{+0.24+0.09+0.67}$	$7.07^{+0.28+0.09+0.70}_{-0.45-0.09-0.53}$	

Table 10: Total cross section at the LHC ($\sqrt{s} = 7$ TeV) for $m_t = 165...180$. The errors denote the theory error, the NNLO constant variation (for NNLO_{approx} and NNLL₂) and the PDF+ α_s error.

$m_t [\text{GeV}]$	NLO	NNLO _{app}	NNLL ₂	
171	$169.5^{+21.0+14.9}_{-22.7-14.0}$	$172.6^{+12.3+5.0+16.2}_{-11.8-5.0-15.6}$	$174.2^{+6.1+5.0+16.5}_{-6.3-5.0-15.8}$	ATLAS: 179.0 \pm 11.8 pb (0.7fb ⁻¹)
172	$164.4^{+20.3+14.5}_{-22.0-13.6}$	$167.5^{+11.9+4.9+15.8}_{-11.4-4.9-15.1}$	$169.0_{-6.1-4.9-15.3}^{+6.0+4.9+16.0}$	CMS: 165.8 ± 2.2 (stat.) ± 10.6 (syst.) \pm
173	$159.6^{+19.7+14.0}_{-21.4-13.3}$	$162.5^{+11.5+4.7+15.4}_{-11.0-4.7-14.7}$	$164.0_{-6.0-4.7-14.9}^{+5.8+4.7+15.6}$	7.8(lumi.) pb (0.8-1.1fb ⁻¹)
174	$154.8^{+19.1+13.6}_{-20.7-12.9}$	$157.7^{+11.1+4.5+14.9}_{-10.7-4.5-14.3}$	$159.2^{+5.6+4.5+15.1}_{-5.8-4.5-14.4}$	

At LHC \approx 3% beyond NLO, reduction of theoretical uncertainty from 13% to 5%

Total tt cross section (NNLL)



From total cross section (ATLAS data)

$$m_t = (169.8^{+4.9}_{-4.7}) \,\text{GeV}$$

Squark-antisquark production

Born production processes

$$\begin{aligned} q_i(k_1)\bar{q}_j(k_2) &\to \tilde{q}_{\sigma_1 k}(p_1)\bar{\tilde{q}}_{\sigma_2 l}(p_2) \\ g(k_1)g(k_2) &\to \tilde{q}_{\sigma_1 i}(p_1)\bar{\tilde{q}}_{\sigma_2 j}(p_2) \,, \end{aligned}$$

Must be separated into colour-singlet and colour-octet production.



NLL resummation of $pp \rightarrow$ squark+antisquark + X at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV

NLL = Tree *C* and *W*, 1-loop anomalous dim. + LO Coulomb Green function + matching to NLO fixed order (from Beenakker et al, 1997; Prospino code)

Size of corrections beyond NLO



Significant soft-Coulomb interference effect (see also Beenakker et al. 2011)



Scale dependence (\simeq theoretical uncertainty) at LO, NLO, NLL



(pp collisions at $\sqrt{s} = 14$ TeV; $m_{\tilde{g}}/m_{\tilde{q}} = 1.25$; $m_{\tilde{q}} = 1$ TeV [left] and $p\bar{p}$ collisions, $m_{\tilde{q}} = 400$ GeV [right] Green band: variation of all factorization scales.)

Theoretical uncertainty is reduced.

Conclusion

- 1) Joint resummation possible due to factorization of soft and Coulomb gluon effects (threshold expansion + SCET \times NRQCD)
- 2) Top pair cross section at threshold known at $\mathcal{O}(\alpha_s^2)$ at threshold up to the constant term + NNLL to all orders.

For any coloured heavy particles, given the one-loop hard matching coefficients.

3) Ready for LHC data and discoveries